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ABSTRACT

At age four, I used to secretly squeeze through the cracks in our backyard fence to go and explore the world on the other side. One step at a time, a little further each time, those childhood escapades soon grew into what would become the quest of a lifetime: a relentless and passionate chase for “what lies beyond”. Beyond the backyard fence, beyond sight, beyond the human scope - a chase, one might say, for the true essence of the world. So I wandered and wondered, from one country to the next and from mathematics to glass to art. A somewhat winding journey I admit, yet one consistently marked by introspection and punctuated by moments of unexpected stillness, of monumental silence. Could it be, I sometimes wonder, that silence is the expression of the essence of the world?

It is with that question stirring at the back of my mind that I initiated my thesis work about a year ago. The essence of the world, however, is not ours to touch, nor is pure silence ours to experience; both forever elude human perception. Yet contemplating the horizon one can still gather a sense of infinity. Hands anchored on matter, stretching my mind to philosophy and literature, I thus attempted to expand my own horizons and achieve a broader understanding of the kinship between truth, silence, and art.

The present thesis, alongside my recent artistic work, comes as a result of this investigation. Combining my personal views with the ideas of various philosophers and artists, and inspired in particular by the writings of Virginia Woolf, it explores the nature of art, the human longing for being, and the tenuous threads of silence that span the gap between the two.

Keywords: truth, silence, art, being, Woolf
RESUMO

Aos quatro anos, tinha por hábito esgueirar-me secretamente através da cerca do nosso quintal, para explorar o mundo que existia do outro lado. Um passo de cada vez, um pouco mais longe de cada vez, essas pequenas escapulidelas da infância acabaram por se tornar na procura de uma vida: uma inexorável e apaixonada busca por "aquilo que está além". Além da cerca do quintal, além do que é visível, além do alcance humano - uma busca, poderá dizer-se, pela verdadeira essência do mundo. E foi assim que vagueei e tornei a vaguear e fui de espanto em espanto, de país em país e da matemática ao vidro - à arte. Um percurso, tem de se reconhecer, um tanto ou quanto sinuoso; no entanto, consistentemente marcado por introspecção e pontuado por momentos de inesperada quietude, de um silêncio monumental. Por vezes, penso: será que o silêncio expressa a essência do mundo?

Foi com essa pergunta em mente que iniciei o meu trabalho de tese, cerca de um ano atrás. No entanto, a essência do mundo não é algo que nos seja dado alcançar, nem tão pouco o silêncio puro é algo que possamos experimentar; ambos escapam inelutavelmente à percepção humana. Mas, ao contemplar o horizonte, sempre se pode imaginar o infinito. Então, com as mãos ancoradas na matéria, alargando a minha mente à filosofia e à literatura, tentei expandir os meus horizontes e alcançar uma compreensão mais ampla da afinidade entre verdade, silêncio e arte.

Juntamente com o meu recente trabalho artístico, a presente tese surge como um produto desta investigação. Combinando o meu próprio ponto de vista com as ideias de vários filósofos e artistas, e inspirado em particular pelos escritos de Virginia Woolf, o texto explora a natureza da arte, o anseio humano pelo ser, e as ténues fibras de silêncio que atravessam a distância entre os dois.

Palavras-chave: verdade, silêncio, arte, ser, Woolf
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PART 1: ARIADNE’S THREAD

"Life's what you see in people's eyes; life's what they learn, and, having learnt it, never, though they seek to hide it, cease to be aware of."

- Virginia Woolf, An Unwritten Novel

I

Where does one start writing a thesis? So much has happened that I do not yet understand. My own artwork stands before me and I barely recognize it. Blurred, fragmentary memories whirl around my head as I try to put the pieces back together - remnants of pain and frustration, of bliss and self-doubt, echoes of tiles clinking, calloused fingers, a scar on my right shoulder. Traces of process. But the wheel keeps on turning, minutes vanish before we have time to reflect upon them, then hours, days, years, leaving nothing but scarce crumbs for us to draw answers from. At best there is time to feel, to intuit; but understanding is a rare luxury. How, then, does one escape the daze and start making sense of it all? Perhaps if I follow my own trail of crumbs and go back to where it all began, I shall get a clearer sense of direction.

