

Decavanadate interactions with actin: cysteine oxidation and vanadyl formation

Susana Ramos,^{a,c} Rui O. Duarte,^c José J. G. Moura^c and Manuel Aureliano^{*a,b}

Received 2nd April 2009, Accepted 7th July 2009

First published as an Advance Article on the web 5th August 2009

DOI: 10.1039/b906255f

Incubation of actin with decavanadate induces cysteine oxidation and oxidovanadium(IV) formation. The studies were performed combining kinetic with spectroscopic (NMR and EPR) methodologies. Although decavanadate is converted to labile oxovanadates, the rate of deoligomerization can be very slow (half-life time of 5.4 h, at 25 °C, with a first order kinetics), which effectively allows decavanadate to exist for some time under experimental conditions. It was observed that decavanadate inhibits F-actin-stimulated myosin ATPase activity with an IC_{50} of 0.8 μM V_{10} species, whereas 50 μM of vanadate or oxidovanadium(IV) only inhibits enzyme activity up to 25%. Moreover, from these three vanadium forms, only decavanadate induces the oxidation of the so called “fast” cysteines (or exposed cysteine, Cys-374) when the enzyme is in the polymerized and active form, F-actin, with an IC_{50} of 1 μM V_{10} species. Decavanadate exposition to F- and G-actin (monomeric form) promotes vanadate reduction since a typical EPR oxidovanadium(IV) spectrum was observed. Upon observation that V_{10} reduces to oxidovanadium(IV), it is proposed that this cation interacts with G-actin (K_d of $7.48 \pm 1.11 \mu\text{M}$), and with F-actin ($K_d = 43.05 \pm 5.34 \mu\text{M}$) with 1:1 and 4:1 stoichiometries, respectively, as observed by EPR upon protein titration with oxidovanadium(IV). The interaction of oxidovanadium(IV) with the protein may occur close to the ATP binding site of actin, eventually with lysine-336 and 3 water molecules.

1. Introduction

It has been recently reviewed that biological effects of vanadium are not exclusively due to monomeric vanadate or vanadyl (oxidovanadium(IV)) cation, but also to other oxovanadate forms such as decameric vanadate species.¹ This oxovanadate species, also referred to as decavanadate (V_{10}), is known to affect lipidic structures, protein function and also several biochemical processes.² One of these processes is the formation of F-actin, the major component of muscle thin filaments, is shown to be inhibited by decavanadate with an IC_{50} of 17 μM , whereas no effects were detected for monomeric vanadate species.³ These studies were further explored and the results described in the present paper.

The chemistry of vanadium is characterised by multiple oxidation states (+2 to +5), being mostly found in the +4 and +5 states, both of which are readily accessible under physiological conditions.⁴ At low pH the V(IV) species (oxidovanadium(IV) cation) are more favored, whereas at high pH V(V) (vanadate anions) is the most stable form of vanadium in aqueous solution.⁵ Around neutral pH, V_1 (H_2VO_4^- and HVO_4^{2-}) condenses with itself to form dimeric (V_2), tetrameric (V_4) and pentameric (V_5) structures.^{6,7} Upon acidification of neutral solutions (from pH 2 to 6) a decameric vanadate species ($V_{10}\text{O}_{28}^{6-}$) is formed. This vanadate species V_{10} is responsible for the yellow/orange colour of

vanadate solutions and is thermodynamically unstable at neutral and alkaline pH values. This oxoanion contains three different types of vanadium atoms, the most unusual being the VO_6 -type observed for the two central vanadium atoms.⁵ Besides pH, both vanadate concentration and ionic strength can also account for the different states of protonation and structures observed for the different oligomeric vanadate species that occur simultaneously in equilibrium.⁷⁻⁹ The blue oxidovanadium(IV) cation (VO^{2+}) undergoes autoxidation to the colourless vanadate (H_2VO_4^-) in the presence of oxygen, whereas vanadate, in turn, can be reduced by reductants such as glutathione, ascorbate, NADPH¹⁰ or cysteine,¹¹ whereas it has also been described that decavanadate reduction does not necessarily imply the disruption of the molecular structure.¹²

Oxidovanadium(IV) cation binds tightly to various proteins including serum albumin, carboxypeptidase, nucleases and phosphatases.^{4,13} Vanadate species associate randomly with proteins such as the E1E2-ATPases,^{1,14} phosphofructokinase,¹⁵ transferrin,¹⁶ ribonucleases^{1,17} among many others.^{1,4} Most studies about the interactions/effects of vanadate with proteins considered monomeric vanadate as the active species.^{1,4,8} However, in the last 10 years the number of studies involving decavanadate has increased gradually.¹ In fact, V_{10} has proved itself to be a very useful tool in the comprehension of protein structure and function, biochemical mechanisms and biological processes,¹⁸⁻²² being now considered the major protein-bound vanadate species.^{1,22} Moreover, it is known that decavanadate interacts with enzymes at the polyphosphate, nucleotide and inositol-3-phosphate binding sites in the substrate domain or in an allosteric site, in a complex manner.¹

^aFCT, University of Algarve, Faro, Portugal. E-mail: maalves@ualg.pt; Fax: 351-289800066

^bCentre for Marine Sciences (CCMAR), University of Algarve, Faro, Portugal

^cREQUIMTE, Departamento de Química, Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, FCT, Universidade Nova de Lisboa, 2829-516, Caparica, Portugal

