Accepted Manuscript

Submission Date: 2022-09-20
Accepted Date: 2022-11-09
Accepted Manuscript online: 2022-11-09

Organic Materials

HOMO Energy-Level Lifting in p-Type O-Doped Graphenoids: Synthesis of Electrochromic Alkoxy-Decorated Xanthenoxanthenes

Alexandre Rossignon, Beatrice Berionni Berna, Antonio Jorge Parola, Cesar Laia, Davide Bonifazi.

Affiliations below.

DOI: 10.1055/a-1976-0291

Please cite this article as: Rossignon A, Berionni Berna B, Parola A et al. HOMO Energy-Level Lifting in p-Type O-Doped Graphenoids: Synthesis of Electrochromic Alkoxy-Decorated Xanthenoxanthenes. Organic Materials 2022. doi: 10.1055/a-1976-0291

Conflict of Interest: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

This study was supported by H2020 Marie Skłodowska-Curie Actions (http://dx.doi.org/10.13039/100010665), ENTERPRISE / 897396,INFUSION / 734834

Abstract:
A series of novel O-doped polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), bearing a different number of electron donating alkoxy substituents, has been prepared using a novel copper-promoted anaerobic protocol for the cyclisation of highly electron rich peri-xanthenoxanthene (PXX) molecular modules. The effect of the number and position of the alkoxy substituents on the optoelectronic properties has thus been investigated, unveiling p-type semiconducting properties. All molecules displayed a significant colour change upon oxidation, suggesting that these compounds can be used to devise chromogenic materials to engineer electrochromic devices.

Corresponding Author:
Davide Bonifazi, Universität Wien, Institut für Organische Chemie, Wien, Austria, davide.bonifazi@univie.ac.at

Affiliations:
Alexandre Rossignon, Cardiff University, School of Chemistry, Cardiff, United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland
Alexandre Rossignon, University of Namur, Department of Chemistry, Namur, Belgium
Beatrice Berionni Berna, Universität Wien, Institut für Organische Chemie, Wien, Austria
[...]
Davide Bonifazi, Universität Wien, Institut für Organische Chemie, Wien, Austria

HOMO Energy-Level Lifting in *p*-Type O-Doped Graphenoids: Synthesis of Electrochromic Alkoxy-Decorated Xanthenoxanthenes

Alexandre Rossignon[a,b]
Beatrice Berionni Berna[c]
Jorge Parola[d]
César A. T. Laia[d]
Davide Bonifazi*[c]

[a] Department of Chemistry, University of Namur (UNamur), Rue de Bruxelles 61, 5000 Namur, Belgium.

[b] School of Chemistry of Cardiff University, Cardiff University, CF10 3AT Cardiff, United Kingdom.

[c] Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Vienna, Währinger Straße 38, 1090, Vienna, Austria.

[d] LAQV-REQUIMTE, Department of Chemistry, NOVA School of Science and Technology, FCT NOVA, NOVA University of Lisbon, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal.

* Corresponding author.

davide.bonifazi@univie.ac.at

Click here to insert a dedication.



Received:
Accepted:
Published online:
DOI:

Abstract A series of novel O-doped polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), bearing a different number of electron donating alkoxy substituents, has been prepared using a novel copper-promoted anaerobic protocol for the cyclisation of highly electron rich *peri*-xanthenoxanthene (PXX) molecular modules. The effect of the number and position of the alkoxy substituents on the optoelectronic properties has thus been investigated, unveiling *p*-type semiconducting properties. All molecules displayed a significant colour change upon oxidation, suggesting that these compounds can be used to devise chromogenic materials to engineer electrochromic devices.

Key words Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, PXX, Electrochromism, Oxygen heterocycles, Electrochromic devices.

Introduction

In the compendium of semiconductor materials, heteroatom-embedded polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) have experienced increasing attention in a remarkably short time lapse, due to their tuneable non-zero bandgaps and ability to form supramolecular π-π stacking motifs at the solid state, as well as their photochemical properties that often exceed those of pristine PAHs.[1] Amid the former family and in view of its excellent carrier-transport and electron injection properties, chemical and thermal stability, peri-xanthenoxanthene (PXX, **Figure 1**)[2] has found its scope in a wide range of applications, ranging from emissive materials,[3] photocatalysis[4] to *p*-type molecular semiconductor.[5] Moreover, its electrochromic properties have been reported, showing a colour switch from yellow to blue upon applying a very low potential (1.25 V),[6] if compared to the non-doped analogues (4.0 V).[7] The recent development of a variant of the oxidative Pummerer O-annulation reaction[8] to prepare *peri*-substituted PXXs has allowed to widen the scope of O-doped PAHs, featuring different geometries on both their peripheries and core structures.[9] Both size and edge of the PXX scaffold have been modified into ribbon-like structures, extending the *peri*-positions with π-annulated systems (**Figure 1**),[10] or multiple aryl ether groups embedded either through oxa- (armchair)[8a] or edge-type functionalisation (zig-zag).[11] Recently, dyes-embedded PXXs, featuring a different number of either naphthalene- or perylene-diimide have been reported by our group,[12] as well as PXX core modification, by replacement of C(sp^2) units with isoelectronic analogues.[13] The presence of O-atoms embodied in the structure has been shown to narrow the HOMO-LUMO gap by rising the HOMO energy level, if compared to the all-C structures.[14] In this context, we envisaged that a further shrinking of the energy gap could be obtained upon decorating the PXX periphery with electron donating alkoxy groups. Building on this idea, in this paper we put forward the synthesis of a series of alkoxy-functionalised PXX derivatives. A systematic study of the influence of the substitution position and the number of alkoxy-



Figure 1 PXX structure and normalised absorption and emission (λ_{exc} = 405 nm) spectra in DMSO at r.t.

group on the optoelectronic (*e.g.*, electrochemical and photophysical) properties has been performed. Hexyl chains were used a solubilising groups to make the materials sufficient processable in organic solvents. At last, spectroelectrochemical investigations were performed to unveil the electrochromic properties of the PXX-based molecular scaffolds in solution.

Results and Discussion

Molecular design and synthesis. Among all possible regioisomers, only symmetrical substituted PXX were investigated, starting from commercially available dihydroxynaphthalenyl derivatives. Six different PXX-$(OHex)_n$ have been targeted, with a number of hexyloxy chains ranging from 2 to 6 (**Figure 2**). Similarly to unsubstituted PXX, all symmetrical PXX-$(OHex)_n$ could be synthesised from a direct



Figure 2 Target symmetrical PXX-$(OHex)_n$.

dimerisation/cyclisation from their hexyloxy functionalised naphthalene-2-ol (**Scheme 1**, direct path d) or through a sequential route, via isolation of 1,1'-binaphthalene-2-ol bearing hexyloxy chains (**Scheme 1**, sequential path b,c). Starting from commercially available 2,7-dihydroxynaphthalene (compound **7** in **Scheme 1**), mono-hexylation was performed, followed by CuO-mediated oxidative C-C and C-O bond formation, to obtain derivative **1** with a modest 18% yield. By using the stepwise route, $CuCl_2$/amine complex was used to get 7,7'-bis(hexyloxy)BINOL (**11**) in 50% yield, which was subsequently cyclised using CuI and PivOH in DMSO at 140 °C affording derivative **1** with an overall yield of



Scheme 1 Scheme 1 Synthetic path for the preparation of PXX-$(OHex)_2$. a) HexI, K_2CO_3, DMF, 100 °C, 34% (**9**), 64% (**10**); b) $CuCl_2$, α-phenylethylamine, $MeOH/CH_2Cl_2$, r.t. 50% (**11**), 45% (**12**); c) CuI, PivOH, DMSO, 140 °C, 77% (**1**), 50% (**2**); d) CuO, $PhNO_2$, reflux, 18% (**1**).



Scheme 2 Synthetic paths for the preparation of **3**. a) NaH, BnBr, DMF, 100 °C, 28%; b) NBS, CH_2Cl_2, r.t. 78%; c) HexI, K_2CO_3, DMF, 100 °C, 78%; d) Mg, $B(OMe)_3$, THF, -94 °C, 64% e) NaOH, H_2O_2, THF, 0 °, 70%; f) HexI, K_2CO_3, DMF, 140 °C, 59%; g) Cs_2CO_3, Me_4Phen, CuI, HexOH, 130 °C, 34%; h) NaH_4HCO_2, Pd/C, THF/MeOH, reflux, 87%; i) CuO, $PhNO_2$, reflux, 80%.

38 % over the two steps (**Scheme 1**). Using the same reaction conditions as those employed for the synthesis of 1,3-(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol, molecule **10** was obtained from 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene **8** in 64 % yield. In this case, the direct path involving the CuO-mediated dimerisation/cyclisation failed to produce **2**. Nevertheless, by using the sequential path, BINOL derivative **12** was obtained in 45% yield, and desired PXX-$(OHex)_2$ **2** produced in 50% yield using the CuI/PivOH cyclisation conditions (**Scheme 1**). For the preparation of derivative **3**, a different commercially available dihydroxynaphthalene was selected (**Scheme 2**). Specifically, 2,6-dihydroxynaphthalene **13** was mono-benzylated at first, then it was regioselectively brominated using NBS at rt. Next, compound **15** was alkylated with HexI to give desired bromo intermediate **16** (**Scheme 2**). Two different methodologies were attempted for preparing precursor **19**. The first route relies on the borylation of **16** by metal/halogen exchange using Mg in refluxing THF and addition of $B(OMe)_3$. Oxidation of the boronic acid with H_2O_2 in basic condition, followed by hexylation, gave tri-functionalised naphthalene **19**, with an overall yield of 26% over 3 steps. The second route exploited the Ullmann's copper catalysed ether synthesis. Using CuI with 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-9,10-phenanthroline as ligand, Cs_2CO_3 as base, and 1-hexanol as solvent and coupling partner, desired tri-hexyloxy naphthalene **19** was obtained with 34% yield in only one step, proving the synthetic efficiency of this method when compared to the sequential borylation/oxidation/hexylation route. Finally, hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group using NH_4HCO_2 afforded targeted 5,6-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol **20**, that was finally dimerised/cyclised using CuO to yield **3** in good yields. 2,3-Dihydroxynaphthalene **21** has been chosen as suitable module for the synthesis of targeted tetra-hexyloxy derivatives (**4** and **5**), as well as for hexa-hexyloxy PXX **6**. To prepare molecule **4**, 2,3- dihydroxynaphthalene



Scheme 3 Synthetic paths for the preparation of **4-6**. a) HexI, K_2CO_3, acetone, reflux, 97%; b) [Ir(COD)OMe]$_2$, dtbbpy, HBPin, THF, MWI, 120°C, 19%; c) NaOH, H_2O_2, THF, 0 °C, 67%; d) CuO, $PhNO_2$, reflux, 38%; e) Br_2, AcOH, reflux/$SnCl_2{\cdot}2H_2O$, HCl, AcOH, reflux, 37%; f) $NaHCO_3$, BnBr, DMF, 100 °C, 39%; g) HexI, K_2CO_3, DMF, 110 °C, 93%; h) Cs_2CO_3, Me_4Phen, CuI, HexOH, 130 °C, 34% (**28**+**29**), 40% (**30**) ; i) NH_4HCO_2, Pd/C, THF/MeOH, r.t. 45% (**31**), 93% (**33**); j) Cu-TMEDA, CH_2Cl_2, r.t. 77% (**32**), 81% (**34**); k) Cu-TMEDA, m-xylene, degas, 140 °C, 56% (**5**), 69% (**6**).

