

DMEK for the treatment of interface fluid syndrome secondary to failed DSAEK graft: A case report and review of the literature

Nuno Moura-Coelho^{a,b,c,d,*}, Elena Arrondo^{a,c}, Mario Renato Papa-Vettorazzi^a, João Paulo Cunha^{d,e}, José Luis Güell^{a,c,f}

^a Instituto Microcirurgia Ocular (IMO) Barcelona Grupo Miranza, Carrer Josep Maria Lladó 3, 08035, Barcelona, Spain

^b NOVA Medical School | Faculdade de Ciências Médicas – Universidade Nova de Lisboa (NMS|FCM-UNL), Rua José António Serrano, 1169-050, Lisboa, Portugal

^c European School for Advanced Studies in Ophthalmology (ESASO), Villa Saroli, Viale Stegano Francini 9, 6901, Lugano, Switzerland

^d Escola Superior de Tecnologias da Saúde de Lisboa (ESTeSL) – Instituto Politécnico de Lisboa, Av. Dom João II Lote 4.69 01, 1990-096, Lisboa, Portugal

^e Ophthalmology, Hospital CUF Cascais, R. Fernão Lopes 60, 2750-663, Cobre, Cascais, Portugal

^f Universidad Autónoma de Barcelona (UAB), Plaça Cívica, 08193, Bellaterra, Barcelona, Spain

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords:

Laser in situ keratomileusis
LASIK
Interface fluid syndrome
Endothelial keratoplasty
Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty
DMEK

ABSTRACT

Purpose: To report a case of Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK) for the management of post-laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) interface fluid syndrome (IFS) secondary to failed Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty (DSAEK) graft, and to provide a literature review on endothelial keratoplasty (EK) for this indication.

Observations: A 52-year-old patient presented with LASIK interface fluid accumulation and a non-functioning primary DSAEK graft. Past ophthalmic history was relevant for: (1) phakic intraocular lens (PIOL) implantation with later refinement by LASIK; (2) combined PIOL explantation and refractive lens exchange due to accelerated endothelial cell loss (ECL); (3) primary DSAEK due to corneal decompensation.

A secondary EK graft (DMEK) was performed, and the patient was prospectively followed for 6 months (M6). DMEK surgery was uneventful, without postoperative graft detachment. Corneal clearing and resolution of interface fluid accumulation occurred during the first postoperative month. Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) improved from 20/800 Snellen to 20/25 Snellen at 3-month follow-up, remaining stable at M6. Due to a persistent rise in intraocular pressure (IOP), the patient underwent uneventful non-penetrating deep sclerectomy 2 months after DMEK, with controlled IOP and without accelerated ECL.

Conclusions and Importance: DMEK is feasible, effective, and safe in the management of IFS in cases where corneal endothelial failure plays a major role, even in complex eyes with previous EK grafts. Aggressive postoperative IOP control is warranted to decrease the risk of interface fluid recurrence and damage to the optic nerve. Studies with larger patient numbers are encouraged to ascertain the role of EK for this indication.

1. Introduction

Interface fluid syndrome (IFS) is a rare but potentially vision-threatening complication of LASER in situ keratomileusis (LASIK), characterized by the accumulation of fluid in the flap interface. It was traditionally known as pressure-induced stromal keratitis (PISK), but IFS is now more widely used because: 1) although a rise in intraocular pressure (IOP) may be the main mechanism, interface fluid accumulation may occur in eyes with corneal endothelial cell failure without high intraocular pressure (IOP), and 2) the term keratitis is a misnomer, as

there is no actual inflammation of keratocytes.¹

In cases of IFS related to increased IOP, management generally consists of ocular hypotensive drugs and cessation of topical corticosteroids (CS). However, corneal transplantation may be needed when corneal endothelial failure is the main mechanism. To date, reports on endothelial keratoplasty (EK) for this indication are scarce.

We present a complex case of LASIK interface fluid syndrome (IFS) managed with Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK), and provide a literature review on EK for the management of IFS.

Abbreviations: DMEK, Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty; LASIK, LASER in situ keratomileusis; IOP, Intraocular pressure.

* Corresponding author. Instituto de Microcirugía Ocular, Carrer Josep Maria Lladó 3, 08035, Barcelona, Spain.

E-mail address: nunomouracoelho.oft@gmail.com (N. Moura-Coelho).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajoc.2022.101656>

Received 4 May 2022; Received in revised form 29 June 2022; Accepted 30 June 2022

Available online 10 July 2022

2451-9936/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).




Fig. 1. A. Anterior segment optical coherence tomography (AS-OCT) scan at presentation. Fluid was present at the LASIK interface, and a thickened stromal bed was observed, along with an underlying failed donor DSAEK graft. B. Anterior segment photograph taken 6 weeks after DMEK, showing corneal transparency and central corneal stromal thinning. C. AS-OCT scan performed in the same visit, showing resolution of flap interface fluid accumulation, stromal bed thinning, and an attached functioning DMEK graft.