I am four years old, playing outside my house on a summer day. Secretly, without my parents noticing, I squeeze through the cracks in the backyard fence - I have been dying to see what is on the other side. My steps do not take me very far before my parents find me. But it is too late: the immensity, the beauty, the complexity of the world have struck me. The horizon now stretches into infinity, now and forever.

I am 19 years old, pursuing a degree in pure mathematics. Halfway through a logic exam I stare perplexedly at the last question - an unusual question indeed as we are asked, not for the customary mathematical proof, but to explain something in our own words. I hazard an answer. The words come out hesitantly at first, then faster, and faster still, until I feel almost possessed: I understand. A breach has opened to the depths of the world, poetry is pouring out, suffusing me whole. All is one.

I am 28 years old, living in Japan. I enter the lobby of the school where I teach. Five days a week I enter that same lobby where I am required to take off my outdoor shoes; it is a familiar place. On the wall to my right is a small alcove where one of the office ladies places a new flower arrangement every week. *Ikebana*. It is always beautiful, but today it is different: today it is *sublime*. It stops me in my tracks - the whole world, it seems, stops in its tracks. I feel a monumental stillness. Some sort of profound truth is at work, something akin to perfection. Everything is in its right place.

................................................

All this might seem incongruous; it is not. We must simply delve a little deeper now so we can seize the Ariadne's thread, and then unravel it, as best we can.

II

The three episodes described above are of great simplicity - I was, after all, just playing outside, just answering a question, just taking off my shoes. Yet to me they were phenomenal, for in each of those moments I felt a sudden, profound, complete connection with the world that forever changed my outlook on it. They were moments of awe and understanding - what Virginia Woolf, in her late writings, calls "moments of being" or "moments of vision". Everyday life, for Virginia Woolf, includes much more *non-being* than *being*, as if everything were "embedded in a kind of nondescript cotton wool":

A great part of every day is not lived consciously. One walks, eats, sees things, deals with what has to be done; the broken vacuum cleaner; ordering dinner; writing orders to Mabel; washing; cooking dinner; bookbinding. [...] As a child then, my days, just as they do now, contained a large proportion of this cotton wool, this non-being. Week after week passed at St-Ives and nothing made any dint. Then, for no reason that I know about, there was a sudden violent shock; something happened so violently that I have remembered it all my life.

We all, I believe, experience (however rarely) moments like this, moments of such unexpected and sudden intensity that they seem to detach themselves from the flow of life yet at the same time to encompass life in all its entirety, fleetingly revealing, to paraphrase Virginia Woolf, the hidden pattern behind the cotton wool. There is a spark; a flame; suddenly everything is lit up, bright and clear; we glimpse at the hidden pattern. Violently we are hit by an "avalanche

---

2 Japanese for "art of flower arrangement".
3 Woolf, 1939/1985, pp.73, 78. See also: Silva, 2011, pp.290-299.
of meaning” - an exacerbated sense of "life itself", life as it truly is, undistorted, unhindered, infinite. For but an instant all is one. Soon the flame dies, but its glaring silhouette lingers on the retina of our mind. Moments of being live on in our memories, indelible, irreversibly associated with the objects that triggered them - their emblem, typically objects of great banality, not at all seen for the first time but certainly seen anew. A front street; a math exam; a flower arrangement. For each moment of being an emblem - the wick that held the flame.

I encountered my first conscious moment of being at age four; I have spent the rest of my life chasing the hidden pattern. To touch the essence of the world, life itself - such has been my quest, through childhood, through mathematics, through travelling, through art-making, through this Master's degree. My leitmotif.