was bis-hexylated, followed by a borylation using [Ir(COD)OMe]$_2$ as catalyst, 44,4′-di-tert-butyl-2,2′-dipyridyl (dttbpy) and HBpin as boron source.[15] Following the same procedure as that used in the case of derivative **17**, oxidation of the boronic acid afforded **24**, which was unsuccessfully reacted using the $CuCl_2$/amine conditions for the BINOL synthesis. Surprisingly, when naphthol **24** was refluxed in $PhNO_2$ with an excess of CuO, desired tetra-hexyloxy PXX derivative **4** was obtained in 38% yield (**Scheme 3**). The preparation of both molecules **5** and **6** shares the first three synthetic steps. Tetrabromination of 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene **21**, followed by treatment with $SnCl_2{\cdot}2H_2O$ yielded 6,7-dibromo-2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene **25** in an overall yield of 37%. Next, mono-benzylation followed by the hexylation of the remaining hydroxyl group gave derivative **27** in 93%. When the Ullman ether synthesis conditions were used, tri-hexyloxy naphthalene **30** was obtained as the major product, along with an inseparable mixture of 2-(benzyloxy)-3,6-bis(hexyloxy) and 2-(benzyloxy)- 3,7-bis(hexyloxy) naphthalene (namely **28** and **29**). Due to the impossibility to purify the two species, **28** and **29** were subjected as a mixture to hydrogenolysis of the benzyl group to afford the two corresponding hydroxy-modified regioisomers, namely 3,6- and 3,7-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol. After several purification cycles on silica gel chromatographic columns, a pure fraction of the less polar species was obtained, which revealed to correspond to 3,7-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol **31**. Dimerisation of **31** to its BINOL derivative **32** using di-μ-hydroxo-bis[(N,N,N′,N′-tetramethylethylenediamine) copper(II)] chloride (Cu-TMEDA) was achieved in 77% yield. However, when the latter was heated in DMSO with CuI/PivOH or in m-xylene with CuCl/NMI mixtures, only degradation was observed. The degradation product probably derives from the over-oxidation reactions occurring in the presence of O_2. Thus, a new O_2-free protocol for the production of PXX had to be investigated. When a stoichiometric amount of Cu-TMEDA was added to BINOL derivative **32** in degassed m-xylene at 140 °C for 1 hour, the desired modified PXX derivative **5** was obtained in 56% yield. Similarly to molecule **32**, also BINOL derivative **34** was obtained by dimerisation of **33** as the only product, due to the extent of steric hindrance of the *ortho* OHex group if compared to the hydroxy one. As for molecule **32**, commonly employed conditions for the production of PXX (CuI/Piv in DMSO, CuO in $PhNO_2$ and CuCl/NMI in *m*-xylene) did not afford the desired hexalkoxy-decorated PXX, but only degradation products were observed. Hence, we decided to apply the O_2-free protocol to synthesise **6**, which was thus obtained in 69% yield. To assess the nature of the newly synthesised species, full characterisation by means of NMR and Mass spectroscopies has been performed.

Electrochemical studies. To gain insight into the electrochemical behaviour of the PXX-(OHex)$_n$ derivatives, cyclic voltammetry (CV) analysis were performed in CH_2Cl_2 using n-Bu_4NPF_6 as supporting electrolyte (**Figure S84**). Reference unsubstituted PXX presents a clear reversible redox peak centred at 0.354 V against ferrocenium/ferrocene (Fc^+/Fc), as previously reported by Kobyashi *et al.*[16] and later by us.[4a] All the newly synthesised PXX-(OHex)$_n$ derivatives displayed electrochemical reversibility at all measured scan rate for their first oxidation process ($E_{1/2}^{ox,0/+1}$), indicating a good chemical and thermal stability of the monocationic species. The value of the oxidation peaks against Fc^+/Fc are reported in

Table 1 Redox potentials and HOMO-LUMO gap values of 10^{-4} M solution of PXX and compounds **1-5** in V vs Fc/Fc^+ with 0.1 M n-Bu_4NPF_6 as supporting electrolyte in aerated CH_2Cl_2.

	PXX	1	2	3	4	5	6
$E_{1/2}^{ox,0/+1}$	0.354	0.163	0.326	0.158	0.256	0.135	0.302
$E_{1/2}^{ox,+1/+2}$	-	-	-	0.717	-	0.594	-
E_{gap}[a]	3.171	3.108	3.283	2.973	3.174	3.193	3.231

[a]HOMO energy levels were determined by cyclic voltammetry and LUMO energy levels were calculated using the optical bandgap as estimated from the photophysical data reported in Table 2 (calculated using the optical bandgap: $E_{LUMO} = E_{HOMO} + E_g^{00}$).



Figure 3 Absorption spectra (left) and normalised fluorescence spectra (right) of PXX-$(OHex)_n$ derivatives in PhMe at r.t.

Table 1. In the case of tetra-hexyloxy derivatives **3** and **5**, a second reversible oxidation peak ($E_{1/2}^{ox,+1/+2}$) centered at 0.717 and 0.594 V, respectively, was observed. When compared to the first oxidation event of PXX ($E_{1/2}^{ox,0/+1} = 0.354$ V), the peripheral functionalisation with two hexyloxy chains caused a lessening of the oxidation potential to 0.163 V and 0.326 V for **1** and **2**, respectively. Moving to the tetra-hexyloxy derivatives, the first oxidation event for derivative **4** is centered at 0.256 V, whilst lower potentials were needed to oxidise regioisomers **3** (0.158 V) and **5** (0.135 V). Surprisingly, hexa- hexyloxy species **6** displayed a relatively high oxidation potential of 0.302 V, which is only 52 meV lower than that of PXX.

Photophysical studies. Investigations of the photophysical properties of the PXX derivatives have been evaluated by UV-vis absorption and fluorescence analysis (**Table 2** and **Figure 3**). All derivatives present similar absorption and emission envelops, with three distinctive vibronic peaks arising from the PXX aromatic core. Upon insertion of the hexyloxy groups, a hypsochromic shift is observable for derivatives **2**, **4**, and **6**, whereas **1** and **3** experience a bathochromic shift, whose extent ranges from 8 nm for **1** and 31 nm for **3**. From these data, it is clear that the PXX derivatives bearing the hexyloxy chains in the *peri*–position experience the major changes in the electronic transition. For instance, the UV-vis absorption envelop of tetra-hexyloxy derivative **5** resembles that of **PXX**, with the same absorption maximum, indicating a similar HOMO-LUMO gap (3.174 V for **5** vs 3.171 for **PXX**). In addition, its emission maximum overlaps that of **PXX**, with a small difference in the Stokes shift, 6 nm and 5 nm observed for **4** and unsubstituted **PXX**, respectively. All the derivatives show a similar emission pattern, with two main peaks of similar relative intensities and a third peak over 500 nm. As expected, PXX derivative **3** showed the most red-shifted emission spectrum, with an emission maximum of 488 nm, 39 nm more than the unsubstituted peri-xanthenoxanthene. Pristine **PXX** showed the maximum fluorescence quantum yield (Φ_{Fl}), and a decrease of the Φ_{Fl} value upon increasing the number of hexyloxy chains was detected, from 0.43 in the case of **2** to 0.27 for **6**. Combining the electrochemical and photophysical analyses, it has been possible to estimate the frontier orbital diagram reported in **Figure 4**, as well as the values obtained by computational analysis in *vacuum*. Upon substitution, both HOMO and LUMO energy levels slightly increased in energy to a different extent, depending on the number and position of the hexyloxy chains. Despite of a 100 meV increase of both its orbitals, molecule **4** shows almost the same energy gap of PXX, which is consistent with the similar absorption and emission spectra reported in **Figure 3**. In the case

Table 2 Absorption maxima λ_{abs}, molar extinction coefficients ε_{max}, fluorescence maxima λ_{em}, fluorescence quantum yields Φ_{Fl}, Stoke shift, and optical bandgap (E_g^{opt}) in PhMe.

	λ_{abs} (nm)	ε_{max} ($cm^{-1}\,M^{-1}$)	λ_{em} (nm)	Φ_{Fl}[a]	*Stokes shift* (nm)	E_g^{opt} (eV)
PXX	444	1.79 x 10^4	449	0.45	5	2.731
1	452	7.95 x 10^3	463	0.31	11	2.655
2	424	1.21 x 10^4	436	0.43	12	2.834
3	475	9.57 x 10^3	488	0.34	13	2.510
4	444	1.54 x 10^4	450	0.38	6	2.728
5	433	4.51 x 10^3	454	0.25	21	2.737
6	430	5.94 x 10^3	445	0.27	15	2.756

[a] Coumarin 345 in EtOH was used as reference.



Figure 4 Frontier orbital energies for PXX-$(OHex)_n$. a) experimental data, b) calculated energy gap in eV. Hexyl groups in the optimised structures were omitted for clarity.

of molecule **3**, possessing the most notable colour change, the HOMO energy level value rose by 219 meV, while the LUMO level decreased by 21 meV. Despite the highest degree of functionalisation, and as observed by CV, hexa-hexyloxy derivative **6** does not show any dramatic changes in its absorption and emission properties when compared to its unsubstituted parent PXX.

Electrochromic studies. To shed further light on the potentialities of the electrochromic properties of the molecules, spectroelectrochemistry investigations in $CHCl_3$ were performed (**Figure 5**). UV-vis absorption spectra of all PXX-$(OHex)_n$ were recorded at neutral state (0 V), oxidised state (+1.0 V) and back to neutral state by using a negative potential (-0.5 V) at which no electrochemical reaction occurred. However, even after a prolonged period under reductive potential, the obtained spectrum did not overlap the original neutral one, indicating a non-reversible oxidation process (**Figure 5**). Upon oxidation, a broad absorption band of relatively weak intensity appeared at high wavelength for all obtained PXX-$(OHex)_n$. Interestingly, molecule **3** was the only one showing a high intensity blue-shifted absorption maximum. Depending on the position of these absorptions maxima and broad band, solution of PXX-$(OHex)_n$ switched colour upon oxidation from yellow to red-brownish for PXX, to magenta for **1** and **2**, to purple for **4**, **5**, **6** and to green for **3**. Given the significant colour change at low oxidation potentials, these PXX-$(OHex)_n$ are interesting candidates for electrochromic applications. Thus, their incorporation in prototype devices has been investigated. As a representative example, molecule **2**, with the lowest-lying HOMO level was used to prepare electrochromic films to be integrated in a coplanar device architecture. A 10mg/mL chloroform solution of the substrate was spray-coated onto squared-masked PET-ITO, to produce a 1 cm^2 square on one side and a complementary window of 0.5 cm width on the other side to differentiate ionised and neutral state at the same time on the same device. A 0.1 M solution of $LiClO_4$ in ethylene glycol was used as electrolyte. The colour-change for the device before (0 V) and after oxidation (+1.5 V) as well as after reduction (-1.5 V) is depicted in **Figure 6**. When the applied voltage was increased to 1.5 V, the colour changed from yellow to magenta, the same as was already observed for the solution during the spectroelectrochemistry experiments. The corresponding UV-vis transmittance spectra are shown in **Figure 7**. When potential square-wave cycles were applied to the device, the PXX-modified film exhibited a moderate contrast of the optical transmittance change (ΔT%) up to 9% at 536 nm. The switching time has been calculated as the time required for reaching 90% of the full change in transmittance after switching potential.[17] The switching time was calculated as 11.6 s for the reduction (from magenta to yellow) and 7.4 s for oxidation (from yellow to magenta). A very small variation of ΔT% has been observed upon increasing the number of cycles (**Figure 7**), indicating good stability of the molecule upon repetitive oxidation cycles.



Figure 5 Electrochromic spectra of PXX-$(OHex)_n$ upon oxidation (+1.0 V) and reduction to neutral state (-0.5 V) in aerated $CHCl_3$ solution.



Figure 6 Pictures taken of a prototype electrochromic device using **2** as testing material. Device in its neutral state (middle), upon oxidation (+1.5 V, right), and upon reduction (-1.5V, left).



Figure 7 Electrochromic switching studies for the ECD based on the **2** film between -2.0 V and +2.0 V with a residence time of 5 s (left) and 60 s (right).

Conclusions

We have successfully synthesised and isolated six different O-doped PXX derivatives decorated with electron-donating hexyloxy chains. The development of a new O_2-free cyclisation method, based on Cu-TMEDA oxidant, was developed to prepare these derivatives in anaerobic conditions, overcoming the problem of the overoxidation products. Computational investigations and CV analysis showed a decrease of the oxidation potential upon functionalisation, although we could not establish a direct correlation between the degree of

functionalisation and oxidation potential values. However, functionalisation position was observed to be crucial for a fine tuning of the oxidation potential, with the *peri*-positions displaying the strongest effect on the destabilisation of the HOMO energy level. All the newly prepared molecules demonstrated a multicoloured electrochromic behaviour, which was investigated both in solution and in the solid state. Electrochemical and spectral results showed moderate electrochromic contrast upon oxidation/reduction; therefore, a prototype electrochromic device (ECD) with a coplanar architecture was made from derivative **2**. The ECD presented a stable electrochromic behaviour, switching colour from pale yellow to magenta, paving the way for the exploitation of these materials in electrochromic devices.