2. Case report/findings

A patient who underwent DMEK to manage IFS and was prospectively followed for 6 months after DMEK. A 52-year-old woman presented to our Cornea and Refractive Surgery Unit in May 2021 for decreased visual acuity in her left eye (OS). The patient's ophthalmic surgical history showed a combination of bilateral implantation of an angle-supported anterior chamber phakic intraocular lens (PIOL) plus laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) in 2006, and PIOL explantation plus refractive lens exchange due to accelerated corneal endothelial cell (CEC) loss in November 2017. Due to irreversible corneal edema, DSAEK was performed in September 2020 with early graft failure, leading to a secondary loss of corneal transparency and accumulation of fluid in the LASIK flap interface that was refractory to multiple medical and surgical therapies, including flap elevation and suturing.

Upon first presentation at our institution, best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) was 0 logMAR (20/20 Snellen) in OD and 1.6 logMAR (20/800 Snellen) in OS. Diffuse corneal stromal edema with epithelial bullae due to a failed DSAEK graft was observed, with limited visualization of the anterior chamber (AC) details. Although IOP was 12 mmHg with Goldmann applanation tonometry (GAT), glaucomatous optic

neuropathy was observed, with significant peripapillary retinal nerve fiber layer (pRNFL) thinning on optical coherence tomography (OCT) and corresponding visual field defect on static automated perimetry testing.

Anterior segment OCT (AS-OCT) scans (provided by the patient) revealed a thickened corneal stromal bed and presence of fluid in the LASIK interface (Fig. 1A).

The decision was to first perform a DMEK. Under local anesthesia, removal of the failed DSAEK donor graft was performed under air. Descemetorhexis was not required, but the recipients' posterior stroma was explored for irregularities and remnants or tags using gentle scraping with our spatula. An 8.0-mm DMEK graft (donor graft endothelial cell density = 3000 cells/mm²) was inserted using the technique we have previously described,² and attached to the host posterior stroma with sulphur hexafluoride 20% (SF6) endotamponade. The postoperative treatment was as previously described for secondary EK.³

The DMEK graft was completely attached in the early postoperative period, without early perioperative complications including need for rebubbling and IOP spikes. However, the patient developed a significant rise in IOP of above 30 mmHg 2 months after the surgery, which lasted more than three weeks despite ocular hypotensive drugs (topical and

Table 1

Published reports on endothelial keratoplasty for the treatment of LASIK interface fluid syndrome.

Authors [ref]	Journal (year)	Eyes (n)	EK technique	F-U	Outcome
Hoffmann et al. ⁴	J Cataract Refract Surg (2008)	1	DSEK	3 months	DSEK insufficient to solve fluid Required elevation of LASIK flap at 11 weeks, then PKP at 3 months
Luceri et al. ⁵	Cornea (2016)	1	DMEK	6 months	Uneventful DMEK; IFS resolved 1 month post-operatively
Shajari et al. ⁶	J Refract Surg (2017)	1	DMEK	6 months	Partial GD requiring rebubbling + CME treated topically. IFS resolved at last F-U
Srirampuretal. ⁷	Ind J Ophthalmol (2019)	1	UT-DSAEK	6 months	Uneventful UT-DSAEK; IFS resolved 6 months post-operatively
Galvis et al. ⁸	Saudi J Ophthalmol (2019)	1	DMEK	2 months	Uneventful DMEK; IFS resolved 5 days post-operatively
Wolf et al. ⁹	J Refract Surg Case Rep (2021)	1	Secondary DSAEK (after failed DMEK which induced IFS)	6 weeks after secondary EK	Uneventful DSAEK; IFS resolved 1 week post-operatively
Our study	–	1	Secondary DMEK (after failed DSAEK which induced IFS)	6 months after secondary EK	Uneventful DMEK; IFS resolved in the first postoperative month

Legend: LASIK – LASER in situ keratomileusis; DSEK – Descemet stripping endothelial keratoplasty; DMEK – Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty; IFS – interface fluid syndrome; GD – graft detachment; CME – cystoid macular edema; DSAEK – Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty; UT-DSAEK – ultra-thin DSAEK.

systemic), leading to the decision to perform a non-penetrating deep sclerectomy (NPDS). No major perioperative or postoperative complications occurred after NPDS, and IOP measured by GAT was 6 mmHg 6 months after DMEK (under maintenance of topical CS treatment and without need for hypotensive drops), without progression of pRNFL thinning on OCT.

BCVA improved to 0.15 logMAR (20/32 Snellen) at the one-month postoperative visit, and uncorrected distance visual acuity was 0.1 logMAR (20/25 Snellen) at the 3-month follow-up, remaining stable at the last follow-up, 6 months after DMEK. On slit-lamp examination a clear cornea was observed, with an obvious thinning of the corneal stromal bed (Fig. 1B); central corneal thickness after DMEK decreased from 567 μm to 481 μm , and AS-OCT confirmed resolved interface fluid accumulation (Fig. 1C). Final postoperative CEC density was 2457 cells/ mm^2 (18% CEC loss).