The connection between vanadium and muscle contraction started when it was discovered that vanadium in horse-muscle-derived ATP inhibited sodium/potassium pump activity²³ and since then many studies have been performed linking vanadium and vanadium complexes with proteins involved in the mechanism and regulation of muscle contraction.^{1,3,9,24} One of the major proteins of the contractile protein group is actin. Monomeric actin (G-actin) polymerizes to form F-actin, the major component of the thin filament of the contractile system. In muscle cells, this polymerization process is essential for contraction because G-actin, by itself, does not stimulate myosin ATPase activity. Previous studies from Combeau and Carlier²⁵ demonstrate that vanadate, upon binding to F-actin-ADP subunits, increases the strength of actin-actin interactions in the filament in a phosphate-like fashion. It has been reported that vanadate stabilizes F-actin filaments through the formation of F-actin-ADP·V₁₀ complexes and induces actin polymerization by inhibiting specific tyrosine phosphatases.²⁶ However, vanadate concentrations in the millimolar range favour the appearance of vanadate oligomers such as tetrameric vanadate species, and could induce changes in protein structure and function, and eventually destabilize the structure of the filament, which was also suggested by Ramos *et al.*³ These authors proposed that besides V₄ species, the presence of V₁₀ strongly induces F-actin depolymerization and G-actin polymerization inhibition, whereas no effects were observed for metavanadate solutions up to 2 mM, that contain mostly monomeric vanadate species but not V₁₀ species. Moreover, it was also demonstrated that V₁₀ becomes more stable upon interaction with G-actin.³

In the present paper, it was observed that only decameric species induce oxidation of cysteines from actin, probably through a process that involves the reduction of V₁₀ to oxidovanadium(IV). It is proposed that this redox behaviour of V₁₀ might be responsible, at least in part, for the described inhibition of the myosin-S1-ATPase stimulated by F-actin exposed to decavanadate. Although it is not possible to determine which oxovanadate species or vanadium atoms undergo reduction, the effect is only observed in the presence of decavanadate.

2. Material and methods

2.1 Protein purification

Actin was extracted from acetone powder of rabbit skeletal muscle in a medium containing 2 mM Tris, pH 8.0, 0.2 mM ATP, 0.2 mM CaCl₂, 0.5 mM β-mercaptoethanol, and 0.005% NaN₃, as described by Pardee and Spudich.²⁷ G-actin (Mr 42.3 kDa) concentration was determined by measuring the absorbance at 290 nm using an extinction coefficient of 0.617 mg⁻¹ mL cm⁻¹.²⁸ Myosin subfragment-1 (S1) was prepared from leg and dorsal rabbit skeletal muscle and obtained by chymotryptic digestion as indicated in Tiago *et al.*⁹ S1 (M_r 115 kDa) concentration was determined spectrophotometrically by using an extinction coefficient of $\epsilon_{280}^{1\%} = 7.5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

2.2 Preparation of “metavanadate”, “decavanadate” and vanadyl solutions

Metavanadate solutions (50 mM, pH 6.0–7.0) were prepared from ammonium metavanadate (NH₄VO₃). Decavanadate solutions

were obtained by adjusting the pH of the metavanadate solution to 4.0.^{14,29} The presence of decameric vanadate species was revealed by a characteristic orange color. Decavanadate solutions were always adjusted to pH 7.5 immediately before using. Vanadyl solution (50 mM) was obtained from vanadyl sulfate (VOSO₄) in anaerobic conditions using a glove box. Wherever possible, vanadium(IV) and (V) solutions were added to the assays from the concentrated or from a 10-fold dilution of the stock solutions. All the solutions were kept at 4 °C.

2.3 UV-Visible characterization of decavanadate solutions

The kinetics of decameric species dissociation was determined from measurements of the absorption at 400 nm (an absorption band which is characteristic of decameric vanadate), using a HP 8452A diode array spectrophotometer. The reactions were started after addition of 1 mM decavanadate solution (100 μM of decameric vanadate species) to the reaction medium (2 mM Tris, pH 7.5, in the presence or absence of 0.2 mM CaCl₂ and 0.2 mM ATP). The kinetics were followed for 120 min at different temperatures. Since the V₁₀ dissociation follows a first-order reaction kinetics,²⁹ it was possible to determine the activation energy (E_a) for the dissociation.

2.3 ⁵¹V NMR measurements

⁵¹V NMR spectroscopy measurements were performed on a Bruker AM-400 MHz spectrometer at 105.2 MHz equipped with a 5 mm multinuclear inverse probe. Spectra were acquired at 25 °C, using 0.5 ml of samples containing 10% D₂O, under the following conditions: 90° pulse angle, spectral width 45 454 Hz, acquisition time 0.086 s, number of transients between 12 000 and 25 000 and a relaxation delay of 0.01 s. For comparative measurements all the spectral parameters were kept constant. ⁵¹V NMR chemical shifts are reported relative to an external reference, VOCl₃ (0 ppm). NMR spectra of metavanadate (2 mM) and decavanadate (5 mM) solutions were obtained in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl₂, in the absence or presence of ATP and ADP (2 mM), G- and F-actin, as desired. The ionic strength effect was evaluated by KCl addition (5 mM). The ⁵¹V NMR line widths of the several free and bound vanadate resonances represent the widths at half-height after subtraction of 20 Hz used in line broadening. The concentrations of each vanadate species V_x were calculated from the fractions of the total integrated areas using the following equation: $[V_x] = (A_x/A_t) \times ([V_t]/n)$, where A_x corresponds to the area measured for the x vanadate species with n as the oligomer number (number of vanadium atoms), A_t the sum of measured areas, and [V_t] the total vanadate concentration, according to that described elsewhere.^{14,29}

2.4 Measurements of F-actin stimulated S1-Mg²⁺-ATPase activity

Actin samples (2 μM) were incubated with various concentrations of meta-, decavanadate and sulfate vanadyl solutions at 25 °C for 20 min, in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl₂ and 0.2 mM ATP. The stimulation of myosin S1 Mg²⁺-ATPase activity by F-actin was measured spectrophotometrically at 340 nm using the coupled enzyme pyruvate kinase/lactate dehydrogenase (0.42 mM

phosphoenolpyruvate, 0.375 mM NADH, 18 U of lactate dehydrogenase, and 18 U of pyruvate kinase), as in Tiago *et al.*⁹

2.5 Measurements of cysteine oxidation

The titration of actin cysteines was performed with DTNB, as indicated previously,^{30,31} using an extinction coefficient at 412 nm of $10\,900\text{ M}^{-1}\text{ cm}^{-1}$ for the coloured product thionitrophenolate. The assays were carried in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , in the presence or absence of 0.2 mM ATP, after 20 min of incubation with meta- and decavanadate solutions.

