

# A remark on Toeplitz and Laurent operators acting on $\ell^p$ spaces with power weights

To Albrecht Böttcher on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Oleksiy Karlovych<sup>a</sup>, Eugene Shargorodsky<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Centro de Matemática e Aplicações, Departamento de Matemática,  
Faculdade de Ciências e Tecnologia, Universidade Nova de Lisboa,  
Quinta da Torre, Caparica, 2829-516, Portugal*

<sup>b</sup>*Department of Mathematics, King's College London,  
Strand, London, WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom*

---

## Abstract

In the late 1980's, Böttcher and Silbermann asked whether the boundedness of the Toeplitz operator  $T(a)$  on the space  $\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  implies boundedness of the Laurent operator  $M(a)$  on the space  $\ell_{0,\mu}^{p,p}(\mathbb{Z})$ . We give the negative answer to this question.

*Keywords:* Toeplitz operator, Laurent operator, weighted  $\ell^p$  space, power weight, discrete Hilbert transform, discrete Muckenhoupt condition.

*2020 MSC:* 47B35.

---

## 1. Introduction and the main result

The Banach algebra of all bounded linear operators on a Banach space  $X$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{B}(X)$ . Let  $\mathbb{T} := \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$  be the unit circle on the complex plane. For a function  $a \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , the Fourier coefficients of  $a$  are defined by

$$a_n := \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} a(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

---

*Email addresses:* [oyk@fct.unl.pt](mailto:oyk@fct.unl.pt) (Oleksiy Karlovych),  
[eugene.shargorodsky@kcl.ac.uk](mailto:eugene.shargorodsky@kcl.ac.uk) (Eugene Shargorodsky)

*URL:* <https://docentes.fct.unl.pt/oyk> (Oleksiy Karlovych),  
<https://www.kcl.ac.uk/people/eugene-shargorodsky> (Eugene Shargorodsky)

Let  $\alpha, \beta$  be any real numbers and  $p, r$  be real numbers satisfying  $1 \leq p, r < \infty$ . Following [4, Section 1.49], we denote by  $\ell_{\beta, \alpha}^{r, p}(\mathbb{Z})$  the Banach space of all sequences  $\varphi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  of complex numbers for which

$$\|\varphi\|_{r, p; \beta, \alpha} := \left( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\varphi_{-n}|^r (n+1)^{r\beta} \right)^{1/r} + \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_n|^p (n+1)^{p\alpha} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

For  $\mathbb{Z}_+ := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$  and  $\alpha, p$  as above, let  $\ell_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  be the Banach space of all sequences  $\varphi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_+}$  satisfying

$$\|\varphi\|_{p, \alpha} := \left( \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\varphi_n|^p (n+1)^{p\alpha} \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

We will use the following abbreviations:

$$\ell_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{Z}) := \ell_{\alpha, \alpha}^p(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+) := \ell_0^p(\mathbb{Z}_+), \quad \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}) := \ell_0^p(\mathbb{Z}).$$

The nonweighted space  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z})$  will be always equipped with the norm

$$\|\varphi\|_p := \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_n|^p \right)^{1/p},$$

which is equivalent to the norm  $\|\varphi\|_{p, p; 0, 0}$  defined above. Finally, let  $\ell^0(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\ell^0(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  denote the sets of sequences having finite support in  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_+$ , respectively.

Let  $a \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  have the Fourier coefficients sequence  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ . Given  $\varphi = \{\varphi_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \ell^0(\mathbb{Z})$ , define the sequence  $a * \varphi$  by

$$(a * \varphi)_j := \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} a_{j-k} \varphi_k, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

For  $1 \leq p, r < \infty$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ , let  $M_{\beta, \alpha}^{r, p}$  denote the collection of all  $a \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$  for which  $a * \varphi$  belongs to  $\ell_{\beta, \alpha}^{r, p}(\mathbb{Z})$  whenever  $\varphi \in \ell^0(\mathbb{Z})$  and

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\|a * \varphi\|_{r, p; \beta, \alpha}}{\|\varphi\|_{r, p; \beta, \alpha}} : \varphi \in \ell^0(\mathbb{Z}), \varphi \neq 0 \right\} < \infty.$$

If  $a \in M_{\beta, \alpha}^{r, p}$ , then the operator  $\ell^0(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell_{\beta, \alpha}^{r, p}(\mathbb{Z})$ ,  $\varphi \mapsto a * \varphi$  extends to a bounded operator

$$M(a) : \ell_{\beta, \alpha}^{r, p}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell_{\beta, \alpha}^{r, p}(\mathbb{Z}), \quad \varphi \mapsto a * \varphi,$$

which is referred to as the Laurent (or multiplication) operator on  $\ell_{\beta,\alpha}^{r,p}(\mathbb{Z})$  generated by the function  $a$ .