3. Discussion

Our case highlights the two main proposed mechanisms of IFS.^{1,4} We postulate the patient developed IFS following DSAEK due to a combination of an individual CS-response and a thick, non-functioning DSAEK graft (corneal endothelial failure). The IOP measurements at the time of diagnosis may have been falsely low, as may occur in patients with IFS after myopic LASIK. The fact that the patient developed a significant IOP-CS response after DMEK requiring glaucoma surgery is indirect evidence of the putative role of IOP in our case.

DMEK was successful in our case, in line with the previously published reports on EK for the treatment of IFS (including DMEK, DSAEK or ultra-thin DSAEK) suggesting that DMEK effective for LASIK IFS with an associated endothelial pump failure.⁴⁻⁹ Although the published evidence is limited and consists only of a few number of cases, taken altogether they suggest that resolution of interface fluid is usually fast after EK, with a success rate of 85.7% and with very few complications (Table 1). Only one of the reported cases showed a DSAEK and flap elevation failure ending with a full-thickness keratoplasty.⁴

We also highlight the surgical complexity of this procedure because of the poor visualization of the AC details, which makes the manipulation and attachment of the DMEK graft surgically challenging. However, DMEK can and should be considered in cases of endothelial decompensation or previous EK graft failure, including complex eyes, as we have previously reported.¹⁰ Finally, we emphasize that careful management of IOP in the early postoperative period in any case but especially after lamellar surgery is important, to avoid the appearance or recurrence of flap interface fluid accumulation and to prevent the possible secondary damage of the optic nerve.

4. Conclusions

In conclusion, DMEK is a surgically feasible and effective procedure for the management of the post-LASIK IFS, even in complex eyes. Further studies with larger sample sizes are needed to ascertain the efficacy and safety of this technique.

Patient consent

Informed written consent was obtained from the patient. This report does not contain any personal information that could lead to the identification of the patient.

Funding

No funding or grant support.

Conflicts of interest

The authors have no financial disclosures (NMC, EA, RP, JPC, JLG).

Authorship

All authors attest that they meet the current ICMJE criteria for Authorship.

Acknowledgements

None.

References

1. Dawson DG, Schmack I, Holley GP, Waring 3rd GO, Grossniklaus HE, Edelhauser HF. Interface fluid syndrome in human eye bank corneas after LASIK: causes and pathogenesis. *Ophthalmology*. 2007;114(10):1848–1859. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ophtha.2007.01.029>.
2. Güell JL, Morral M, Gris O, Elies D, Manero F. Bimanual technique for insertion and positioning of endothelium-Descemet membrane graft in Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty. *Cornea*. 2013;32(12):1521–1526. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0b013e3182933aee>.
3. Moura-Coelho N, Cunha JP, Morral M, Gris O, Manero F, Güell JL. Secondary endothelial keratoplasty-A narrative review of the outcomes of secondary corneal endothelial allografts. *Transplantation*. 2021;105(12):e347–e365. <https://doi.org/10.1097/TP.0000000000003735>.
4. Hoffman RS, Fine IH, Packer M. Persistent interface fluid syndrome. *J Cataract Refract Surg*. 2008;34(8):1405–1408. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrs.2008.03.042>.
5. Luceri S, Baksoellah Z, Ilyas A, Baydoun L, Melles GR. Interface fluid syndrome after laser in situ keratomileusis (LASIK) because of fuchs endothelial dystrophy reversed by Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty (DMEK). *Cornea*. 2016;35(12):1658–1661. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ICO.0000000000000971>.
6. Shajari M, Rafieezadeh P, Pavlovic I, Kubiak KB, Kohnen T, Schmack I. Management of interface fluid syndrome after LASIK by Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty in a patient with fuchs' corneal endothelial dystrophy. *J Refract Surg*. 2017;33(5):347–350. <https://doi.org/10.3928/1081597X-20170210-01>.
7. Srirampur A, Kalwad A, Mansoori T, Agraharam S. Reversal of laser in situ keratomileusis interface fluid after Descemet stripping automated endothelial keratoplasty for pseudophakic bullous keratopathy. *Indian J Ophthalmol*. 2019;67(10):1740–1742. <https://doi.org/10.4103/ijo.IJO.227.19>.
8. Galvis V, Berrospi RD, Tello A, Santaella G. Interface Fluid Syndrome (IFS) following Toxic Anterior Segment Syndrome (TASS): not related to high intraocular pressure but to endothelial failure. *Saudi J Ophthalmol*. 2019;33(1):88–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sjopt.2018.06.003>.
9. Wolf BJ, Ma L, Batta P. Interface fluid syndrome after Descemet membrane endothelial keratoplasty. *J Refract Surg Case Rep*. 2021;1(1):e15–e18.
10. Moura-Coelho N, Cunha JP, Amich N, Gris O, Manero F, Güell JL. Secondary DMEK after failed DSEK graft in complex eyes – retrospective case series [serial online]. *J EuCornea*. September 2021:2022. Accessed January 10, 2022.