III

I have, of course, never touched the essence of the world - it is not ours to reach. Yet gazing at the horizon one can still gather a sense of infinity. Aware though I may be of my corporeal limitations, mind anchored to body and feet anchored to the ground, I have long pondered the confines of the human experience, the hazy frontier between our sensory capabilities and the real world beyond. That is by no means unexplored ground: Plato already, in his Phaedo, hints at a similar inquiry. “Then when does the soul attain to truth? For when it tries to consider anything in company with the body, it is evidently deceived by it.” (And I must say, it is heartening to share a questioning with Plato.) What is more, the word body in Plato's writings does not simply denote the physical body; rather, it alludes to a whole integrative perspective. It is the habitual, passive, unquestioning standpoint of everyday life - it is non-being. Soul, in turn, refers to the viewpoint of the aware mind, that which is in a state of being, stretching out from the body toward truth - toward the hidden pattern. Toward, but never to. For the soul stands in limbo between body and truth, its ties with the former keeping the latter out of reach, much in the same way that being is a personal experience of the truth and not the truth itself. We may briefly glimpse at the hidden pattern but we can never see it whole. Truth as such lies altogether beyond the human scope, in a place sheltered from interference and commotion and noise - a place bathed in silence. And indeed every time I have experienced a moment of being, it has

---

9 Silva, 2011, pp.299-315.
12 On this topic, see notably: Carvalho et al., in press.
13 This idea of the double perspective of body and soul was also beautifully expressed by Friedrich Hebbel when he wrote: "Der Mensch ist ein Blinder, der vom Sehen träumt" - a phrase which, due to the nature of the German language, remains ambiguous. It means both Man is blind and merely believes that he sees, and Man is blind but dreams to see. The former epitomizes the perspective of the body, the latter, that of the soul. Cf. Hebbel, n.d., p. 156.
been accompanied by a profound, extraordinary silence, as if the din of everyday life had been altogether stilled. Could it be, I sometimes wonder, that silence is the expression of the essence of the world?

Certainly I have encountered several different forms of silence - and perhaps even more different forms of noise - over the course of my life; some more specific to childhood, others to nature, others still to art, to Japan, to love, to loss, to loneliness, and so on. But nothing, to this day, has bestowed greater silence upon me than mathematics. (Here I must insist: mathematics, not arithmetic. The two are often mistaken for one another. Yet they differ in the same way that grammar differs from literature.) Mathematics are immaterial by nature, intangible, imperturbable; they are a construct of the mind, supported by the physical world yet disconnected from it. They are made for the most part of sharp lines and clear-cut answers, black or white, true or false. A form of perfection, a haven for the soul, cut off from the perpetual chaos and uncertainty of our worldly reality. Tremendous poetry resounds within the seemingly austere silence of mathematics - a poetry soothing and bright which (as poetry does) bypasses reason to reach out directly to the soul, making emotions vibrate like sympathetic strings. The kind of poetry, one might say, that makes mathematicians resolve to become artists.

I cannot stress enough the importance that mathematics have had, and continue to have, on my artistic practice - in terms of favoured aesthetics, undeniably, but first and foremost in terms of process and approach. Art is arguably the most intrinsically human of all human endeavours, and as such it is fundamentally unfathomable; each artist is compelled by something different, something uniquely personal. To me art-making is a manifold attempt to draw the poetry that I have experienced through mathematics into the material world - to induce sublime truth through simple flower arrangements. I do not make art to express ideas, expel emotions or uncover my deepest self, no; although over time these often emerge as the most remarkable and rewarding facets of art-making, they are incidental, not the underlying motivation. The truth is, I make art to find peace - to find refuge. To revisit again and again that serene, secluded place removed from the daily pain of human life that mathematics first took me to. It is an elusive goal, I do not know the exact way to it, nor do I believe that there exists such a way; but I wander, following no particular straight line, observing, making, reflecting, gathering fragments of being as I go along, leaving markers for myself in their stead, markers made of glass or clay, of rough outlines and scribbled notes. From beginning to end, throughout the creative process and in the resulting work, relentlessly pursuing silence. The expression of the essence of the world.

What poetry actually is, of course, no one can say; yet we all have an intuitive sense of it. What I wrote in this passage is but my personal notion: that poetry is that which bypasses reason to reach out directly to the soul, making emotions vibrate like sympathetic strings. It does, to a degree, concur with the views of Virginia Woolf: “Thus reading poetry often seems a state of rhapsody in which rhyme and meter and sound stir the mind as wine and dance stir the body, and we read on, understanding with the senses, not with the intellect, in a state of intoxication.” Cf. How Should One Read a Book. In: Woolf, 1926/1994a, p.396.
"There is a silence in life, a perpetual deposit of experience for which action provides no proper outlet and our own words no fit expression."