Experimental Section

Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using pre-coated aluminium sheets using 0.20 mm silica gel 60 with fluorescent indicator F254 manufactured by Merck. Column chromatography was carried out using silica gel 60 (particle size 40–60 μm) from Applichem or using neutral Al_2O_3 supplied by Carlo Erba Reagents. Melting Points (mp) were measured, uncorrected, on a Stuart SMP1 analogue melting point apparatus. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded using a Bruker Fourier 300 MHz spectrometer equipped with a dual (^{13}C, ^{1}H) probe, a Bruker Fourier 400 MHz equipped with a broadband multinuclear (BBO) probe, a Bruker AV III HDX 700 NMR spectrometer (Bruker BioSpin, Rheinstetten, Germany). ^{1}H spectra were obtained at 700 MHz, 600 MHz, 500 MHz, 400 MHz or 300 MHz and ^{13}C at 176 MHz, 151 MHz 126 MHz, 101 MHz or 75 MHz with complete decoupling for proton. All spectra were obtained at rt unless otherwise specified. Chemical shifts were reported in ppm according to tetramethylsilane using the solvent residual signal as an internal reference. The splitting of peaks is described as s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), dd (doublet of doublets), and m (multiplet). Infrared spectra (IR) (IR) were recorded on a Shimadzu IR Affinity 1S FTIR spectrometer in ATR mode with a diamond mono-crystal at Cardiff University. Mass Spectrometry (MS): High resolution ESI mass spectra (HRMS) were performed on a Waters LCT HR TOF mass spectrometer in the positive or negative ion mode at Cardiff University on a maXis UHR ESI-Qq-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) in the positive or negative ion mode by direct infusion. The sum formulas of the detected ions were determined using Bruker Compass DataAnalysis 4.1 based on the mass accuracy (Δm/z≤5 ppm) and isotopic pattern matching (SmartFormula algorithm). HRLDMS spectra were acquired on a timsTOF fleX ESI/MALDI dual source-trapped ion mobility separation – Qq-TOF mass spectrometer (Bruker Daltonics, Bremen, Germany) in the positive ion mode. The sum formulas of the detected ions were determined using Bruker Compass DataAnalysis 5.3 based on the mass accuracy (Δm/z≤5 ppm) and isotopic pattern matching (SmartFormula algorithm). UV-vis Absorption spectroscopy: was recorded on Agilent Cary 5000 UV-vis-NIR Spectrophotometer running in double beam mode with a matched pair of quartz absorbance cuvettes (1×1 cm). All absorption measurements were performed at 21 °C unless specified otherwise. Steady-state photoluminescence: photoluminescence (PL) excitation and emission spectra, absolute quantum yield, and decay curves were recorded on a FLS1000 photoluminescence spectrometer from Edinburgh Instruments. The spectrometer was equipped with excitation and emission double grating Czerny-Turner monochromators, a continuous 400 W xenon lamp, and a photomultiplier detector with extended near-infrared sensitivity (PMT-980), thermoelectric cooled to −20 °C with a Peltier element. For fluorescence decay measurement the spectrometer was fitted with a picosecond pulsed light emitting diode (EPLED-295) or with a picosecond pulsed diode laser (EPL-405). Cyclic voltammetry: experiments were performed at rt in dry argon-purged CH_2Cl_2 (dried over activated molecular sieves prior to use) using an Autolab PGSTAT204 potentiostat. Dry argon was bubbled through the sample solution for at least 15 min prior to each measurement and the headspace was continuously flushed throughout the experiment. A pre-bubbler filled with CH_2Cl_2 was used in order to prevent solvent evaporation. Glassy carbon (3 mm diameter) was used as a working electrode, Pt wire as auxiliary electrode, and an Ag/AgCl electrode was used as reference. The glassy carbon electrode was sequentially polished on a pad using 15, 3 and 1 μm diamond slurry and washed with deionized water and methanol before each experiment; the Pt wire was flame-cleaned. Tetrabutylammonium hexafluorophosphate was recrystallised twice from absolute ethanol prior to use and it was added to the solution as a supporting electrolyte at a concentration of 0.1 M. Ferrocene (sublimed at reduced pressure) is used as an internal reference). Spectroelectrochemical characterisation was performed using a thin layer quartz cuvette (path length of 0.5 mm) equipped with an optically transparent platinum minigrid working electrode, platinum wire auxiliary electrode, and an Ag/AgCl reference electrode. Degassing of solutions/solvent mixtures was done by bubbling nitrogen through the solution with sonication followed by sealing the system under an inert atmosphere. Anhydrous conditions were achieved through heating of round bottom flasks to 100 °C in the oven overnight, and allowing to cool under vacuum, followed by purging with nitrogen. Anhydrous solvents were dried over activated molecular sieves for at least 24 hours prior to use. Low temperatures were achieved using low temperature baths: −84 °C with ethyl acetate/liquid nitrogen, −35 °C with Et_2O/liquid nitrogen while monitoring the temperature with a low temperature thermometer, 0 °C with ice/H_2O. Inert atmosphere was maintained using nitrogen-filled balloons equipped with a syringe and needle which was used to pierce the silicone stoppers used to seal the flask's necks. Chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich, TCI, Alfa Aesar, or Fluorochem and used as supplied. The computational results presented have been achieved using the Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC), at B3LYP-D3/6-311G** level of theory, using Gaussian 16 package.[18]

Procedures

General procedure for the synthesis of mono hexyloxy naphtoles

A suspension of dihydroxynaphthalene (3.20 g, 20.0 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (2.76 g, 20 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was heated at 100 °C for 3 h. Hexyl iodide (2.95 mL, 20.0 mmol) was added and stirred at 100 °C for 16 h. H_2O was added (200 mL) and the suspension filtered and washed with H_2O.

7-(hexyloxy)naphthalen-2-ol (9)

Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 7:3) afforded **9** as a white solid (1.635 g, 6.7 mmol, 34%). M.f.: $C_{16}H_{20}O_2$. MW: 244.33 g/mol. M.p.: 92 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3211, 2939, 1627, 1448, 1355, 1201, 1026, 862, 831, 620, 467 cm^{-1} . ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.65 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.03 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.97 (d, J = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.93 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.86 (s, 1H), 4.05 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.89 – 1.79 (m, 2H), 1.55 – 1.45 (m, 2H), 1.42 – 1.30 (m, 4H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 157.94, 153.99, 136.12, 129.71, 129.33, 124.42, 116.78, 115.15, 108.86, 105.55, 68.14, 31.75, 29.35, 25.92, 22.76, 14.20. HRMS (ES+) calcd. for $C_{16}H_{21}O_2$ $[M+H]^+$ 245.1542, found 245.1544 (error 0.8 ppm).

3-(hexyloxy)naphthalen-2-ol (10)

Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1 to CH_2Cl_2) afforded **10** as a white solid. (3.147g, 12.9 mmol, 64%). M.f.: $C_{16}H_{20}O_2$. MW: 244.33 g/mol. M.p.: 45 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2920, 1638, 1508, 1487, 1458, 1387, 1256, 1163, 1113, 851, 741, 619, 474 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.72 – 7.64 (m, 2H), 7.36 – 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 6.00 (s, 1H), 4.16 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 2.03 – 1.73 (m, 2H), 1.60 – 1.45 (m, 2H), 1.45 – 1.32 (m, 4H), 1.03 – 0.87 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 147.25, 146.33, 129.97, 129.45, 126.87, 126.75, 124.62, 124.21, 109.53, 106.65, 68.92, 31.38, 28.83, 25.52, 22.38, 13.76. HRMS (ES^-) calcd. for $C_{16}H_{19}O_2$ $[M-H]^-$ 243.1385, found 243.1378 (error -2.9 ppm).

General procedure for the synthesis of di hexylated binoles

To a solution of $CuCl_2$ (807 mg, 6.00 mmol) in dry MeOH (30 mL), α-methylbenzylamine (0.967 mL, 7.5 mmol) was added at 0 °C and the resulting green/blue reaction was degassed for 1 h at 0 °C. A solution of (hexyloxy)naphthol (733.0 mg, 3.00 mmol) in dry CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 16 h. The reaction was quenched with aq. HCl 1M (30 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*.

7,7'-bis(hexyloxy)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol (11)

Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1 to CH_2Cl_2) afforded **11** as a white solid (368.1 mg, 0.76 mmol, 50%). M.f.: $C_{32}H_{38}O_4$. MW: 486.65 g/mol. M.p.: 85 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3337, 2953, 1464, 1448, 1265, 1248, 1171, 1115, 1074, 1018, 935, 899, 826, 740, 419 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.78 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (dd, J = 8.9, 2.3 Hz, 2H), 6.50 (d, J = 2.3 Hz, 2H), 5.31 (s, 2H), 3.84 – 3.61 (m, 4H), 1.73 – 1.53 (m, 4H), 1.44 – 1.17 (m, 12H), 0.90 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 158.55, 153.33, 134.96, 130.87, 129.86, 124.69, 116.34, 115.09, 110.44, 104.16, 67.85, 31.61, 28.99, 25.70, 22.61, 14.06. HRMS (AP^+) calcd. for $C_{32}H_{39}O_4$ $[M+H]^+$ 487.2848, found 487.2853 (error 1.0 ppm).

3,3´-bis(hexyloxy)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol (12)

Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1 to CH_2Cl_2) afforded **12** as a white powder (109 mg, 0.224 mmol, 45%). M.f.: $C_{32}H_{38}O_4$. MW: 486.65 g/mol. M.p.: 140 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3547, 2920, 2358, 1463, 1247, 1114, 827, 740, 621, 460 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.68 (d, J = 7.68 Hz, 2H), 7.26-7.18 (m, 4H), 7.10 – 7.04 (m, 4H), 5.93 (s, 2H), 4.20 – 4.15 (m, 4H), 1.89 – 1.80 (m, 4H), 1.50 – 1.40 (m, 4H), 1.35 – 1.28 (m, 8H), 0.82 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 146.68, 143.83, 129.22, 129.04, 126.97, 124.88, 124.51, 124.08, 114.70, 106.83, 69.05, 31.70, 29.21, 25.89, 22.73, 14.16. HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{32}H_{38}O_4Na$ $[M+Na]^+$ 509.2668, found 509.2676 (error 1.6 ppm).

General procedure for the synthesis of PXX-$(Hex)_2$

Procedure A: A suspension of mono hexylated binol (487.0 mg, 1.00 mmol) and CuO (795 mg, 10.0 mmol) in $PhNO_2$ (5 mL) was refluxed in open-air condition for 3 h. The reaction was filtered on a pad of silica and Celite and washed with CH_2Cl_2.

Procedure B: A solution of mono hexylated binol (243 mg, 0.5 mmol), CuI (286 mg, 1.5 mmol) and pivalic acid (102 mg, 1.0 mmol) in DMSO (5 mL) was heated for 3 h at 140 °C in open-air condition. The reaction was filtered, washed with CH_2Cl_2 and volatile solvent were removed *in vacuo*.

1,7-bis(hexyloxy)xantheno[2,1,9,8-*klmna*]xanthene (1)

Procedure A: Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/CH_2Cl_2 2:1) afforded **1** as a yellow solid (43.1 mg, 0.089 mmol, 18%).

Procedure B: Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1 to CH_2Cl_2) afforded **1** as a yellow solid (186 mg, 0.386 mmol, 77%).

M.f.: $C_{32}H_{34}O_4$. MW: 482.62 g/mol. M.p.: 186 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2933, 2360, 1500, 1327, 1228, 1084, 814, 765, 750 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 7.26 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 7.02 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H), 6.81 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 4.08 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 4H), 1.82 – 1.76 (m, 4H), 1.57 – 1.51 (m, 4H), 1.40 – 1.37 (m, 8H), 0.93 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 145.28, 142.94, 141.51, 127.62, 127.40, 123.61, 121.31, 119.49, 116.46, 111.54, 71.24, 32.78, 30.75, 26.80, 23.72, 14.58. HRMS (AP^+) calcd. for $C_{32}H_{34}O_4$ $[M]^+$ 482.2457, found 482.2439 (error -1.8 ppm).