2.6 Electron paramagnetic resonance spectroscopy

EPR spectra were carried out with a conventional X-band Bruker EMX 6/1 spectrometer equipped with a Bruker ER4116DM dual mode cavity. An Oxford ESR900 continuous-flow cryostat was used. The spectra of frozen solutions were acquired at 77 K using 0.2 ml of samples with vanadyl sulfate and G- or F-actin in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM $\text{CaCl}_2 \pm 0.2\text{ mM ATP}$ as desired. All the samples were prepared in a glove box and the solutions used were always purged with nitrogen before using. Therefore, after being prepared in anaerobic conditions, the samples were then closed and frozen with nitrogen when taken out from the glove chamber. Under these conditions, no oxidation is supposed to occur. NMR could be used to analyze the vanadate formation but the sensitivity is too low once μM concentrations of oxidovanadium(IV) were used. Besides, the presence of paramagnetic species broadens the NMR signals and decreases the signal/noise ratio. Upon titration of oxidovanadium(IV) with protein, the EPR intensities were determined from the transition peak of $-1/2$ perpendicular. Contrary to the studies performed in the glove chamber, the actin–vanadate reduction and subsequent oxidovanadium(IV) formation was evaluated using samples prepared in the same conditions of the cysteine oxidation measurements (2 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.2 mM $\text{CaCl}_2 \pm 0.2\text{ mM ATP}$, incubation at 25 °C for 20 min, aerobic conditions). Typical measurement conditions for CW-EPR were field modulation frequency, 100 kHz; modulation amplitude, 5 G; receiver gain, 2×10^5 ; time constant, 40.96 ms; sweep time 167.77 s; microwave power, 0.635 mW and the number of scans, 4. For the simulation of EPR spectra the linewidths for G-actin, were 20.0, 20.0, and 24.0 G, respectively for the *x*-direction, *y*-direction and *z*-direction whereas for F-actin: 22.2, 22.2 and 26.6 G were used. The lineshapes were Lorentzian/Gaussian for both.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Decavanadate stability, characterization and interaction with actin

The decomposition of decameric vanadate species in the buffers used in the present study (2 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.2 mM CaCl_2 plus 0.2 mM ATP, wherever indicated), was monitored at 400 nm, and followed a first-order kinetics (data not shown) in a temperature-dependent manner: the rate of decavanadate hydrolysis is considerably faster as the temperature is raised ($k_{25^\circ\text{C}} = 0.129 \pm 0.006\text{ h}^{-1}$ and $k_{45^\circ\text{C}} = 0.769 \pm 0.023\text{ h}^{-1}$), in agreement with that described elsewhere.^{32,33} The presence of calcium or ATP in the concentrations used in the assays did not change the decavanadate rate of decomposition (data not shown). The activation energy,

E_a ($\ln k = E_a R^{-1} T^{-1}$, where k corresponds to the kinetic constant at temperature T , in Kelvin, and R is the universal gas constant), is about $63.4 \pm 2.7\text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1). In other studies, in water and in KCl/imidazole buffer, the E_a obtained was in the order of 94 and 97 kJ mol^{-1} , respectively.³² The relatively high half-life time at 25 °C ($\approx 5.4\text{ h}$) and the type of kinetics allows the analysis of the interaction of decavanadate with actin even at relatively low total vanadate concentrations (100 μM), that correspond to an even lower (10 μM) decameric vanadate concentration.




Fig. 1 Decomposition of decavanadate at pH 7.5 and different temperature values. Decavanadate stock solution (50 mM) was diluted to the final concentration (1 mM total vanadate) at the start of the experiments in 2 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP. The first-order reactions were followed at 400 nm for 2 h. Data (solid squares) are plotted as means \pm SD. The results shown are the average of triplicate experiments.

As it has been described in previous studies, the ^{51}V -NMR spectroscopy of meta- and decavanadate solutions allows precise quantification of the amount of each vanadate species in the assays.^{14,15,29} In fact, for the decavanadate concentration used in the NMR studies (5 mM total vanadate), the spectrum obtained (Fig. 2A) shows the characteristic signals of decameric (V_{10A} at -516 ppm ; V_{10B} at -502 ppm ; V_{10C} at -424 ppm) and monomeric species (V_1 at -561 ppm), containing approximately 480 μM V_{10} and 200 μM V_1 (decameric vanadate is about 2.5-fold more concentrated than monomeric vanadate). Using NMR spectroscopy, it is possible to determine the decameric vanadate concentration, the presence of other vanadate oligomers in solution, as well as specific interactions with proteins. With total vanadate concentration in the mM range, V_{10} concentration increases linearly with total vanadate concentration. However this behaviour depends on vanadate oligomeric species.²² For instance, a metavanadate solution (2 mM) contains 1 mM V_1 (-561 ppm), 200 μM V_2 (-567 ppm) and 120 μM V_4 (-579 ppm), in equilibrium (Fig. 2B) and each of this species does not increase linearly with vanadate concentration, with the higher oligomers being favoured at higher vanadate concentrations.