- Virginia Woolf, *Byron and Mr. Briggs*\(^{15}\)

IV

As compelling a pursuit as it may be, drawing the evanescent poetry of the world into a material object remains an enigmatic task, and one which indeed continues to confound me. I do not know if I have ever succeeded, nor if or to what extent I ever will - a maker is far too intimate with his or her own work to ever be able to see it for what it is. That is, perhaps, the greatest paradox of art-making: that the artist should know so much about the making process that the finished piece as a self-contained entity should forever elude him, while the viewer finds himself precisely in the opposite position.\(^{16}\) Only through the endeavour of the artist does the work gain substance, only through the experience of the viewer does it gain meaning; where (if anywhere) both converge is where art is allowed to take place. Art is thus, by nature, symbolic.

*Symbolic* here is to be understood in the sense put forth by Friedrich Schelling in his *Philosophy of Art*. Human imagination, Schelling suggests, fosters three modes of representation: schematism, allegory, and the symbolic.\(^{17}\) Schematism is the representation of the particular through the universal, allegory the representation of the universal through the particular.\(^{18}\) Language, for instance, schematizes while arithmetic allegorizes.\(^{19}\) The symbolic is the synthesis of the two, "where neither the universal means the particular nor the particular the universal, but rather where both are absolutely one"\(^{20}\). Simultaneously self-inclusive and all-inclusive, the symbolic brings about a sort of inversion, a paradox, as it shifts from merely existing within its surroundings to casting its light upon them, embracing them, making them whole. "Both are absolutely one". The symbolic then is not simply in relation with the whole, it does not simply evoke the whole - it is the whole. *Being*. A glimpse at the hidden pattern. Partial always, but a whole in and of itself.\(^{21}\)

\(^{15}\) In: Woolf, 1922/1994b, p.497.
\(^{16}\) The word "viewer" here is inadequate, but will be used onwards to alleviate the text. It implicitly includes readers, listeners, spectators and so on - anybody other than the artist himself who is brought in contact with the artwork. Similarly, the generic masculine form will be used from now on.
\(^{17}\) Schelling, 1859/1989, p.46.
The symbolic thus walks the fine line between schematism and allegory - between existential integrity and meaning. This places art in precarious balance. On the one hand, we naturally long for meaning - for a manifestation of life itself through some personal connection with the artwork, either emotional, physical or intellectual. (For it would be naive to presume that the connection can only be, or must be, purely emotional; there are various gateways to the soul.) Yet as soon as we ascribe specific meaning to an object it ceases to exist solely for what it is. Schelling explains:

As soon as we allow these beings to mean or signify something, they themselves are no longer anything. […] I emphasize that we are not satisfied with mere meaningless being, such as that given by a mere image. Just as little are we satisfied with mere meaning. Rather, whatever is to be the object of absolute artistic representation should be as concrete and self-identical as the image, and yet as universal and significant as the concept. […] The delight comes precisely when we simultaneously recognize the significant or meaningful element contained within this unintentional, unaffected, and outwardly purposeless existence.22

Recognizing the outwardly purposeless existence of the artwork is to acknowledge the value of its sheer existence - for perhaps to exist, simply and self-containedly, is the purpose of the work. Martin Heidegger, in his Origin of the Work of Art, puts it beautifully when he says:

What, however, is more commonplace than that a being is? In the [art]work, on the other hand, the fact that it is as such a thing, is what is unusual. The happening of its createdness does not simply reverberate through the work; rather, the work casts before itself the eventful fact that, as a work, this work is, and exhibits this fact constantly. The more essentially the work opens itself, the more luminous becomes the uniqueness of the fact that it is rather than is not.23

If we approach the artwork as symbolic - that is, if we recognize its outward purposelessness and its self-contained purposefulness, if we recognize its silence - then we can start to wonder at its very existence and be touched by its truth. “Thus when the moment of illumination comes we accept it implicitly. We are neither roused nor puzzled; we do not have to ask ourselves, What does this mean? We feel simply that the thing we are looking at is lit up, and its depths revealed. It has not ceased to be itself by becoming something else.”24