5,11-bis(hexyloxy)xantheno[2,1,9,8-klmna]xanthene (2)

Procedure B: Purification by silica gel chromatography afforded **2** as a yellow solid (239 mg, 0.495 mmol, 50%). M.f.: $C_{32}H_{34}O_4$. MW: 482.62 g/mol. M.p.: 204 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2927, 1606, 1456, 1325, 1273, 1236, 1217, 1172, 1155, 1074, 736 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 7.05 (dd, J = 8.1, 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.00 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 2H), 6.86 (s, 2H), 6.57 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 4.08 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 4H), 1.89 – 1.85 (m, 4H), 1.58 – 1.52 (m, 4H), 1.44 – 1.37 (m, 8H), 0.94 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 153.44, 149.54, 137.21, 133.50, 128.62, 119.96, 117.12, 113.14, 107.84, 106.89, 69.71, 32.73, 30.13, 26.81, 23.68, 14.57. HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{32}H_{34}O_4$ $[M]^+$ 482.2457, found 482.2450 (error -1.5 ppm).

6-(benzyloxy)naphthalen-2-ol (14)

To a solution of 2,6-dihydroxynaphthalene **13** (9.61 g, 60.0 mmol) was added sodium hydride (2.401 g, 60% in mineral oil, 60.0 mmol) in three portions. When no more H_2 production was observable (ca. 2.5 h), benzyl bromide (7.2 mL, 60 mmol) was added dropwise and the reaction was heated at 100 °C for 16 h. After cooling to rt, H_2O was added (400 mL) and the precipitate was filtered and washed with H_2O (400 mL) and MeOH (500 mL) to remove soluble bis-functionalised product. Purification by silica gel chromatography (CH_2Cl_2) afforded **14** as a white solid (4.150 g, 16.58 mmol, 28%). M.f.: $C_{17}H_{14}O_2$. MW: 250.30 g/mol. M.p.: 144 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3256, 2359, 2342, 1602, 1512, 1452, 1373, 1222, 1153, 1008, 849, 743, 696, 624 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.62 (t, J = 9.1 Hz, 2H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 7.44-7.32 (m, 3H), 7.23 – 7.19 (m, 2H), 7.11 – 7.06 (m, 2H), 5.16 (s, 2H), 4.78 (s, 1H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) 155.41, 151.93, 137.13, 130.08, 129.81, 128.76, 128.69, 128.15, 127.99, 127.73, 119.84, 118.18, 109.84, 107.57, 70.23. HRMS (ES+) calcd. for $C_{17}H_{15}O_2$ $[M+H]^+$ 251.1072, found 251.1070 (error -0.2 ppm).

6-(benzyloxy)-1-bromonaphthalen-2-ol (15)

To a solution of 6-(benzyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol **14** (2.44 g, 10.0 mmol) in DMF (20 mL) was added NBS (1.78 g, 10.0 mmol) and the resulting mixture was stirred at rt for 16 h. Aq. HCl 6M (10 mL) was added and the solution was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1) afforded **15** as a white solid (2.557 g, 7.77 mmol, 78%). M.f.: $C_{17}H_{13}O_2Br$. MW: 329.19 g/mol. M.p.: 113 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3308, 2359, 1609, 1506, 1371, 1353, 1229, 1172, 993, 903, 852, 815, 702, 692, 513 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.95 (d, J = 9.18 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.88 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.32 Hz, 2H), 7.41 (t, J = 7.38 Hz, 2H), 7.37-7.31 (m, 2 H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.88 Hz, 1 H), 7.19 (d, J = 2.40 Hz, 1 H), 6.42 (s, 1H), 5.74 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 146.19, 143.69, 135.61, 129.07, 128.95, 128.76, 128.27, 128.01, 127.07, 125.72, 125.61, 124.83, 107.05, 105.06, 71.44. HRMS (EI^+) calcd. for $C_{17}H_{13}O_2Br$ $[M]^+$ 328.0099, found 328.0097 (error -0.6 ppm).

6-(benzyloxy)-1-bromo-2-(hexyloxy)naphthalene (16)

A suspension of 6-(benzyloxy)-1-bromonapthalen-2-ol **15** (1.324 g, 4.03 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (3.44 g, 25.0 mmol) anhydrous DMF (6 mL) was heated at 110 °C for 2 h under N_2. Hexyl iodide (2.40 mL, 3.45 g, 16.3 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred at 100 °C for 16 h. The suspension was

cooled to rt and poured into ice/H_2O (200 mL) and stirred for 1 h. The organic phase was extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (4 x 30 mL). Combined organic phases were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and evaporated in vacuo. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/CH_2Cl_2 3:2) afforded **16** as a white-yellowish solid (873 mg, 3.14 mmol, 78%). M.f.: $C_{23}H_{25}O_2Br$. MW: 413.36 g/mol. M.p.: 63 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3530, 1514, 1485, 1452, 1391, 1159, 1107, 1013, 947, 921, 874, 858, 739, 702, 455 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 8.15 (dd, J = 9.0, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.51 – 7.47 (m, 2H), 7.44 – 7.30 (m, 4H), 7.23 (m, 2H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 4.14 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.90 – 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.58 – 1.53 (m, 2H), 1.39 (m, 4H), 0.96 – 0.93 (m, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 156.27, 152.71, 137.56, 131.31, 129.20, 129.13, 128.59, 128.31, 128.20, 128.05, 121.14, 116.62, 110.01, 107.99, 71.02, 70.70, 32.16, 30.05, 26.28, 23.22, 14.42. HRMS (AP+) calcd. For $C_{23}H_{26}O_2Br$ $[M+H]^+$ 413.1116, found 413.1100 (error – 3.9 ppm).

(6-(benzyloxy)-2-(hexyloxy)naphthalene-1-yl)boronic acid (17)

Magnesium turnings (25 mg, 1.0 mmol) were added to a solution of 6-(benzyloxy)-1-bromo-2- (hexyloxy)naphthalene **16** (413 mg, 1.00 mmol) in THF (2.0 mL) and the reaction was heated at reflux until almost all the metal disappeared (ca. 3 h). The reaction was cooled to -94 °C, trimethylborate (225 µL, 2.00 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred at rt for 16 h before being quenched with H_2O (2 mL and 2 drops of aq. HCl 1M). The white precipitate was filtered and the solid was dissolved in a minimum amount of CH_2Cl_2, precipitated with hexane, filtered and dried *in vacuo* to afford **17** as a white solid (242 mg, 0.64 mmol, 64%). M.f.: $C_{23}H_{27}BO_4$. MW: 378.28 g/mol. M.p.: 139 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3313, 1734, 1595, 1466, 1365, 1338, 1217, 1014, 827, 711 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 8.22 (s, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.62 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 7.36– 7.31 (m, 2H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (dd, J = 9.1, 2.6 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (s, 2H), 4.03 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2H), 1.74 – 1.65 (m, 2H), 1.54 – 1.38 (m, 2H), 1.38 – 1.22 (m, 4H), 0.89 (t, J = 6.9 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 156.72, 154.24, 137.17, 131.20, 129.42, 128.90, 128.45, 128.01, 127.82, 127.74, 118.74, 115.54, 107.55, 69.17, 68.92, 31.10, 29.21, 25.19, 22.14, 13.98. (1 carbon signal missing, probably due to coupling with boron). HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{23}H_{28}BO_4$ $[M+H]^+$ 378.2117, found 378.2126 (error 2.4 ppm).

6-(benzyloxy)-2-(hexyloxy)naphthalene-1-ol (18)

A solution of (6-(benzyloxy)-2-(hexyloxy)naphthalen-1-yl)boronic acid **17** (200 mg, 0.53 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was cooled to 0 °C, then H_2O_2 (0.6 mL, 30% in H_2O) and aq. NaOH 1M (0.6 mL, 0.6 mmol) were added. After 10 min, the reaction was stirred at rt until no more starting material was detectable by TLC (ca. 15 min). The reaction was diluted with Et_2O (30 mL) and extracted with Et_2O (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine, dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/ CH_2Cl_2 3:1 to 3:1) afforded **18** as a white solid (128.1 mg, 0.37 mmol, 70%). M.f.: $C_{23}H_{26}O_3$. MW: 350.46 g/mol. M.p.: 75 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3537, 2947, 2864, 1603, 1446, 1355, 1267, 1219, 1166, 1002, 782, 707, 694, 451 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 8.10 (d, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H), 7.56 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.48 – 7.41 (m, 2H), 7.38 (ddd, J = 7.2, 3.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.28 – 7.21 (m, 2H), 7.19 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.18 (s, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 4.14 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.91 – 1.81 (m, 2H), 1.58 – 1.46 (m, 2H), 1.46 – 1.34 (m, 4H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_2$) δ 156.21, 140.87, 139.89, 137.65, 131.08, 128.94, 128.35, 128.05, 123.23, 119.91, 118.90, 118.47, 115.80, 107.21, 71.02, 70.37, 32.05, 30.01, 26.12, 23.06, 14.26. HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{23}H_{27}O_3$ $[M+H]^+$ 351.1960, found 351.1962 (error 0.6 ppm).

6-(benzyloxy)-1,2-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene-1-ol (19)

Procedure A: To a flame-dried schlenck flask filled with 6-(benzyloxy)-1-bromo-2- (hexyloxy)naphthalene **16** (412 mg, 1.00 mmol), CuI (26.5 mg, 0.14 mmol), 3,4,7,8-tetramethyl-1,10- phenanthroline (70.3 mg, 0.30 mmol) and Cs_2CO_3 (559.2 mg, 1.72 mmol) under N_2 was added anhydrous 1-hexanol (1.0 mL, 8.0 mmol) The reaction was heated at 130 °C for 20 h before being cooled to rt, filtered on a pad of silica and washed with CH_2Cl_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/ CH_2Cl_2 2:3) afforded **19** a white solid (147.6 mg, 0.34 mmol, 34%).

Procedure B: To a flame-dried schlenck flask, 6-(benzyloxy)-2-(hexyloxy)naphthalene-1-ol **18** (77.8 mg, 0.22 mmol), K_2CO_3 (167 mg, 1.2 mmol) and anhydrous DMF (1 mL) were added and the reaction was stirred under N_2 at 100 °C for 1 h. 1-Iodohexane (0.2 mL, 1.35 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 16 h. In order to push the reaction towards completion, the reaction was stirred at 140 °C for another 26 h. The suspension was poured into H_2O (50 mL) and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/ CH_2Cl_2 1:1) afforded **19** as a white solid (56.0 mg, 0.13 mmol, 59%).

M.f.: $C_{29}H_{38}O_3$. MW: 434.62 g/mol. M.p.: 62 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2926, 2858, 2360, 1595, 1456, 1350, 1323, 1246, 1174, 1016, 731, 696 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 8.10 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.55 – 7.50 (m, 2H), 7.48 -7.35 (m, 4H), 7.27 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.24 (dd, J = 9.2, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 5.17 (s, 2H), 4.17 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.11 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.93 – 1.83 (m, 4H), 1.63 – 1.53 (m, 4H), 1.46 – 1.37 (m, 8H), 0.98 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 155.68, 146.45, 143.13, 137.26, 130.81, 128.53, 127.93, 127.63, 125.08, 123.36, 122.19, 118.96, 117.80, 106.89, 73.70, 70.21, 69.99, 31.82, 31.71, 30.50, 29.83, 25.93, 25.90, 22.73, 22.71, 13.90, 13.88. HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{29}H_{39}O_3$ $[M+H]^+$ 435.2899, found 435.2900 (error 0.2 ppm).

5,6-*bis*(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol (20)

A solution of 6-(benzyloxy)-1,2-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene **19** (142.4 mg, 0.328 mmol), NH_4HCO_2 (417 mg, 6.61 mmol) and Pd/C (10% w/w, 164.7 mg) in a mixture THF/MeOH (3 mL/3 mL) was refluxed for 2 h with an empty balloon above the condenser to collect the produced hydrogen. After cooling to rt, the reaction was filtered through Celite and washed with CH_2Cl_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (CH_2Cl_2) afforded **20** as a greenish oil (98.0 mg, 0.285 mmol, 87%). M.f.: $C_{22}H_{32}O_3$. MW: 344.50 g/mol. M.p.: Oil. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2928, 1603, 1510, 1466, 1360, 1261, 1092, 763, 750 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (400 MHz CD_2Cl_2) δ 8.07 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.15 – 7.11 (m, 1H), 7.09 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 6.16 (s, 1H), 4.19 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 4.12 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.95 – 1.83 (m, 4H), 1.59 – 1.52 (m, 4H), 1.47 – 1.29 (m, 8H), 1.02 – 0.90 (m, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 153.04, 146.56, 143.28, 131.51, 125.26, 123.90, 122.55, 118.57, 118.37, 109.67, 74.46, 70.79 , 32.22, 32.13, 30.85, 30.23, 26.32, 26.30, 23.14, 23.13, 14.32, 14.30. HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{22}H_{33}O_3$ $[M+H]^+$ 345.2430, found 345.2427 (error -0.9 ppm).