The addition of G-actin (40 μM) to a 5 mM decavanadate solution (500 μM decameric species) has different effects on the signals of the vanadate species, promoting the half-height linewidth broadening of the decameric vanadate signal (V_{10A}), from 139 to 299 Hz (2.1-fold), whereas its intensity (peak height)




Fig. 2 105.2 MHz ^{51}V -NMR spectra, at room temperature, of (A) 5 mM decavanadate (total vanadate) and (B) 2 mM metavanadate in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 . V_1 and V_2 NMR signals correspond, respectively, to monomeric (H_2VO_4^-) and dimeric ($\text{HV}_2\text{O}_7^{3-}$ and $\text{H}_2\text{V}_2\text{O}_7^{2-}$) vanadate species irrespective of the protonation state, V_4 and V_5 correspond to cyclic tetrameric ($\text{V}_4\text{O}_{12}^{4-}$) and pentameric ($\text{V}_5\text{O}_{13}^{5-}$) vanadate species, whereas V_{10A} , V_{10B} and V_{10C} signals correspond to the V(2), V(1) and V(3) vanadium atoms in the decameric vanadate species ($\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}^{6-}$).

decreases (Fig. 3A and B). Conversely, no significant changes were detected in the NMR signal corresponding to monomeric vanadate upon G-actin addition. By increasing the ionic strength (addition of 100 mM KCl), a decrease in the half-height linewidth of V_{10A} signals, from 299 to 219 Hz upon addition of protein was observed (1.5-fold), suggesting that the interaction between decameric vanadate and the protein is affected (Fig. 3C and D). Once the analysis of decameric vanadate *versus* G-actin interaction is favoured at lower ionic strength, NMR studies were carried out without further addition of salts to the assays' medium (2 mM Tris, pH 7.5, 0.2 mM CaCl_2). In one of this set of

experiments, it was observed that the presence of high concentrations of ATP (5 mM) broadens the V_{10} signal (1.5-fold) (Fig. 3E and F).

Upon titration of decavanadate with G-actin, in the absence of any natural ligand (ATP), it was also observed that, at a 10:1 decavanadate ion:protein molecule ratio, the V_{10A} signals did not present further broadening when the protein concentration increases (Fig. 4A). The G-actin concentration at which the broadening factor is halfway between bottom ($F = 0.91 \pm 0.10$) and top ($F = 2.23 \pm 0.07$) was $25.42 \pm 2.32 \mu\text{M}$, taking into account the Boltzmann sigmoidal function used to fit the data (Fig. 4A).




Fig. 3 105.2 MHz ^{51}V -NMR spectra, at room temperature, of 5 mM decavanadate (total vanadate) in (A) 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 2 mM CaCl_2 and with (B) 40 μM G-actin, (C) 100 mM KCl, (D) solution C plus 40 μM G-actin, (E) 5 mM ATP, and (F) solution E plus 40 μM G-actin.




Fig. 4 Decavanadate solution (5 mM total vanadate) titrated with (A) G-actin in a medium containing 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 ; Data (solid squares) are plotted as means \pm SD and fitted with a Boltzmann sigmoidal function; and (B) F-actin in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP. Broadening factor, F , represents the quotient between the line width of the V_{10A} signal in the absence of actin and after protein addition. The results shown are the average of triplicate experiments. In certain experimental conditions the error bar is within the diameter of the symbol.

A similar experiment was conducted with the polymerized form of actin, but the dispersion pattern could not be fitted by any mathematical function and therefore no kinetic constants could be calculated (Fig. 4B). Note that in this graphic the error bars are within the diameter of the symbols. This low error of measurement, in comparison to the above situation with the monomeric form of actin, G-actin, is probably due to the viscosity of the polymerized form of actin. We also cannot exclude that the ratio between depolymerized/polymerized form of actin might be affected by the monomeric concentration of G-actin, that will contribute to a higher error of measurement of the width of the NMR signal observed in the former situation in particular for lower G-actin concentrations. Nevertheless, consistent with former studies,^{3,34} F-actin (40 μM) produced a broadening from 165 to 207 Hz (1.3-fold) in the V_{10A} NMR signal of the decameric vanadate species (data not shown).

Table 1 pH effect in S1-ATPase (0.05 mg/ml) activity stimulated by 2 μM F-actin treated with different decavanadate concentrations ($[\text{Decavanadate}]_{\text{stock}} = 5 \text{ mM}$), in 2 mM MES (pH 6.0) or 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP, 2.5 mM MgCl_2 , at 25 $^\circ\text{C}$ for 20 min. Quantification by coupled enzymes assay.⁹ The results shown are the average of triplicate experiments

| Buffer | pH | IC_{50} (μM) (V_{10}) |
|-----------|-----|---|
| 2 mM MES | 6.0 | 0.52 ± 0.06 |
| 2 mM Tris | 7.5 | 0.80 ± 0.15 |

3.2 Decavanadate inhibits F-actin stimulated S1-ATPase activity

Based on the above NMR observations, it is proposed that V_{10} NMR signals are affected upon actin interaction. This in turn leads to the question: is the actin function affected by this vanadate oligoanion? In order to clarify if decavanadate influences the actin physiological function, that is, the ability to stimulate the myosin ATPase activity during the process of muscle contraction, F-actin (2 μM) was incubated with different vanadate, decavanadate and oxidovanadium(IV) concentrations and its subsequent capacity to stimulate myosin-S1-ATPase (0.05 mg/ml) activity was analyzed (Fig. 5). It was observed that while metavanadate and oxidovanadium(IV) solutions promote no more than 25% inhibition for the maximum vanadium concentration used (50 μM), decavanadate, in turn, is a stronger inhibitor, preventing the ability of F-actin to stimulate S1-ATPase with an $\text{IC}_{50} = 8.04 \pm 1.49 \mu\text{M}$ total vanadate, *i.e.* $0.80 \pm 0.15 \mu\text{M}$ for decameric vanadate species. Since $\text{HV}_{10}\text{O}_{28}^{5-}$ has a $\text{p}K_a$ value of 6.14, the assays were also performed at pH 6.0 (2 mM MES, 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP) and an $\text{IC}_{50} = 0.52 \pm 0.06 \mu\text{M}$ for V_{10} was also determined (Table 1). Therefore, $\text{HV}_{10}\text{O}_{28}^{5-}$ and $V_{10}\text{O}_{28}^{6-}$ ions seem to have similar inhibitory effects in the actin stimulation of myosin ATPase activity.