21 Schelling’s symbolic has that particularity of all-inclusiveness that is indeed reminiscent of Virginia Woolf’s moments of being. In a context of non-being, the object simply stands for itself. In the case of schematism and allegory, the object stands for something else, something either more general or more particular. But the symbolic does not merely stand for something else - it is one with something else, and indeed with everything else. It stands for life itself.
Oftentimes however, one has the feeling that modern thinking has done great violence to the symbolic value of art, "as if the modern mind, wishing always to verify its emotions, had lost the power of accepting anything simply for what it is".\textsuperscript{25} Contemporary art, subject to that trend, has been growing increasingly conceptual; it is becoming lopsidedly and clumsily allegorical as we struggle more and more to embrace artworks for their sheer existence. Interpretations prevail, often to the detriment of true \textit{meaning}. As Susan Sontag wrote in her \textit{Aesthetics of Silence}: "practiced in a world furnished with second-hand perceptions, and specifically confounded by the treachery of words, the activity of the artist is cursed with mediacy".\textsuperscript{26} The fresh outlook of the viewers is blurred from the get-go by their desire to understand, or rather, by their conviction that there is something to understand; spontaneously they turn to the artist for an explanation.

But meaning, as discussed earlier, lies between the viewer and the work, it is beyond the reach and power of the maker. The scope of the artist begins and ends with process - I do not know what I have created, I only know what I have done. The finished piece is stand-alone, independent, whole, and as such it encompasses a parcel of reality that no worded description can ever fully capture, no matter how carefully crafted. "Where so much strength is being spent on finding a way of telling the truth the truth itself is bound to reach us in rather an exhausted and chaotic condition,\textsuperscript{27}" This, of course, is not unique to artworks; the human experience as a whole vastly eludes language. To quote Ludwig Wittgenstein: "What finds its reflection in language, language cannot represent. What expresses \textit{itself} in language, we cannot express by means of language".\textsuperscript{28} However it is my feeling that the essence of artworks rests precisely in those infinite nooks and crannies where words cannot reach, where silence prevails. Interpretations are thus reductive and deleterious to the integrity of the artwork, detracting from its intrinsic qualities and adding a layer of noise through which the work no longer breathes, the poetry is no longer heard. "Whereof one cannot speak, thereof one must be silent."\textsuperscript{29}

Avoiding the temptation of words and linear explanations then, allowing himself full freedom of emotion and imagination and embracing the work first and foremost for what it is, the viewer can openly approach the artwork. It follows naturally that, should meaning arise from the encounter, it should not be expected to come to the viewer in the form of a clear idea or a well-structured paragraph, but rather as an elusive inner vibration - as a \textit{moment of being} of which the

\textsuperscript{26} Sontag, 1969, p.5. 
artwork is but the trigger. If, why and how the work will propel the viewer into being is unforeseeable; meaning is fundamentally personal and circumstantial, and widely transcends the artwork. "Then it is not the actual sight or sound itself that matters, but the reverberations that it makes as it travels through our minds. These are often to be found far away, strangely transformed; but it is only by gathering up and putting together these echoes and fragments that we arrive at the true nature of our experience." For meaning to arise, something within the artwork must resound with something that pertains to the viewer's own personal experience, neither of which can be anticipated. A most serendipitous happenstance indeed, hence perhaps its beauty, its truth.

VI

But what, then, is the role of the artist? If meaning is in the hands of the viewer, if thus it cannot be predetermined or embedded into the work, is the artist, then, a mere maker of queer things? Are all those queer things equally potent, equally able to touch the audience regardless of their form? If, as pointed out earlier, moments of being (and thereby meaning) can be sparked even by the most banal everyday objects, does art actually serve a distinct purpose? And if so, what is that purpose?

As these questions arise, we find ourselves thrust from the relatively removed standpoint of the viewer into the realm of the artist - the obscure side of the artwork. Here we must pause and remind ourselves that art, as noted before, is a fundamentally human and therefore unfathomable endeavour; only in vain could we attempt to speak for all artists at once. One can speak but from a personal perspective. Sometimes however, one has the feeling that one's own experience carries fragments of infinity. Perhaps the only way to find insight into the greater truths of art is to delve into one's own artistic practice. To make art. Nothing seems more simple; nothing is more daunting.