2,3,8,9-tetrakis(hexyloxy)xantheno[2,1,9,8-*klmna*]xanthene (3)

A suspension of 5,6-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalen-2-ol **20** (22.0 mg, 63.9 µmol) and CuO (51.2 mg, 0.64 mmol) in $PhNO_2$ (1 mL) was refluxed in open-air condition for 1.5 h. The reaction was filtered on a pad of silica and Celite and washed with CH_2Cl_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/ CH_2Cl_2 2:1) afforded **3** as a yellow solid (17.5 mg, 25.6 µmol, 80%). M.f.: $C_{44}H_{58}O_6$. MW: 682.94 g/mol. M.p.: 116 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2951, 2920, 1628, 1506, 1344, 1274, 1227, 1159, 1051, 987, 766, 750, 611 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 7.45 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.84 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 6.58 (s, 2H), 4.03 – 3.98 (m, 8H), 1.82 – 1.76 (m,

8H), 1.57 – 1.50 (m, 8H), 1.41 – 1.37 (m, 16H), 0.93 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 150.28, 149.50, 144.07, 138.37, 127.24, 121.92, 118.20, 117.48, 111.71, 101.55, 73.83, 70.78, 32.92, 32.78, 31.53, 30.75, 27.03, 26.94, 23.75, 23.73, 14.62, 14.58. HRMS (ES$^+$) calcd. for $C_{44}H_{59}O_6$ $[M+H]^+$ 683.4312, found 683.4313 (error 0.1 ppm).

2,3-*bis*(hexyloxy)naphthalene (22)

Naphthalen-2,3-diol **21** (8.0 g, 50 mmol) and K_2CO_3 (27.6 g, 200 mmol) were heated at 80 ºC in acetone (120 mL) for 10 min. 1-Iodohexane (29.5 mL, 200 mmol) was added and the reaction was heated at reflux for 16 hours under N_2. To drive the reaction forward, 1 equivalent of K_2CO_3 (6.9 g, 50 mmol) and 3 equivalents of 1-iodohexane (22.2 mL, 150 mmol) were added. Volatiles were evaporated *in vacuo* and the remaining solid was washed with H_2O and dried under high vacuum yielding **22** as a light brown solid (15.965 g, 48.6 mmol, 97%). M.f.: $C_{22}H_{32}O_2$. MW: 328.50 g/mol. M.p.: 51 ºC. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3051, 2924, 2857, 1626, 1599, 1508, 1462, 1404, 1250 cm^{-1}. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$, ppm) δ 7.68 – 7.63 (dd, J = 6.1, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.33 – 7.27 (dd, J = 6.1, 3.3 Hz, 2H), 7.11 (s, 2H), 4.11 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 1.95 – 1.85 (m, 4H), 1.54 – 1.48 (m, 4H), 1.40 – 1.33 (m, 8H), 0.92 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$, ppm) δ 149.52, 129.33, 126.33, 124.04, 107.84, 68.93, 31.76, 29.19, 25.89, 22.77, 14.19. HRMS (ES+) calcd. for $C_{22}H_{33}O_2$ $[M+H]^+$ 329.2481, found 329.2487 (error: 1.8 ppm).

6-(4,4,5,5,tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane)-2,3-*bis*(hexyloxy) naphthalene (23)

To a flame-dried thick-walled microwave tube was added 2,3-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene **22** (1 g, 3 mmol) under N_2. 4,4′-di-tert-butyl-2,2′-dipyridyl (dtbbpy) (21 mg, 0.078 mmol), pinacolborane (2.7 mL, 18.8 mmol) and dry THF (2.1 mL) were added. The reaction was degassed by bubbling N_2 for 30 min and (1,5-cyclooctadiene)(methoxy) Iridium (I) dimer $[Ir(COD)OMe]_2$ (21.9 mg, 0.033 mmol) was added. The reaction was heated under microwave irradiation at 120 ºC for 40 hours. The suspension was filtered through Celite and washed with CH_2Cl_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (CH_2Cl_2/PE 1:1 to 3:1) afforded **23** as a brown oil (0.257 g, 0.57 mmol, 19%). M.f.: $C_{28}H_{43}BO_4$. MW: 454.46 g/mol. M.p.: Oil. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2980, 2955, 2930, 2359, 1624, 1489, 1466, 1379, 1340, 1082 cm^{-1}. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$, ppm) δ 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.74 – 7.60 (m, 2H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 4.14 – 4.05 (m,4H), 1.95 – 1.82 (m, 4H), 1.55 – 1.47 (m, 4H), 1.47 – 1.32 (m, 20H), 0.92 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$, ppm) δ 150.46, 149.20, 134.56, 131.23, 128.86, 128.56, 125.42, 108.41, 107.37, 83.77, 68.83, 68.78, 31.68, 31.65, 29.78, 29.04, 25.81 (2C), 24.98, 22.70 (2C), 14.12 (2C). (1 aromatic carbon signals missing, probably due to coupling with boron; 2 aliphatic carbon signals missing, probably due to overlapping). HRMS (ES$^+$) calcd. For $C_{28}H_{44}O_4B$ $[M+H]^+$ 454.3369, found 455.3343 (error: 0.2 ppm).

2,3-*bis*(hexyloxy)naphthalen-6-ol (24)

A solution of 6-(4,4,5,5-tetramethyl-1,3,2-dioxaborolane)-2,3-bishexyloxynaphthalene **23** (257 mg, 0.57 mmol) in THF (15.5 mL) was cooled to 0 ºC. H_2O_2 (0.6 mL, 26 mmol) and 1 M NaOH (0.6 mL) were added to the mixture. The reaction was stirred for 20 min at 0 ºC and quenched with Et_2O (20 mL). The suspension was washed with H_2O (3 x 20 mL) and with brine (25 mL), dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and dried *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel chromatography (CH_2Cl_2/PE 1:1 to 1:0) afforded **24** as a pale brown solid (131 mg, 0.38 mmol, 67%). M.f.: $C_{22}H_{32}O_3$. MW: 344.50 g/mol. M.p.: 90 ºC. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3310, 2951, 2924, 2857, 2361, 1636, 1614, 1585, 1508, 1357, 1209 cm^{-1}. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$, ppm) δ 7.55 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 7.01 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 6.96 – 6.93 (m, 2H), 5.30 (s, 1H), 4.10 – 4.04 (m, 4H), 1.92 – 1.83 (m, 4H), 1.52 – 1.47 (m, 4H), 1.34 (d, J = 3.5 Hz, 8H), 0.91 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$, ppm) δ 152.50, 150.05, 147.56, 130.55, 128.05, 124.22, 115.49, 108.94, 108.44, 106.66, 69.17, 68.91, 31.71 (2C), 29.15, 29.09, 25.86 (2C), 22.73 (2C), 14.16 (2C). (4 aliphatic carbon signals missing, probably due to overlapping). HRMS (ES$^+$) calcd. for $C_{22}H_{33}O_3$ $[M+H]^+$ 345.2430, found 345.2437 (error: 2.0 ppm).

1,2,7,8-tetrakis(hexyloxy)xantheno[2,1,9,8-*klmna*]xanthene (4)

A suspension of 2,3-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalen-6-ol **24** (34.4 mg, 0.10 mmol) and CuO (80 mg, 1.0 mmol) in $PhNO_2$ (1 mL) was stirred under reflux for 4 h. The reaction was cooled and purified by silica gel chromatography (CH_2Cl_2/PE 1:3 to 1:1) affording **4** as a bright yellow solid (12.9 mg, 0.019 mmol, 38%). M.f.: $C_{44}H_{58}O_6$. MW: 682.94 g/mol. M.p.: 181 ºC. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2953, 2926, 2857, 2350, 1636, 1684, 1717, 1506, 1231 cm^{-1}. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2, ppm) δ 7.21 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.92 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 6.56 (s, 2H), 4.05 – 3.99 (m, 8H), 1.88 – 1.82 (m, 4H), 1.81-1.75 (m, 4H),1.39-1.35 (br m, 12H), 1.29 – 1.26 (br m, 12H), 0.92 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 12H). ^{13}CNMR (75 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 154.46, 144.74, 143.32, 134.31, 127.62, 125.54, 117.55, 116.92, 111.11, 100.78, 74.09, 69.25, 32.31, 32.17, 30.76, 29.76, 26.42, 26.32, 23.30, 23.21, 14.45, 14.38. HRMS (AP$^+$) calcd. for $C_{44}H_{59}0_6$ $[M+H]^+$ 683.4312, found 683.4304 (error -1.2 ppm).

6,7-dibromonaphthalene-2,3-diol (25)

To a solution of 2,3-dihydroxynaphthalene **21** (12.82 g, 80.0 mmol) in in glacial AcOH (200 mL) was added bromine (16.8 mL, 320 mmol). The reaction was stirred at reflux for 1h (till a solid was formed). The reaction mixture was cooled down to rt and H_2O (400 mL) was added resulting in a yellow precipitate. The solid was filtrated and dissolved in Et_2O (120 mL). The organic phase was washed with H_2O (2 x 120 mL) and the aqueous phase washed with Et_2O (2 x 120 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. Recrystallisation from hot AcOH afforded 1,4,6,7-tetrabromonaphthalene-2,3-diol as a yellow solid (27.5 g, 57.8 mmol, 79%). 1,4,6,7- tetrabromonaphthalene-2,3-diol (25.0 g, 52.5 mmol) was dissolved in glacial AcOH (500 mL) and $SnCl_2$ (79.98 g, 422 mmol) and H_2O (53 mL) were added. The reaction was heated near reflux and concentrated HCl (150 mL) was added, resulting in evolution of HBr gas. The mixture was heated at reflux for 2 h after which HBr formation appeared to have ceased. The solution was cooled to rt and H_2O (1 L) and concentrated HCl (120 mL) were added. Solvents were partially removed *in vacuo* and the resulting precipitate was filtered to afford a white solid (9.368 g, 29.46 mmol, 37% over two steps). M.f.: $C_{10}H_6Br_2O_2$. MW: 454.46 g/mol. M.p.: 212 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3649, 2922, 2310, 1496, 1489, 1252, 1232, 1150, 1105, 1024, 941, 887, 727, 692, 457 cm^{-1}. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, Acetone-d_6) δ 8.86 (s, 2H), 8.01 (s, 2H), 7.21 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, Acetone-d_6) δ 148.66, 131.10, 130.50, 118.68, 109.51. HRMS: Molecular peak not found with available techniques.

3-(benzyloxy)-6,7-dibromonaphthalen-2-ol (26)

To a solution of 6,7-dibromonaphthalene-2,3-diol **25** (5.80 g, 18.2 mmol) in anhydrous DMF (36 mL), $NaHCO_3$ (1.53 g, 18.2 mmol) was added under Ar. The resulting mixture was heated at 100 °C for 1 h. Benzyl bromide (2,16 mL, 18.2 mmol) was added and heated at 100 °C for 16 h. After cooling to rt, the mixture was diluted with CH_2Cl_2 (50 mL) and extracted with H_2O (3 x 30 mL). The aqueous layer was washed with CH_2Cl_2 (3 x 30mL). The combined organic phases were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and evaporated *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel column chromatography (CyHex/CH_2Cl_2 1:1) afforded **26** as a white solid (2.870 g, 7.03 mmol, 39%). M.f.: $C_{17}H_{12}Br_2O_2$. MW: 408.09 g/mol. M.p.: 165 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3515, 1498, 1453, 1304, 1352, 1292, 1251, 1151, 1104, 1024, 942, 887, 736, 695, 539 cm^{-1}. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.93 (s, 2H), 7.48 – 7.38 (m, 5H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 6.04 (s, 1H), 5.22 (s, 2H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 147.53, 146.95, 135.43, 130.86, 30.65, 129.79, 129.05, 128.95, 128.18, 120.17, 119.51, 108.66, 105.97, 71.32. (1 carbon signal

missing, probably due to overlap) HRMS (ES+) cacld. for $C_{17}H_{13}Br_2O_2$ $[M+H]^+$ 406.9277, found 406.9272 (error -1.2 ppm).