3.3 Actin cysteine oxidation versus decavanadate reduction

If decameric vanadate affects the ability of actin to stimulate the myosin ATPase activity, this effect could be due to changes in the structure of actin that would be responsible for the blockage of myosin stimulation. On the other hand, decavanadate could affect the myosin ATPase activity itself. This second hypothesis has already been answered, since it was suggested that decameric vanadate behaves as a back-door myosin inhibitor.^{9,22} Regarding the changes in actin structure, it is known that disulfide bonds are important in the stabilization of protein structure and that thiol groups, located near the active center of enzymes for instance, are essential in the function of many enzymes. Therefore, since actin contains five cysteines that could react with vanadate, cysteine oxidation in the presence of vanadate was analyzed.

It was observed that after an exposure of 20 min to two different vanadate solutions, metavanadate and decavanadate, only the solution containing V_{10} was able to oxidize one of the G-actin core cysteine residues, with an $\text{IC}_{50} = 7.8 \pm 0.2 \mu\text{M}$ decavanadate (0.78 $\mu\text{M} \pm 0.02 V_{10}$), while the only exposed residue (Cys-374), the so called "fast cysteine", remains in its reduced form (Fig. 6A). When ATP is present in the medium all five cysteine residues still maintain the reduced form (data not shown) upon exposure to decavanadate, suggesting that V_{10} interaction with actin is affected by ATP, as previously described using NMR spectroscopy.³ However, upon decavanadate incubation with




Fig. 5 Effect of F-actin incubation with V(IV) and V(V) on stimulation of S1 ATPase activity. F-actin (2 μM) was incubated with different meta- (\blacktriangle), decavanadate (\blacksquare) and vanadyl sulfate (\bullet) concentrations for 20 min at 25 $^{\circ}\text{C}$. The capacity to stimulate S1 ATPase (0.05 mg ml $^{-1}$) activity was monitored by coupled enzymes assay and followed at 340 nm as indicated in the Experimental section. Data (solid squares, triangles and circles) are plotted as means \pm SD. The results shown are the average of triplicate experiments.

F-actin, full oxidation of the “fast cysteine” was observed, along with the oxidation of the core cysteine mentioned above, with IC_{50} values for vanadate-induced oxidation of exposed and total F-actin cysteines of 11.2 ± 0.1 and 20.9 ± 0.2 μM of decavanadate (1.1 and 2.1 μM V_{10}), respectively (Fig. 6B and C). The Cys-374 oxidation upon decavanadate exposure may explain, at least in part, the observed blockage of myosin ATPase activity stimulation. Moreover, the oxidation of this residue may be involved in the F-actin depolymerization, as described elsewhere.^{35,36} Recently, it was pointed out that decavanadate prevents G-actin polymerization with an IC_{50} of 17 μM .³ Once F-actin only promotes myosin ATPase stimulation, it is suggested that the effects promoted by decavanadate may involve changes in the structure of actin that alters the polymerization and the depolymerization process and therefore the stimulation of the enzyme activity.

When the incubation of decavanadate with actin was monitored by EPR, the addition of the decameric ion to the protein (25:1 decavanadate:protein ratio) elicited the appearance of a typical eight-band electron spin resonance spectrum assigned to oxidovanadium(IV), indicating vanadate reduction, probably through the above-mentioned reaction with sulfhydryl groups of the protein (Fig. 7). Following the different G- and F-actin patterns for cysteine oxidation upon decavanadate incubation, the spectra demonstrate that significant differences exist between the local metal coordination environments for the oxidovanadium(IV) cation (Figs. 7B and C). Previously, the reaction of vanadate with thiol groups of fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase,³⁷ and glyceraldehyde-3-P dehydrogenase was also described.³⁸ On the other hand, it was noted that isocitrate dehydrogenase has the ability to reduce decavanadate.³⁹ Although the presence of five cysteines in actin does not necessarily imply redox chemistry

with vanadate,⁴⁰ as was observed above, at specific protein conformation, that is when the actin is in the F-actin state, Cys-374 may react with vanadate, and be oxidized.

3.4 Vanadyl interactions with actin

The uptake of vanadate (+5) by cells, namely erythrocytes,⁴¹ fat cells⁴² and yeast,⁴³ is preceded by the rate-limiting reduction step to oxidovanadium(IV) ion, complexed with glutathione or proteins.^{38,40} Vanadate is also reduced in the presence of cysteines, generating cystine and oxidovanadium(IV),⁴⁴ with the sixth position of oxovanadium occupied by either carboxylate-oxygen of two cysteine molecules.¹¹

Although it was observed that the oxidovanadium(IV) cation only promotes a minor effect in F-actin-stimulated S1-ATPase activity, a parallel V(IV)-actin binding study was carried out by EPR at 77 K, in order to reduce molecular motion. As can be observed in Fig. 8A, the addition of 250 μM VOSO_4 to the reaction medium (2 mM Tris, 0.2 mM CaCl_2) did not promote the appearance of typical oxidovanadium(IV) signals. In fact, for V(IV) species, at physiological pH and in a non-complexing media, no EPR signal can be observed. For higher buffer concentrations¹³ it was observed that Tris interacts fairly strongly with the oxidovanadium(IV) cation (20 mM Tris and 0.001 M VO^{2+}). Thus the observation of an EPR spectrum at neutral pH would indicate that either a complexing ligand is present in solution or that the pH is no longer neutral.^{5,45} Since no alterations in pH value (7.5) were observed subsequent to oxidovanadium(IV) addition to the reaction mixture, immediately before samples were frozen with liquid nitrogen, the signals observed upon G- and F-actin addition (50 and 30 μM , respectively) can only be attributed to a metal-protein interaction (Fig. 8B and C, respectively).