To begin with, the utterly intricate nature of the relationship between an artist and his work can be baffling. In the words of Heidegger:

The artist is the origin of the work. The work is the origin of the artist. Neither is without the other. Nonetheless neither is the sole support of the other. Artist and work are each, in themselves and in their reciprocal relation, on account of a third thing, which is prior to both; on account, that is, of that from which both artist and artwork take their names, on account of art.31

Art, artist and artwork are closely interwoven, artist and artwork serving to give substance to the otherwise immaterial fabric of art. Within that fabric too, artist and artwork are intertwined. Art-making is what makes artists artists; otherwise they are simply people. It is thus the artwork that gives birth to the artist, who himself gave birth to the artwork in the first place. They bear the seeds, life and faults of one another - hence the introspective, part painful, part blissful nature of art-making. But the interdependence between artist and artwork is not paradoxical as much as it is circular, and I intuit that this circularity is what gives art its very impetus. There is no beginning, no end; only an ineluctable, perpetual motion.

The artist thus finds himself in a curious position regarding his own work, a position both privileged and nearsighted. I have discussed earlier the fact that the artist, being the creator of the work, is too intimate with the details of its making to ever be able to see it with completely fresh eyes. But this is not to say that the artist is alien to his work - quite the contrary. In fact every single detail of the making process, that is, every single decision made by the artist along the way, is a defining moment in the birth of the artwork. The work speaks of its maker in ways that nobody, not even the maker himself, can fully grasp. "In each picture is a whole lifetime imprisoned, a whole lifetime of fears, doubts, hopes, and joys. Whither is this lifetime tending? What is the message of the competent artist?" Such is the privilege of the artist: to unintentionally yet continuously crystallize infinite fragments of his life and soul into his work so that he may - sporadically, unexpectedly - catch glimpses of himself, and over time achieve a clearer sense of purpose and direction. His struggle is his reward, his work an outer (though somewhat encrypted) expression of an otherwise veiled inner truth - his self.

One might argue, of course, that the same holds true of all human activity, whether artistic or not. "Somewhere, everywhere, now hidden, now apparent in whatever is written down is the form of a human being." Indeed everything we do reveals, one way or another, our deepest individual nature. Yet art-making sets itself apart by the peculiar fact that, unlike other endeavours, it serves no direct outer purpose, no distinct end. Let us look back on Virginia Woolf's portrayal of non-being, for instance: "one walks, eats, sees things, deals with what has to be done; the broken vacuum cleaner; ordering dinner; writing orders to Mabel; washing; cooking dinner; bookbinding". All those activities are conducted with a clear outcome in mind - the cotton wool provides outer purpose aplenty. The higher pursuits of the human mind too, such as science, philosophy or contemplation, are carried toward an external object. Art-making, by contrast, is of a perplexingly introverted nature. We have already discussed the self-contained, outwardly purposeless existence of the artwork; correspondingly art-making is a self-contained, outwardly purposeless process. The purpose of art-making rests entirely within the process itself - it is not to create artworks as much as to make art.

32 Kandinsky, 1911/1977, p.3.
How purpose arises, how it is felt, I presume, is unique to each artist. For me it is something akin to the poetry of mathematics: a reflective pool, a profound peace, a silence, a joy too, and a faint but intoxicating sense of perfection. (And I do feel sorry for those, numerous, who feel no affinity for mathematics.) Still and all, regardless of its fluidity of form, the experience of purpose in art-making (hereafter referred to simply as purpose), I believe, is common to all artists, and in the same way that meaning lies entirely between the viewer and the artwork, purpose lies entirely between the artist and his making.