2-(benzyloxy)-6,7-dibromo-3-(hexyloxy)naphthalene (27)

To a flame-dried schlenck flask was added K_2CO_3 (3.32 g, 24.0 mmol), 3-(benzyloxy)-6,7- dibromonaphthalen-2-ol **26** (1.224 g, 3.0 mmol) and dry DMF (5 mL). The reaction was heated at 110 °C for 3 h before adding hexyl iodide (1.77 mL, 12.0 mmol). After 14 h at 110 °C, the reaction was cooled to rt and poured into 200 mL of ice cooled H_2O and stirred for 1 h at rt. Purification by filtration afforded **27** as an off-white solid (1.372 g, 2.79 mmol, 93%). M.f.: $C_{23}H_{24}Br_2O_2$. MW: 492.25 g/mol. M.p.: 92 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2924, 2857, 1624, 1501, 1447, 1247, 1163, 1024, 993, 889, 727, 692, 580 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.92 (s, 1H), 7.88 (s, 1H), 7.49 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 7.42 – 7.32 (m, 3H), 7.01 (s, 1H), 6.98 (s, 1H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.11 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 1.95 – 1.88 (m, 2H), 1.60 – 1.51 (m, 2H), 1.42 – 1.35 (m, 4H), 0.96 – 0.89 (m, 3H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 150.67, 149.98, 136.70, 130.58, 130.47, 129.56, 129.05, 128.67, 128.03, 127.12, 119.67, 119.38, 107.63, 106.44, 70.72, 69.01, 31.67, 29.08, 25.85 22.72, 14.13. HRMS (ES+) calcd. For $C_{23}H_{25}O_2Br_2$ $[M+H]^+$ 491.0221, found 491.0219 (error -0.4 ppm).

General procedure for the Ullman ether synthesis of 28-30

To a flame-dried schlenck flask was added 2-(benzyloxy)-6,7-dibromo-3-(hexyloxy)naphthalene **27** (496.2 mg, 1.008 mmol), CuI (61.4 mg, 0.33 mmol), Cs_2CO_3 (1.146 g, 3.5 mmol) and 3,4,7,8- tetramethyl-1,10-phenanthroline (164.4 mg, 0.69 mmol). The system was dried under vacuum for 20 minutes before being back-filled with N_2. Anhydrous 1-hexanol (2 mL) was added and the suspension was heated at 130 °C for 60 h. The reaction was filtered on silica pad (CH_2Cl_2) before being purified by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/CH_2Cl_2 6:4) to afford first an inseparable mixture of 2-(benzyloxy)- 3,6-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene **28** and 2-(benzyloxy)-3,7-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene **29** (146.5 mg, 0.337 mmol, 34%), and 2-(benzyloxy)-3,6,7-tris(hexyloxy)naphthalene **30** (216.5 mg, 0.405 mmol, 40%) as white solids.

2-(benzyloxy)-3,6-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene (28) and 2-(benzyloxy)-3,7-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene (29)

M.f.: $C_{29}H_{38}O_3$. MW: 434.62 g/mol. M.p.: 68 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2927, 1629, 1604, 1510, 1406, 1250, 1215, 1118, 862 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.66 – 7.56 (m, 3H), 7.50 – 7.40 (m, 3H), 7.23 – 7.03 (m, 4H), 5.25 – 5.20 (m, 2H), 4.17 – 4.07 (m, 4H), 1.97 – 1.87 (m, 4H), 1.60 – 1.59 (m, 4H), 1.53 – 1.32 (m, 8H), 1.04 – 1.01 (m, 6H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 156.46, 156.28, 150.27, 150.24, 149.62, 149.58, 147.90, 147.86, 147.28, 137.54, 137.40, 130.91, 130.87, 130.30, 130.26, 128.56, 128.54, 128.52, 127.88, 127.86, 127.78, 127.74, 127.68, 127.65, 127.51, 127.49, 127.45, 127.43, 124.54, 124.50, 123.99, 123.95, 116.60, 116.35, 109.53, 108.36, 108.25, 107.19, 106.36, 106.33, 106.29, 70.88, 70.63, 68.94, 68.79, 68.10, 31.80, 31.76, 29.46, 29.43, 29.35, 29.31, 29.27, 25.94, 25.90, 25.75, 22.79, 22.75, 14.00, 13.98, 13.95. HRMS (ES+) calcd. for $C_{29}H_{39}O_3$ $(M + H)^+$ 435.2899, found 435.2910 (error 2.5 ppm).

2-(benzyloxy)-3,6,7-tris(hexyloxy)naphthalene (30)

M.f.: $C_{35}H_{50}O_4$. MW: 534.78 g/mol. M.p.: 89 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2928, 2359, 1605, 1508, 1420, 1248, 1179, 870, 696, 617, 401 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.58 (d, J = 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.57 – 7.55 (m, 1H), 7.48 – 7.44 (m, 2H), 7.40 (ddd, J = 7.3, 3.7, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 5.22 (s, 2H), 4.16 – 4.08 (m, 6H), 1.98 – 1.89 (m, 6H), 1.62 – 1.56 (m, 6H), 1.47 – 1.41 (m, 12H), 1.04 – 0.94 (m, 9H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 148.46, 148.33, 148.28, 147.66, 137.62, 128.49, 127.81, 127.45, 124.94, 124.30, 108.92, 107.83, 107.75, 107.72, 70.92, 68.99 (2C), 68.94, 31.76 (3C), 29.39 (2C), 29.37, 25.92 (2C), 25.91, 22.76 (3C), 13.94 (3C). HRMS (ES+) calcd. for $C_{35}H_{51}O_4$ $[M+H]^+$ 535.3787, found 535.3785 (error -0.4 ppm).

3,7-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol (31)

A suspension of the mixture of regioisomers **28** and **29** (141.5 mg, 0.325 mmol), NH_4HCO_2 (1.00 g, 15.9 mmol) and Pd/C (10% w/w, 200.0 mg) in a mixture THF/MeOH (1:1 3.0 mL/3.0 mL) was refluxed for 3 h. The reaction was filtered over silica and Celite and washed with CH_2Cl_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/ CH_2Cl_2 9:1) afforded of **31** as a white solid (50.4 mg, 0.146 mmol, 45%) and an impure brownish oil (50.1 mg, 0.145 mmol, 44%) that corresponded to 3,6-bis(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol. M.f.: $C_{22}H_{32}O_3$. MW: 344.50 g/mol. M.p.: 86 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 3539, 2936, 2857, 2363, 1612, 1514, 1275, 1215, 1117, 1030, 869, 810, 621, 419 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.56 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (s, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 6.96 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 6.02 (s, 1H), 4.13 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 4.02 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2H), 1.91 – 1.85 (m, 2H), 1.84 – 1.78 (m, 2H), 1.57 – 1.46 (m, 4H), 1.41 – 1.32 (m, 8H), 0.94 – 0.91 (m, 6H). 13C NMR (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 156.78, 146.94, 145.57, 131.12, 128.32, 124.44, 116.79, 108.69, 107.14, 106.38, 69.53, 68.54, 32.21, 32.14, 29.85, 29.63, 26.34, 26.28, 23.21, 23.18, 14.40, 14.38. HRMS (ES+) calcd. for $C_{22}H_{33}O_3$ $[M+H]^+$ 345.2430, found 345.2433 (error 0.9 ppm).

3,3´,6,6´-tetrakis(hexyloxy)-[1,1´-binaphthalene]-2,2´-diol (32)

A solution of 3,7-*bis*(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol **31** (42.0 mg, 0.122 mol) and Cu-TMEDA (1.2 mg, 2.6 µmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was stirred at rt for 3 h in open air condition. The reaction was filtered through a pad of silica and volatiles were removed *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/ CH_2Cl_2 1:1) afforded **32** as a yellowish oil (32.5 mg, 0.047 mol, 77%). M.f.: $C_{44}H_{62}O_6$. MW: 686.97 g/mol. M.p.: 92 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2926, 2361, 1611, 1508, 1456, 1275, 1260, 1211, 1115, 895, 843, 621, 459 cm-1. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.66 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2H), 7.22 (s, 2 H), 7.00 (dd, *J* = 8.8, 2.5 Hz, 2H), 6.53 (d, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 2H), 5.99 (s, 2H), 4.29 – 4.16 (m, 4H), 3.78 – 3.63 (m, 4H), 1.96 – 1.87 (m, 4H), 1.65 – 1.48 (m, 8H), 1.45 – 1.14 (m, 20H), 0.95 – 0.84 (m, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 156.38, 144.96, 144.30, 130.15, 128.30, 124.17, 115.86, 113.93, 107.13, 105.81, 69.05, 67.91, 31.71, 31.68, 29.28, 29.18, 25.89, 25.79, 22.72, 22.68, 14.13. HRMS (ES+) calcd. for $C_{44}H_{63}O_6$ $[M+H]^+$ 687.4625, found 687.4643 (error 2.6 ppm).

2,5,8,11-tetrakis(hexyloxy)xantheno[2,1,9,8-*klmna*]xanthene (5)

A solution of 3,3',6,6'-tetrakis(hexyloxy)-[1,1'-binaphthalene]-2,2'-diol **32** (25.0 mg, 36.4 µmol) and Cu-TMEDA (8.32 mg, 17.9 µmol) in m-xylene (1.4 mL) was degassed and then stirred at 140 °C for 1 h under N_2. The solution was quenched by filtration over a pad of silica and washed with CH_2Cl_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1 to 3:7) afforded **5** as a yellow solid (14.0 mg, 20.5 µmol, 56%). M.f.: $C_{44}H_{58}O_6$. MW: 682.94 g/mol. M.p.: 167 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2927, 2361, 1321, 1217, 1161, 1096, 409 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (500 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 6.92 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 4H), 6.75 (s, 2H), 4.11 (t, J = 4.04 Hz, 4H), 4.04 (t, J = 4.04 Hz, 4H), 1.88 – 1.82 (m, 4H), 1.80 – 1.75 (m, 4H), 1.59 – 1.51 (m, 8H), 1.41 – 1.36 (m, 16H), 0.95 – 0.92 (m, 12H). ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, THF-d_8) δ 148.24, 141.96, 141.42, 137.35, 128.57, 121.86, 119.92, 118.31, 112.42, 107.10, 72.06, 69.64, 32.92, 32.84, 31.12, 30.42, 26.90, 26.88, 23.77, 23.76, 14.64 (2C). (1 aliphatic carbon signal missing probably due to overlap) HRMS (AP+) calcd. for $C_{44}H_{59}O_6$ $[M+H]^+$ 683.4312, found 683.4310 (error -0.3 ppm).

3,6,7-tris(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol (33)

A suspension of 2-(benzyloxy)-3,6,7-tris(hexyloxy)naphthalene **30** (206.0 mg, 0.385 mmol), NH_4HCO_2 (639.0 mg, 10.1 mmol), and Pd/C (10% w/w, 220.0 mg) in a mixture THF/MeOH (1:1 3.2mL/3.2mL) was refluxed for 3.5 h. The reaction was filtered over a pad of silica/Celite and washed

with CH_2Cl_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/CH_2Cl_2 2:1) afforded **33** as a white solid (159.2 mg, 0.358 mmol, 93%). M.f.: $C_{28}H_{44}O_4$. MW: 444.66 g/mol. M.p.: 82 °C. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2922, 2855, 1616, 1508, 1431, 1396, 1329, 1251, 1180, 1070, 1049, 864, 750, 621, 472 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 7.05 (s, 1H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 5.99 (s, 1H), 4.13 – 4.07 (m, 6H), 2.19 – 1.72 (m, 6H), 1.56 – 1.49 (m, 6H), 1.48-1.34 (m, 12H), 1.18 – 0.84 (m, 9H). ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 149.02, 148.63, 146.08, 145.15, 125.40, 124.78, 108.94, 108.59, 107.98, 106.51, 69.59, 69.54, 69.46, 32.34 (2C), 32.28, 29.96, 29.94, 29.78, 26.48 (2C), 26.41, 23.33 (2C), 23.29, 14.50 (3C). (9 aliphatic carbon signals missing, probably due to overlap) HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{28}H_{45}O_4$ $[M+H]^+$ 445.3318, found 445.3303 (error -3.4 ppm).