Fig. 6 G- (A) and F-actin (B, C) cysteine oxidation upon treatment of actin with decavanadate. Titration of cysteines was performed with 0.1 mM DTNB and 2 μ M actin in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 (G-actin) and 0.2 mM ATP (F-actin). The increase in absorbance at 412 nm was continuously recorded over 10 min; to measure total cysteines the samples were treated afterwards with 1% SDS and the absorbance was measured over 15–30 min until a steady value was reached. (A) Treatment with decavanadate produced a dose-dependent decrease of G-actin total cysteines (■) while Cys-374 remained in the reduced form (▲). (B) Treatment with decavanadate produced a complete dose-dependent decrease of exposed F-actin cysteine (▲). (C) Treatment with decavanadate produced a dose-dependent decrease of total F-actin cysteines (■). Data (solid squares and triangles) are plotted as means \pm SD. The results shown are the average of triplicate experiments.




Fig. 7 Frozen aqueous solution X-band EPR spectra of 25 mM decavanadate (vanadate total) in (A) 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP with (B) 100 μ M G-actin or (C) 100 μ M F-actin. Upon protein addition the oxidovanadium(IV) typical signals are elicited.




Fig. 8 Frozen aqueous solution X-band EPR spectra of 250 μ M VOSO_4 in (A) 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , with (B) 50 μ M G-actin, (C) 30 μ M F-actin, (D) 0.2 mM ATP and (E) 50 μ M G-actin plus 0.2 mM ATP.

Therefore, the absolute intensity of the vanadium EPR signals can be correlated upon titration of oxidovanadium(IV) with protein.⁴⁶ Upon ATP addition, a complex between oxidovanadium(IV) cation and nucleotide occurs⁴⁷ (Fig. 8D); thus when the buffer assay contains ATP (2 mM Tris, 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP) the VO^{2+} -ATP complex can be observed in the EPR spectra. Moreover, it was observed that the presence of ATP in the medium prevents the interaction between oxidovanadium(IV) ion and G-actin, since the EPR signals almost disappear, being less intense when the nucleotide is concomitantly added to the assay (Fig. 8E). Although all the samples were prepared in the same conditions in the glove chamber, the reduction of the EPR signal, in the case of paramagnetic ions, could be due to either spin-spin interactions between the VO^{2+} and the added nucleotide or from the displacement of VO^{2+} from the protein. The reduction of the EPR intensity could also arise from partial metal ion re-oxidation.

Based on the experimental hyperfine constant, A_{\parallel} , it is possible to predict the contribution of the donors to this value, that is, the nuclei to which the unpaired electrons are coupled. However, as the typical A_{\parallel} values obtained by simulation varies between 31.9×10^{-4} and $45.7 \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$, with the generally accepted error being $\text{ca.} \pm 1.5 \times 10^{-4}$, the use of the additivity relationship introduces a caveat since there is often more than one combination of ligands which can, within the error limits, give the experimentally

determined A_{\parallel} . Therefore, more than one combination of donors, can give the observed value (195.6 G), the possibility of a species of three water molecules and one carboxylate (Asp or Glu), or one imidazole (His) or of species with two waters and two imidazoles (or their combination) must be taken into account. As a consequence, the knowledge of the possible ligand types in the protein (or in any other molecules) structure is of primary importance.⁴⁸ As a simulation spectrum of the interaction between oxidovanadium(IV) and F-actin presents $A_{\parallel} = 195.6 \text{ G} = 531.0798 \text{ MHz}$, $A_{\perp} = 65 \text{ G}$, $g_{\perp} = 1.998$ and $g_{\parallel} = 1.9399$ (Fig. 9, top), it is suggested that the oxidovanadium(IV) ion is coordinated to the oxygen of three water molecules and one equatorial nitrogen of an amine group present in the lateral amino acid residue chain such as asparagine (Asn), glutamine (Gln) or lysine (Lys), taking into account the A_{\parallel} and the g_{\parallel} values obtained and the table of the equatorial vanadyl ligands from Chasteen.⁴⁹ Since it is known that Lys-336 is close to the nucleotide ring in the tertiary structure of actin,⁵⁰ the above results with ATP and G-actin indicate that the oxidovanadium(IV) ion may be bound to the nitrogen of the Lys-336's side chain. When actin is in its polymerized form the nucleotide binding pocket is eventually blocked and ATP presence does not alter the V(IV)-F-actin spectrum.




Fig. 9 Frozen aqueous solution X-band EPR spectra of VO-actin. VOSO_4 concentration was $250 \mu\text{M}$. The protein concentrations were (A) $30 \mu\text{M}$ F-actin, in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP; and (B) $50 \mu\text{M}$ G-actin, in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 . The bottom traces in (A) and (B) are the spectra in the absence of protein. The middle spectra are the experimental spectra of the preparations with actin added. The top spectra are the corresponding spectra simulation with the EPR parameters given in the text. For the simulation of EPR spectra the linewidths for G-actin, were 20.0 , 20.0 , and 24.0 G , respectively for the x -direction, y -direction and z -direction whereas for F-actin: 22.2 , 22.2 and 26.6 G were used. The lineshapes were Lorentzian/Gaussian for both.