The difference is subtle but fundamental. Meaning arises from artworks much in the same way it does from other objects (though perhaps not with the same likelihood as common objects are usually approached with more indifference, artworks with more awareness), that is, in a dispersed and discontinuous fashion, each instance differing from the next. Purpose on the other hand, while of equally unpredictable occurrence, seems to me a much more consistent experience, drawing the artist always to the same place, to the same deeply hidden inner spring, each time dissipating the mist around it a little more. Uniquely personal and equivocal though it may be, purpose is inherent to art-making, and I hazard that purpose is in fact what differentiates artworks from other man-made objects - that purpose holds the seeds of art. In any event this concurs with my own experience, as the work in the making of which I find more purpose appears to also better resonate with the viewers. And I go on to suppose that purpose, to a degree, translates into the work and fosters meaning. That is not to say, however, that purpose should prevail over meaning. As much as they may diverge, purpose and meaning are equally important, equally valid, for they both emerge from the cotton wool of everyday life. They are both forms of being.

VII

Be that as it may, we must be careful not to fall for what Susan Sontag describes as the leading myth about art: that of the absoluteness of the artist’s activity. Indeed despite their artistic intent, artworks are still objects and artists are still human; neither is the bearer of a superior, godlike truth. Art-making is not a continuous outpour of inspiration as much as a perpetual decision-making process, inevitably influenced by the context and limitations of the moment. "Whatever way a work of art is, it could have been - could be - different. The necessity of these parts in this order is never given; it is conferred." This is perhaps most pressingly true

---

34 To this I would add that no form of meaning is exclusive to artworks - art is part of the broader experience of everyday life and not the other way around. Art can echo life but cannot transcend it. It is, in fact, for that reason that art resounds with us the way it does: because it is made of the same stuff as everyday life and thus corresponds to our own personal experience of everyday life, whatever that experience may be.

35 Sontag, 1969, p.4.

during the final stage of the art-making process, namely the exhibition. For an exhibition is not, despite what it may seem, a mere outgrowth of the art-making process; it is a significant part of it. The particulars of the exhibition impose a certain set of constraints upon the artist (temporal, spatial, technical, financial, etc.), forcing him - and it does require tremendous force - to narrow the infinite range of all that the work could have been down to one single final form. "But why impose my arbitrary design? Why stress this and shape that and twist up little figures like the toys men sell in trays in the street? Why select this, out of all that - one detail?" With every exhibition a new set of constraints, a new final form, each exhibition creating its own specific context for the work to exist in and interact with, hence impacting the way the work is perceived - and indeed the same body of work placed in a different context automatically finds itself altered. The exhibition is for the artist a phase of practical decisions and self-conflicting compromises, often nerve-racking in contrast with the quietude and freedom of the prior making phase. The former is prose, the latter poetry, art-making an attempt at "connecting the prose and poetry of life".

Too often, we - artists, viewers - disregard the presence of prose within the artwork; yet it is what most reveals the human being behind it. "The poet gives us his essence, but prose takes the mould of the body and mind entire." Besides purpose and inspiration are a multitude of pragmatic, contextual, necessary choices that speak of the artist's character and intellect as much as it does his sensibility and vision. Prose may lack the mystery of poetry but it is no less evocative; each has a voice, each tells a story, poetry more ethereal, prose closer to the physical world and the artist himself. The challenge of art-making lies, not in silencing the prose, but in letting its voice - its rugged, mundane voice - carry that of poetry. Some compromise is inevitable; but humanity and imperfection are intrinsic, perhaps even essential, to artworks. And as Virginia Woolf insightfully points out: "the success of the masterpieces seems to lie not so much in their freedom from faults - indeed we tolerate the grossest errors in them all - but in the immense persuasiveness of a mind which has completely mastered its perspective".

---

For artists and viewers alike, the experience of art is a most peculiar one: outwardly purposeless, unpredictable, serendipitous and deeply personal all at the same time. Its power lies in its very complexity, so reminiscent of human nature, so evocative of ourselves, so propitious to self-reflection. "But when the self speaks to the self, who is speaking?"\textsuperscript{41} That is, perhaps, the question which art most persistently asks: Who is speaking? All of my selves, it seems, are speaking at the same time. My four-year-old self by the backyard fence; my nineteen-year-old self taking a math exam; my twenty-eight-year-old self marvelling at a flower arrangement; and all my other selves too, the one who was raised in the snows of Canada, the one who travels compulsively, the one who blows glass, the little girl, the grown-up woman - all those selves that have experienced \textit{being} and shaped my vision of the world. Their voices echo with mine; yet they are not mine. My true voice is of a different nature: my true voice belongs to \textit{life itself}. But who is speaking? As I delve deeper and deeper into the intimacy of my art-making, trying, in vain - for it can only be in vain - to answer that question, I patiently sift through the voices of my different selves as one would pan sand for gold: seeking the minuscule pearls of silence that I share with the essence of the world.