3,3´,6,6´,7,7´-hexakis(hexyloxy)-[1,1´-binaphthalene]-2,2´-diol (34)

Procedure A: A solution of 3,6,7-tris(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol **33** (41.9 mg, 94.2 µmol) and CuO (86 mg, 1.08 mmol) in $PhNO_2$ (2 mL) was refluxed for 1.5 h. The reaction was filtered through a pad of silica and Celite (CH_2Cl_2). Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1) afforded **34** as a brownish oil (21.1 mg, 23.8 µmol, 50.5%).

Procedure B: A solution of 3,6,7-tris(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol **33** (202.0 mg, 0.454 mol) and Cu-TMEDA (2.8 mg, 6.0 µmol) in CH_2Cl_2 (15 mL) was stirred at rt for 1 h in open air condition. The reaction was filtered through a pad of silica and were volatiles removed *in vacuo*. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1) afforded **34** as a yellowish oil (162.4 mg, 0.183 mol, 81%). M.f.: $C_{56}H_{86}O_8$. MW: 887.30 g/mol. M.p.: Oil. IR (neat) ν_{max}: 2924, 1611, 1504, 1464, 1423, 1292, 1244, 1173, 926, 853, 662, 621, 415 cm^{-1}. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.14 (s, 2H), 7.10 (s, 2H), 6.50 (s, 2H), 5.79 (s, 2H), 4.26 – 4.14 (m, 4H), 4.14 – 4.05 (m, J = 6.7 Hz, 4H), 3.74 – 3.58 (m, 4H), 1.95 – 1.82 (m, 8H), 1.68 – 1.09 (m, 40H), 0.96 – 0.81 (m, 18H). ^{13}C NMR (75 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 148.36, 148.21, 145.38, 142.38, 124.35, 124.18, 114.27, 108.52, 107.18, 106.45, 69.14, 69.05, 68.99, 31.77, 31.72, 31.64, 29.34, 29.31, 28.97, 25.92, 25.90, 25.72, 22.77, 22.73, 22.70, 14.17, 14.15, 14.11. HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{56}H_{87}O_8$ $[M+H]^+$ 887.6401, found 887.6390 (error -1.2 ppm).

1,2,5,7,10,11-hexakis(hexyloxy)xantheno[2,1,9,8-*klmna*]xanthene (6)

Procedure A: A degassed suspension of 3,6,7-tris(hexyloxy)naphthalene-2-ol **33** (20.0 mg, 45.0 µmol), K_2CO_3 (36.2 mg, 262 µmol), CuCl (10.7 mg, 108 µmol) and NMI (0.1 mL, 103 mg, 1.25 mmol) in PhMe (1.5 mL) was refluxed for 24 h under N_2. The reaction was diluted with CH_2Cl_2, filtered over a Celite/Silica pad and volatile removed *in vacuo.* Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE to PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1) afforded **6** as a yellow solid (4.4 mg, 5.0 mmol, 22%).

Procedure B: A solution of 3,3',6,6',7,7'-hexakis(hexyloxy)-[1,1'-naphthalene]-2,2'-diol **34** (14.6 mg, 16.5 µmol) and Cu-TMEDA (8.32 mg, 17.9 µmol) in m-xylene (1.4 mL) was degassed and then was refluxed for 1 h under N_2. Purification by silica gel chromatography (PE/CH_2Cl_2 1:1 to 3:7) of the crude reaction afforded **6** as a yellow solid (10.0 mg, 11.3 mmol, 69%).

M.f.: $C_{56}H_{82}O_8$. MW: 883.26 g/mol. M.p.: 162 °C. IR (neat) ν^{max}: 2954, 2923, 2855, 2354, 1638, 1680, 1715, 1642 1505, 1231 cm^{-1} . ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 6.66 (s, 2H), 6.48 (s, 2H), 4.07 – 3.98 (m, 12H), 1.93 – 1.74 (m, 12H), 1.59 – 1.44 (m, 12H), 1.44 – 1.28 (m, 24H), 0.98 – 0.85 (m, 18H). ^{13}C NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$) was not conclusive due to degradation of the material in solution. HRMS (ES^+) calcd. for $C_{56}H_{83}O_8$ $[M+H]^+$ 883.6088, found 883.6086 (error -0.2 ppm).

Funding Information

D.B. gratefully acknowledges the EU through the MSCA-RISE (project: INFUSION, No. 734834) and MSCA-IF "ENTERPRISE" (project number: 897396) and the University of Vienna for generous financial support. B.B.B. thanks the MSCA-IF "ENTERPRISE" project for her post-doctoral fellowship. A.R. thanks the FNRS for his doctoral fellowship. The collaboration between D.B., J.P. and C.L. is supported by the MSCA-RISE INFUSION project.

Acknowledgment

The Vienna Scientific Cluster (VSC) is acknowledged for generous allocation of computer resources.

Supporting Information

YES (this text will be updated with links prior to publication)

Primary Data

NO.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

(1) (a) Narita, A.; Wang, X.-Y.; Feng, X.; Müllen, K. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2015**, *44*, 6616. (b) Stępień, M.; Gońka, E.; Żyła, M.; Sprutta, N. *Chem. Rev.*, **2017**, *117*, 3479. (c) Tsuji, H.; Nakamura, E. *Acc. Chem. Res.*, **2017**, *50*, 396. (d) Kulyk, O.; Rocard, L.; Maggini L.; Bonifazi, D. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, **2020**, *49*, 8400. (e) Fermi A.; Bonifazi, D. *Photochemistry: Volume 47*, The Royal Society of Chemistry, **2020**, vol. 47, 293. (f) Borissov, A.; Maurya, Y. K.; Moshniaha, L.; Wong, W.-S.; Żyła-Karwowska, M.; Stępień, M. *Chem. Rev.*, **2022**, *122*, 565.

(2) (a) Pummerer, R.; Frankfurter, F. *Berichte der Dtsch. Chem. Gesellschaft*, **1914**, *47*, 1472. (b) Pummerer, R.; Rieche, A. *Berichte der Dtsch. Chem. Gesellschaft,* **1926**, *59*, 2161.

(3) Takaishi, K.; Hinoide, S.; Matsumoto, T.; Ema, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2019**, *141*, 11852.

(4) (a) Sciutto, A.; Fermi, A.; Folli, A.; Battisti, T.; Beames, J. M.; Murphy, D. M.; Bonifazi, D. *Chem. – A Eur. J.*, **2018**, *24*, 4382. (b) Trifonov, A. L.; Panferova, L. I.; Levin, V. V.; Kokorekin, V. A.; Dilman, A. D. *Org. Lett.*, **2020**, *22*, 2409. (c) Schlesinger, I.; Powers-Riggs, N. E.; Logsdon, J. L.; Qi, Y.; Miller, S. A.; Tempelaar, R.; Young, R. M.; Wasielewski, M. R. *Chem. Sci.*, **2020**, ***11***, 9532. (d) Pezzetta, C.; Folli, A.; Matuszewska, O.; Murphy, D.; Davidson R. W. M.; Bonifazi, D. *Adv. Synth. Catal.,* **2021**, *363*, 4740. (e) Ma, Q.; Song, J.; Zhang, X.; Jiang, Y.; Ji, L.; Liao, S. *Nat. Commun.*, **2021**, *12*, 429.

(5) (a) Song, C.; Swager, T. M. *Macromolecules*, **2009**, *42*, 1472. (b) Noda, M.; Kobayashi, N.; Katsuhara, M.; Yumoto, A.; Ushikura, S.; Yasuda, R.; Hirai, N.; Yukawa, G.; Yagi, I.; Nomoto, K.; Urabe, T. *SID Symp. Dig. Tech. Pap.*, **2010**, *41*, 710. (c) Wang, L.; Duan, G.; Ji, Y.; Zhang, H. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2012**, *116*, 22679. (d) Lv, N.; Xie, M.; Gu, W.; Ruan, H.; Qiu, S.; Zhou, C.; Cui, Z. *Org. Lett.*, **2013**, *15*, 2382. (e) Christensen, J. A.; Zhang, J.; Zhou, J.; Nelson, J. N.; Wasielewski, M. R. *J. Phys. Chem. C*, **2018**, *122*, 23364.

(6) (a) Dettling, A.; Rieker, A.; Speiser, B. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1988**, *29*, 4533. (b) Frenking, G.; Rieker, A.; Salbeck, J.; Speiser, B. *Zeitschrift für Naturforsch. B*, **1996**, *51*, 377.

(7) Keller, S. N.; Veltri, N. L.; Sutherland, T. C. *Org. Lett.*, **2013**, *15*, 4798.

(8) (a) Stassen, D.; Demitri, N.; Bonifazi, D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2016**, *55*, 5947. (b) Kamei, T.; Uryu, M.; Shimada, T. *Org. Lett.*, **2017**, *19*, 2714.

(9) Rossignon, A.; Bonifazi, D. *Synthesis* **2019**, *51*, 3588.

(10) Miletić, T.; Fermi, A.; Orfanos, I.; Avramopoulos, A.; De Leo, F.; Demitri, N.; Bergamini, G.; Ceroni, P.; Papadopoulos, M. G.; Couris, S.; Bonifazi, D. *Chem. – A Eur. J.*, **2017**, *23*, 2363.

(11) Berezin, A.; Biot, N.; Battisti, T.; Bonifazi, D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, **2018**, *57*, 8942.
(12) (a) Sciutto, A.; Berezin, A.; Lo Cicero, M.; Miletić, T.; Stopin, A.; Bonifazi, D. *J. Org. Chem.*, **2018**, *83*, 13787.
(13) Valentini, C.; Gowland, D.; Bezzu, C. G.; Romito, D.; Demitri, N.; Bonini, N.; Bonifazi, D. *Chem. Sci.*, **2022**, *13*, 6335.
(14) (a) Dobelmann, L.; Parham, A. H.; Büsing, A.; Buchholz, H.; König, B. *RSC Adv.*, **2014**, *4*, 60473. (b) Đorđević, L.; Milano, D.; Demitri N.; Bonifazi, D. *Org. Lett.*, **2020**, *22*, 4283. (c) Fletcher-Charles, J.; Ferreira, R. R.; Abraham, M.; Romito, D.; Oppel, M.; González, L.; Bonifazi, D. *European J. Org. Chem.*, **2022**, e202101166.
(15) Hume, P.; Furkert, D. P.; Brimble, M. A. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **2012**, *53*, 3771.
(16) Kobayashi, N.; Sasaki, M.; Nomoto, K. *Chem. Mater.*, **2009**, *21*, 552.
(17) Hassab, S.; Shen, D. E.; Österholm, A. M.; Da Rocha, M.; Song, G.; Alesanco, Y.; Viñuales, A.; Rougier, A.; Reynolds, J. R.; Padilla, J. *Sol. Energy Mater. Sol. Cells*, **2018**, *185*, 54.
(18) Gaussian 16, Revision C.01, Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Li, X.; Caricato, M.; Marenich, A. V.; Bloino, J.; Janesko, B. G.; Gomperts, R.; Mennucci, B.; Hratchian, H. P.; Ortiz, J. V.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Williams-Young, D.; Ding, F.; Lipparini, F.; Egidi, F.; Goings, J.; Peng, B.; Petrone, A.; Henderson, T.; Ranasinghe, D.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Gao, J.; Rega, N.; Zheng, G.; Liang, W.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Throssell, K.; Montgomery, J. A., Jr.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd, J. J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Keith, T. A.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Millam, J. M.; Klene, M.; Adamo, C.; Cammi, R.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Farkas, O.; Foresman, J. B.; Fox, D. J. Gaussian, Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.

Supporting Information

HOMO Energy-Level Lifting in *p*-Type O-Doped Graphenoids: Synthesis of Electrochromic Alkoxy-Decorated Xanthenoxanthenes

Alexandre Rossignon,[a,b] Beatrice Berionni Berna,[c] Jorge Parola,[d] César A. T. Laia,[d] Davide Bonifazi*[c]

[a] Department of Chemistry, University of Namur (UNamur), Rue de Bruxelles 61, 5000 Namur, Belgium.

[b] School of Chemistry of Cardiff University, Cardiff University, CF10 3AT Cardiff, United Kingdom.

[c] Institute of Organic Chemistry, University of Vienna, Währinger Straße 38, 1090, Vienna, Austria.

[d] LAQV-REQUIMTE, Department of Chemistry, NOVA School of Science and Technology, FCT NOVA, NOVA University of Lisbon, 2829-516 Caparica, Portugal.