The extent of VO^{2+} binding to actin was measured from the $m_i = -1/2$ perpendicular line, (Fig. 9), since this band exhibits the major intensity and the best linearity when oxidovanadium(IV) ($250 \mu\text{M}$) is titrated with actin (data not shown). The intensity of this band was plotted against oxidovanadium(IV) concentration (μM) and a K_d of $7.48 \pm 1.11 \mu\text{M}$ G-actin and $43.05 \pm 5.34 \mu\text{M}$ F-actin (Fig. 10A and B) was calculated. From the data collected in latter plots, it was determined that approximately 1 and 4 VO^{2+} ions are bound per G- and F-actin molecule, respectively. Other studies performed with ferritin described a stoichiometry of 16 VO^{2+} per protein.⁴⁵ Therefore, the observed decrease in EPR signal intensities of the VO^{2+} -G-actin spectrum by comparison with VO^{2+} -F-actin, can be explained by the VO^{2+} cation bound to the monomeric state of the protein.




Fig. 10 EPR intensities from the transition peak $m_i = -1/2$ perpendicular line of $250 \mu\text{M}$ VOSO_4 titrated with different concentrations of (A) G-actin, in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 ; and (B) F-actin, in 2 mM Tris (pH 7.5), 0.2 mM CaCl_2 , 0.2 mM ATP. Data (solid squares) are plotted as means \pm SD. The results shown are the average of triplicate experiments.

Since oxidovanadium(IV) has little effect on the S1-ATPase activity stimulated by F-actin, although strong evidence of binding to actin have been observed, it could be predicted that oxidovanadium(IV) binds to actin in places that do not significantly alter the actin conformation and its ability to interact with the myosin-subfragment-1.

4. Conclusions

Knowledge of mechanisms of action of vanadium in processes that involve actin is scarce or nonexistent. So far, in cells, vanadate is known to stabilize F-actin filaments through the formation of F-actin-ADP-V₁ complexes and induces actin polymerization by inhibiting specific tyrosine phosphatases, whereas *in vitro*, decavanadate is particularly involved in the inhibition of both actin polymerization and myosin ATPase activity. In the present paper, it is suggested that decavanadate interaction with F-actin induces oxidation of cysteine through a process that involves the reduction of vanadate to oxidovanadium(IV). It is proposed that changes in protein structure due to cysteine oxidation may be responsible, at least in part, for the inhibition of myosin ATPase activity stimulated by F-actin.

It was concluded that the decomposition of V₁₀ follows a first order kinetic in a temperature-dependent manner and with an E_a of 63.4 ± 2.7 kJ mol⁻¹.¹ Using NMR spectroscopy, it was possible to analyze the vanadate species and the composition of the vanadate solutions at several experimental conditions, as well as the specific interactions of the different vanadate oligomers with the protein. It was observed that interaction of actin with V₁₀, as analysed by the broadening of the V_{10A} NMR signal, decreases upon increasing ionic strength and increases in the presence of high concentrations of ATP, a natural ligand of the protein. The broadening factor of V_{10A} NMR signal increases as a sigmoid function upon increasing G-actin concentration whereas no similar pattern was observed upon titration with F-actin. For G-actin, a 2.5 μM concentration of V₁₀ species is enough to produce 50% of the broadening effect. By comparison to vanadate or oxidovanadium(IV), decavanadate inhibits strongly the myosin ATPase activity stimulated by F-actin, with an IC₅₀ of 0.8 μM V₁₀ species. Decavanadate, but not metavanadate, incubation with F-actin induces Cys-374 oxidation, the so called “fast cysteine”, with a IC₅₀ of 1 μM V₁₀ species besides the oxidation of a core cysteine, whereas for G-actin only the latter effect is observed. Actin exposure to decavanadate promotes vanadate reduction, since a typical EPR signal is detected. Following V₁₀ reduction to oxidovanadium(IV), it is suggested that the cation may form a complex with G-actin and F-actin with 1:1 and 4:1 stoichiometries, respectively. The interaction of oxidovanadium(IV) ion may occur close to the ATP binding site since our observations suggest coordination to Lys-336 and 3 water molecules. Although further studies will be needed, it is believed that the present data will contribute to the understanding of the role of vanadium in biochemical processes where actin is present.