\textsuperscript{41} \textit{An Unwritten Novel}. In: Woolf, 1920/1989, p.120.
PART 3: MOMENTARY PATTERNS OF STILLNESS

"I have made up thousands of stories; I have filled innumerable notebooks with phrases to be used when I have found the true story, the one story to which all these phrases refer. But I have never yet found that story. And I begin to ask, Are there stories?"

Virginia Woolf, *The Waves* 42

IX

That truth shall forever elude us; that art is by nature symbolic thus without an outer purpose; that meaning is out of the hands of the artist; that an artwork removed from its exhibition setting is no longer the same artwork; that interpretations are detractive; that words can never capture the entire scope of an artwork; that silence is key; it is with all these thoughts stirring at the back of my mind that I must now, somewhat paradoxically, attempt to introduce my work, and in particular my graduate work exhibited last July at the Faculty of Fine Arts of the Universidade de Lisboa under the title *Momentary Patterns of Stillness*. A certain economy of words, therefore, seems appropriate - even necessary. Besides, we must reflect that perhaps we already know enough. After all, the aforementioned thoughts arose during the making of the work; they are entwined with the multitude of events that led to the exhibition, entwined with all my different selves and with my vision of the world; together they form the warp and woof of the work. As for the rest, may the work speak for itself. Let us avoid gagging it with explanations and practical details; some personal thoughts and impressions at most, and some pictures, shall suffice. The result, necessarily, will be an incomplete rendering, but thereby perhaps more intact, more alive - more likely to reach out to the viewer's own individual sensitivity and imagination, and to yield meaning.

Looking for silence I waltzed with noise, round and round, aimlessly, endlessly.
One two three one two three one two three one two three.

I walked out in the rain, in the cold, my hands stuffed in my pockets, looking down, looking up, looking in, looking out, counting my footsteps.
One, two, three, one, two, three.

I crushed words to nihility, turned their carcasses into a blank canvas, dispersed the black sap of their distilled soul into millions of anonymous speckles.

One two three one two three one two three.

I stood still, contemplating the drops of time forming, stretching, falling; forming, stretching, falling; drop after drop gently crashing down, shattering in their fall their fragment of infinity.

One.

Two.

Three.

One.

Tw - ?

... three.
FIGURE 1 - Poster for the exhibition *Momentary Patterns of Stillness*
Renato Japiassu and Amélie Girard, 2016
FIGURE 2 - Plan of the exhibition

1. *Still* (see Figure 3)
2. *All That There Was, All That’s to Be* (see Figure 4)
3. *September* (see Figure 5)
4. *January* (see Figure 6)
5. *Someday* (see Figure 7)
FIGURE 3 - *Still*
Hand glazed and laser engraved ceramic tiles
10x240x240 cm
Amélie Girard, 2016
FIGURE 4 - All That There Was, All That’s to Be
Stoneware, water, India ink, hand made paper, plaster, metal, ink on paper
Dimensions variable (approx. 375x320x850 cm on picture; stoneware pieces 26x16x16 cm each)
Amélie Girard, 2016
FIGURE 5 - September
Hand glazed and laser engraved ceramic tiles, metal
Based on an original photograph by Sérgio Bernardino
60x90 cm
Amélie Girard, 2016
FIGURE 6 - January
Hand glazed and laser engraved ceramic tiles, metal
Based on an original photograph by Sérgio Bernardino
60x90 cm
Amélie Girard, 2016
FIGURE 7 - Someday
Blown glass, abandoned closet
Dimensions variable (glass pieces approx. 60x14x14 cm each)
Amélie Girard, 2016
FIGURE 8 - Last dance between the artist and her work
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