E-mail: davide.bonifazi@univie.ac.at

Table of Contents

1. NMR-HRMS Spectroscopic characterisation (^{1}H, ^{13}C, HRMS)

1.1. Characterisation of molecule **1**



*Figure S1. 500 MHz ^{1}H NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **1***



*Figure S2. 126 MHz ^{13}C NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **1***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-32 H: 0-34 O: 0-4



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
482.2439	482.2457	-1.8	-3.7	16.0	239.9	0.0	C32 H34 O4

*Figure S3. [AP⁺]-HRMS of molecule **1***

1.2. Characterisation of molecule **2**



*Figure S4. 500 MHz ^{1}H NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **2***



*Figure S5. 126 MHz ^{13}C NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **2***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-32 H: 0-34 O: 0-4



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
482.2450	482.2457	-0.7	-1.5	16.0	478.0	0.0	C32 H34 O4

*Figure S6. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **2***

1.3. Characterisation of molecule **3**



*Figure S7. 500 MHz ^{1}H NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **3***



*Figure S8. 125 MHz ^{13}C NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **3***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
5 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-44 H: 0-59 O: 0-6



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
683.4313	683.4312	0.1	0.1	15.5	106.9	0.0	C44 H59 O6

*Figure S9. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **3***

1.4. Characterisation of molecule **4**



*Figure S10. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **4***



*Figure S11. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **4***

RN0121Y

DB_MS18428_APIS 3 (0.087) Cm (3-1:2)

1: TOF MS AP+
6.69e5



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
5 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 closest results for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-44 H: 0-59 O: 0-6

RN0121Y
DB_MS18428_APIS 3 (0.087) Cm (3-1:2)

1: TOF MS AP+
6.69e+005



Minimum:				-1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Norm	Conf(%)	Formula
683.4304	683.4312	-0.8	-1.2	15.5	519.7	n/a	n/a	C44 H59 O6

*Figure S12. [AP⁺]-HRMS of molecule **4***

1.5. Characterisation of molecule **5**



*Figure S13. 500 MHz ^{1}H NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **5***



*Figure S14. 126 MHz ^{13}C NMR in THF-d_8 of molecule **5***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
5 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-44 H: 0-59 O: 0-6



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
683.4310	683.4312	-0.2	-0.3	15.5	115.5	0.0	C44 H59 O6

*Figure S15. [AP⁺]-HRMS of molecule **5***

1.6. Characterisation of molecule **6**



*Figure S16. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **6***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
7 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-56 H: 0-83 O: 0-8



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
883.6086	883.6088	-0.2	-0.2	15.5	180.6	0.0	C56 H83 O8

*Figure S17. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **6***

1.7. Characterisation of molecule **9**



*Figure S18. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **9***



*Figure S19. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **9***

26-Mar-2018 BARCa090 XEVO-G2XSQTOF#YEA1289
Cardiff University
DB_MS19131_ESP 7 (0.147) Cm (7-1:2) 1: TOF MS ES+
9.24e6



Elemental Composition Report

Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
2 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-16 H: 0-21 O: 0-2

Minimum:				-1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0				
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Norm	Conf(%)	Formula
245.1544	245.1542	0.2	0.8	6.5	934.5	n/a	n/a	C16 H21 O2

*Figure S20. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **9***

1.8. Characterisation of molecule **10**



*Figure S21. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **10***



*Figure S22. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **10***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
2 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-16 H: 0-19 O: 0-2



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
243.1378	243.1385	-0.7	-2.9	7.5	35.4	0.0	C16 H19 O2

*Figure S21. [ES$^-$]-HRMS of molecule **10***

1.9. Characterisation of molecule **11**



*Figure S22. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **11***



*Figure S23. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **11***

Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 3

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-32 H: 0-39 O: 0-4

Minimum:				-1.5				
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0				
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Norm	Conf(%)	Formula
487.2853	487.2848	0.5	1.0	13.5	805.1	n/a	n/a	C32 H39 O4



*Figure S24. [AP⁺]-HRMS of molecule **11***

1.10 Characterisation of molecule **12**



*Figure S25. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **12***



*Figure S26. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **12***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

7 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)

Elements Used:

C: 0-32 H: 0-38 O: 0-4 23Na: 0-1



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
509.2676	509.2668	0.8	1.6	13.5	405.3	0.0	C32 H38 O4 23Na

*Figure S27. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **12***

1.11 Characterisation of molecule **14**



*Figure S28. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **14***



*Figure S29. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **14***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 50.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
2 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-17 H: 0-15 O: 0-2



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	50.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
251.1070	251.1072	-0.2	-0.8	10.5	228.9	0.0	C17 H15 O2

*Figure S30. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **14***

1.12 Characterisation of molecule **15**



*Figure S31. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **15***



*Figure S32. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **15***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 50.0
Element prediction: Off

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
280 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (all results (up to 1000) for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-17 H: 0-13 O: 0-2 74Se: 0-1 76Se: 0-1 77Se: 0-1 78Se: 0-1 80Se: 0-1 82Se: 0-1 Br: 0-1



Minimum:				-1.5		
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	50.0		
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	Formula
328.0097	328.0099	-0.2	-0.6	11.0	0.2	C17 H13 O2 Br

*Figure S33. [EI⁺]-HRMS of molecule **15***

1.13 Characterisation of molecule **16**



*Figure S34. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **16***



*Figure S35. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **16***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
5 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-23 H: 0-26 O: 0-2 Br: 0-1



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
413.1100	413.1116	-1.6	-3.9	10.5	154.4	0.0	C23 H26 O2 Br

*Figure S36. [AP⁺]-HRMS of molecule **16***

1.14 Characterisation of molecule **17**



*Figure S37. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in DMSO-d_6 of molecule **17***



*Figure S38. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in DMSO-d_6 of molecule **17***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 50.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
13 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-23 H: 0-28 10B: 0-1 11B: 0-1 O: 0-4



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	50.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
378.2126	378.2117	0.9	2.4	10.5	373.3	0.0	C23 H28 10B O4

*Figure S39. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **17***

1.15 Characterisation of molecule **18**



*Figure S40. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **18***



*Figure S41. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **18***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 50.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-23 H: 0-27 O: 0-3



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	50.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
351.1962	351.1960	0.2	0.6	10.5	415.6	0.0	C23 H27 O3

*Figure S42. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **18***

1.16 Characterisation of molecule **19**



*Figure S43. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **19***



*Figure S44. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **19***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 50.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-29 H: 0-39 O: 0-3



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	50.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
435.2900	435.2899	0.1	0.2	10.5	513.9	0.0	C29 H39 O3

*Figure S45. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **19***

1.17 Characterisation of molecule **20**



*Figure S46. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **20***



*Figure S47. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **20***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-22 H: 0-33 O: 0-3



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
345.2427	345.2430	-0.3	-0.9	6.5	704.1	0.0	C22 H33 O3

*Figure S48. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **20***

1.18 Characterisation of molecule **22**



*Figure S49. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **22***



*Figure S50. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **22***

Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
2 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-22 H: 0-33 O: 0-2

09-Feb-2017
DB_MS14268_ESP 5 (0.656)

RN006

School of Chemistry Cardiff University
1: TOF MS ES+
6.76e+004



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
329.2487	329.2481	0.6	1.8	6.5	600.3	0.0	C22 H33 O2

09-Feb-2017
DB_MS14268_ESP 5 (0.656) Cm (5-(1:3+14:15))

RN006

School of Chemistry Cardiff University
1: TOF MS ES+
6.71e4



Figure S51. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule ***22***

1.19 Characterisation of molecule **23**



*Figure S52. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **23***



*Figure S53. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **23***

Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
8 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-28 H: 0-44 10B: 0-1 O: 0-4

17-Mar-2017 RN 017 School of Chemistry Cardiff University
DB_MS14698_ESP 8 (0.965) Cm (8-(2:4+13)) 1: TOF MS ES+
8.52e+004



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
454.3370	454.3369	0.1	0.2	7.5	520.4	0.0	C28 H44 10B O4

17-Mar-2017 **RN 017** **School of Chemistry Cardiff University**
DB_MS14698_ESP 8 (0.965) Cm (8-(2:4+13)) 1: TOF MS ES+
8.52e4



*Figure S54. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **23***

1.20 Characterisation of molecule **24**



*Figure S55. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **24***



*Figure S56. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **24***

Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-22 H: 0-33 O: 0-3

09-Feb-2017 RNO11 School of Chemistry Cardiff University
DB_MS14265_ESP 7 (0.862) Cm (7-(1:3+15)) 1: TOF MS ES+
3.05e+004



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
345.2437	345.2430	0.7	2.0	6.5	377.6	0.0	C22 H33 O3

09-Feb-2017 **RNO11** **School of Chemistry Cardiff University**
DB_MS14265_ESP 7 (0.862) Cm (7-(1:3+15)) 1: TOF MS ES+
3.05e4



*Figure S57. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **24***

1.21 Characterisation of molecule **25**



*Figure S58. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in Acetone-d_6 of molecule **25***



*Figure S59. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in Acetone-d_6 of molecule **25***

1.22 Characterisation of molecule **26**



*Figure S60. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **26***



*Figure S61. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **26***



*Figure S62. [AP⁺]-HRMS of molecule **26***

1.23 Characterisation of molecule **27**



*Figure S63. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **27***



*Figure S64. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **27***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 50.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
8 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-23 H: 0-25 O: 0-2 Br: 0-2



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	50.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
491.0219	491.0221	-0.2	-0.4	10.5	250.6	0.0	C23 H25 O2 Br2

*Figure S65. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **27***

1.24 Characterisation of the mixture of **28**-**29**



*Figure S66. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecules **28, 29***



*Figure S67. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecules **28, 29***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 50.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-29 H: 0-39 O: 0-3



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	50.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
435.2910	435.2899	1.1	2.5	10.5	501.6	0.0	C29 H39 O3

*Figure S68. [ES$^+$]-HRMS of molecules **28**, **29***

1.25 Characterisation of molecule **30**



*Figure S69. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **30***



*Figure S70. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **30***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
4 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-35 H: 0-51 O: 0-4



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
535.3785	535.3787	-0.2	-0.4	10.5	140.3	0.0	C35 H51 O4

*Figure S71. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **30***

1.26 Characterisation of molecule **31**



*Figure S72. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **31***



*Figure S73. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **31***



Elemental Composition Report

Page 1

Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
3 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-22 H: 0-33 O: 0-3



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
345.2433	345.2430	0.3	0.9	6.5	497.3	0.0	C22 H33 O3

*Figure S74. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **31***

1.27 Characterisation of molecule **32**



*Figure S75. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **32***



*Figure S76. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **32***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis

Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0

Element prediction: Off

Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions

5 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)

Elements Used:

C: 0-44 H: 0-63 O: 0-6



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
687.4643	687.4625	1.8	2.6	13.5	364.8	0.0	C44 H63 O6

*Figure S77. [ES+]-HRMS of molecule **32***

1.28 Characterisation of molecule **33**



*Figure S78. 400 MHz ^{1}H NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **33***



*Figure S79. 101 MHz ^{13}C NMR in CD_2Cl_2 of molecule **33***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 5.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Odd and Even Electron Ions
4 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-28 H: 0-45 O: 0-4



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	5.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
445.3303	445.3318	-1.5	-3.4	6.5	235.7	0.0	C28 H45 O4

*Figure S80. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **33***

1.29 Characterisation of molecule **34**



*Figure S81. 300 MHz ^{1}H NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **34***



*Figure S82. 75 MHz ^{13}C NMR in $CDCl_3$ of molecule **34***



Elemental Composition Report **Page 1**

Single Mass Analysis
Tolerance = 500.0 PPM / DBE: min = -1.5, max = 100.0
Element prediction: Off
Number of isotope peaks used for i-FIT = 9

Monoisotopic Mass, Even Electron Ions
7 formula(e) evaluated with 1 results within limits (up to 50 best isotopic matches for each mass)
Elements Used:
C: 0-56 H: 0-87 O: 0-8



Minimum:				-1.5			
Maximum:		5.0	500.0	100.0			
Mass	Calc. Mass	mDa	PPM	DBE	i-FIT	i-FIT (Norm)	Formula
887.6390	887.6401	-1.1	-1.2	13.5	159.4	0.0	C56 H87 O8

*Figure S83. [ES⁺]-HRMS of molecule **34***

2. Cyclic Voltammetry



*Figure S84. Cyclic voltammetry analysis of **PXX** and molecules **1-6** in CH_2Cl_2 using n-Bu_4NPF_6 as supporting electrolyte*