Acknowledgements

S. R. would like to thank Fundação para a Ciência e Tecnologia—MCTES for the PhD grant (SFRH/BD/29712/2006).

References

- 1 M. Aureliano and D. C. Crans, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2009, **103**, 536–546.
- 2 M. Aureliano, in *Vanadium Biochemistry*, ed M. Aureliano, Research Signpost Publishers, Kerala, India, 2007.
- 3 S. Ramos, M. Manuel, T. Tiago, R. M. C. Gândara, J. Martins, R. O. Duarte, J. J. G. Moura, C. Gutiérrez-Merino and M. Aureliano, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2006, **100**, 1734–1743.
- 4 N. D. Chasteen, in *Structure and Bonding*, ed. M. J. Clarke, J. B. Goodenough, J. A. Ibers, C. K. Jørgensen, D. M. P. Mingos, J. B. Neilands, G. A. Palmer, D. Reinen, P. J. Sadler, R. Weiss and R. J. P. Williams, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1983, vol. 53, pp. 105–138.
- 5 D. C. Crans, J. J. Smee, E. Gaidamauskas and L. Yang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 849.
- 6 L. Petterson, B. Hedman, I. Andersson and N. Ingri, *Chem. Scr.*, 1983, **22**, 254.
- 7 L. Petersson, I. Andersson and B. Hedman, *Chem. Scr.*, 1985, **25**, 309.
- 8 D. C. Crans, *Comments Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **16**, 1.
- 9 T. Tiago, M. Aureliano and C. Gutierrez-Merino, *Biochemistry*, 2004, **43**, 5551.
- 10 D. Rehder, *BioMetals*, 1992, **5**, 3.
- 11 H. Sakurai and S. Shimomura, *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, 1981, **55**, L67.
- 12 I. Khan, Q. Chen, D. P. Goshorn and J. Zubietta, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1993, **32**, 672–680.
- 13 D. C. Crans, R. L. Bunch and L. A. Theisen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1989, **111**, 7597.
- 14 M. Aureliano and V. M. C. Madeira, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta, Mol. Cell Res.*, 1994, **1221**, 259–271.
- 15 D. C. Crans, E. M. Willging and S. R. Butler, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1990, **112**, 427.
- 16 J. A. Saponja and H. J. Vogel, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 1996, **62**, 253.
- 17 D. Rehder, H. Holst, R. Quass, W. Hinrichs, U. Hahn and W. Saenger, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 1989, **37**, 141.
- 18 I. Bougie and M. Bisailon, *Biochem. J.*, 2006, **398**, 557–567.
- 19 B. Nilius, J. Prenen, A. Janssens, T. Voets and G. Droogmans, *J. Physiol.*, 2004, **560**, 753–765.
- 20 S. S. Soares, C. Gutiérrez-Merino and M. Aureliano, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2007, **101**, 789–796.
- 21 K. Fohr, J. Scott, G. Ahnert-Hilger and M. Gratzl, *Biochem. J.*, 1989, **262**, 83–89.
- 22 T. Tiago, P. Martel, C. Gutiérrez-Merino and M. Aureliano, *Biochem. Biophys. Acta*, 2007, **1771**, 474–480.
- 23 L. C. Cantley Jr., L. Josephson, R. Warner, M. Yanagisawa, C. Lechene, G. Guidotti, *J. Biol. Chem.* 1977, **252**, 7421–7423.
- 24 M. Aureliano, F. Henao, T. Tiago, R. O. Duarte, J. J. G. Moura, B. Baruah and D. C. Crans, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, **47**, 5677–5684.
- 25 C. Combeau and M.-F. Carlier, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1988, **263**, 17429.
- 26 E. Brener, S. Rubinstein, G. Cohen, K. Shternall, J. Rivlin and H. Breitbart, *Biol. Reprod.*, 2003, **68**, 837–845.
- 27 J. D. Pardee and J. A. Spudich, *Methods Enzymol.*, 1982, **85**, 164.
- 28 D. J. Gordon, Y. Z. Yang and E. D. Korn, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1976, **251**, 7474.
- 29 S. S. Soares, H. Martins, J. Coucelo, C. Gutiérrez-Merino and M. Aureliano, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2007, **101**, 80–88.
- 30 C. Gutierrez-Merino, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 1987, **252**, 303.
- 31 Y. Gutierrez-Martin, F. J. Martin-Romero, F. A. Inesta-Vaquera, C. Gutierrez-Merino and F. Henao, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 2004, **271**, 2647.
- 32 P. Csermely, A. Martonosi, G. C. Levi and A. J. Ejchart, *Biochem. J.*, 1985, **230**, 807.
- 33 S. Varga, P. Csermely and A. Martonosi, *Eur. J. Biochem.*, 1985, **148**, 119.
- 34 T. Tiago, M. Aureliano and J. J. G. Moura, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2004, **98**, 1902.
- 35 A. Milzani, R. Rossi, P. Di Simplicio, D. Giustarini, R. Colombo and I. Dalle-Donne, *Protein Sci.*, 2000, **9**, 1774.
- 36 I. Dalle-Donne, R. Rossi, D. Giustarini, N. Gagliano, L. Lusini, A. Milzani, P. Di Simplicio and R. Colombo, *Free Radical Biol. Med.*, 2001, **31**, 1075–1083.
- 37 D. C. Crans, K. Sudhakar and T. J. Zamborelli, *Biochemistry*, 1992, **31**, 6812.
- 38 J. E. Benabe, L. A. Echegoyen, B. Pastrana and M. Martínez-Maldonado, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1987, **262**, 9555.
- 39 A. V. S. Rao and T. Ramasarma, *Biochem. Biophys. Acta*, 2000, **1474**, 321.
- 40 D. C. Crans and C. M. Simone, *Biochemistry*, 1991, **30**, 6734.
- 41 I. G. Macara, K. Kustin and L. C. Jr. Cantley, *Biochem. Biophys. Acta*, 1980, **629**, 95.

- 42 H. Degani, M. Gochlin, S. J. Karlish and Y. Schechter, *Biochemistry*, 1981, **20**, 5795.
- 43 G. R. Willsky, D. A. White and B. C. McCabe, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1984, **259**, 13273–13281.
- 44 K. Kustin and D. L. Toppen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1973, **12**, 1404–1407.
- 45 N. D. Chasteen and E. C. Theil, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1982, **257**, 7672.
- 46 K. Fukui, T. Ueki, H. Ohya and H. Michibata, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 6352.
- 47 N. Katsaros, *Transition Met. Chem.*, 1982, **7**, 72.
- 48 T. S. Smith II, R. LoBrutto and V. C. Pecoraro, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **228**, 1.
- 49 N. D. Chasteen, in *Biological Magnetic Resonance*, ed. L. Berhiner and J. Reuben, 1981, Plenum Press, New York, pp. 53–119.
- 50 G. Hegyi, L. Szilagyí and M. Elzinga, *Biochemistry*, 1986, **25**, 5793.