Let  $P$  be the projection from  $\ell_{\beta,\alpha}^{r,p}(\mathbb{Z})$  onto  $\ell_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  defined as follows:

$$(P\varphi)_n = 0 \quad \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad (P\varphi)_n = \varphi_n \quad \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For  $\varphi \in \ell_{\alpha}^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ , let  $\tilde{\varphi} \in \ell_{\beta,\alpha}^{r,p}(\mathbb{Z})$  be defined by

$$\tilde{\varphi}_n = 0 \quad \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+, \quad \tilde{\varphi}_n = \varphi_n \quad \text{if } n \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

For  $a \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ , the Toeplitz operator  $T(a)$  is defined on  $\ell^0(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  by

$$T(a)\varphi = P(a * \tilde{\varphi}), \quad \varphi \in \ell^0(\mathbb{Z}_+).$$

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Following [4, Section 6.1], we write  $T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_{\mu}^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))$  if  $T(a)\varphi \in \ell_{\mu}^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  for all  $\varphi \in \ell^0(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and

$$\|T(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_{\mu}^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))} := \sup \left\{ \frac{\|T(a)\varphi\|_{p,\mu}}{\|\varphi\|_{p,\mu}} : \varphi \in \ell^0(\mathbb{Z}_+), \varphi \neq 0 \right\} < \infty.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , let  $e_n \in \ell^0(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  be given by  $(e_n)_k = \delta_{nk}$ , where  $\delta_{nk}$  is the Kronecker delta. For  $\varphi \in \ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  and  $\psi \in \ell^q(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ , where  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ , let

$$(\varphi, \psi) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \varphi_n \overline{\psi_n}.$$

It is easy to check that

$$(T(a)e_j, e_k) = a_{k-j} \quad \text{for all } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

The following version of the Brown-Halmos theorem for Toeplitz operators on  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  is contained in [4, Theorem 2.7(b)].

**Theorem 1.1** (à la Brown-Halmos). *Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$  and  $A \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))$ . Suppose there exists a sequence of complex numbers  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that*

$$(Ae_j, e_k) = a_{k-j} \quad \text{for all } j, k \in \mathbb{Z}_+.$$

*Then there exists  $a \in M_{0,0}^{p,p}$  such that  $A = T(a)$  and  $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  is the Fourier coefficients sequence of  $a$ . Moreover,*

$$\|T(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))} = \|M(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))}.$$

Let  $W(\mathbb{T})$  be the Wiener algebra consisting of all continuous functions on  $\mathbb{T}$  such that their sequences of Fourier coefficients belong to  $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z})$ .

In the late 1980's Böttcher and Silbermann observed that the above fact fails in the weighted case. More precisely, the following is true (see [3, Sections 6.2(b) and 6.3] and [4, Sections 6.2(b) and 6.3]).

**Theorem 1.2** (Böttcher-Silbermann). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ .*

(a) *If  $T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))$ , then  $M(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}))$  and*

$$\|M(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}))} \leq \|T(a)\|_{\mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))}.$$

(b) *For every  $\mu > 0$ , there exists  $a \in W(\mathbb{T})$  such that  $T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))$  but  $M(a) \notin \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}))$ .*

If  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ , then it is clear that

$$M(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_{0,\mu}^{p,p}(\mathbb{Z})) \implies T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)).$$

Böttcher and Silbermann asked in their famous monograph [3] (see Section 6.4) and, later, in its second edition ([4, Section 6.4]) whether

$$T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+)) \implies M(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_{0,\mu}^{p,p}(\mathbb{Z})).$$

As far as we know, this problem was open until now. The following theorem gives the negative answer to this question.

**Theorem 1.3** (Main result). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $\mu \in (-1/p, 1 - 1/p) \setminus \{0\}$ , and  $a(e^{i\theta}) := \theta - \pi$  for  $\theta \in (0, 2\pi)$ . Then  $T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))$  but  $M(a) \notin \mathcal{B}(\ell_{0,\mu}^{p,p}(\mathbb{Z}))$ .*

The proof of this theorem is given in the next section. It is based on the observation that  $M(a) = iH$ , where  $H$  is the discrete Hilbert transform, which is bounded on the weighted  $\ell^p$  space with the weight  $\rho_n = (|n| + 1)^\mu$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , and is unbounded on the weighted  $\ell^p$  space with the weight

$$w_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ (n + 1)^\mu, & n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{cases}$$

Indeed, we show that the second weight fails to belong to the Muckenhoupt class  $A_p(\mathbb{Z})$  under the assumptions of Theorem 1.3. On the other hand, as it is well known, under the same assumptions, the first weight belongs to  $A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ . A self-contained proof of this fact is given in the Appendix.

## 2. Proof of the main result

### 2.1. Discrete Muckenhoupt weights

A weight on  $\mathbb{I} \in \{\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_+\}$  is a sequence  $\{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{I}}$  of positive numbers. Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $1/p + 1/q = 1$ . A weight  $w = \{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{I}}$  is said to belong to the Muckenhoupt class  $A_p(\mathbb{I})$  if

$$c_{p,w}(\mathbb{I}) := \sup_{m,n \in \mathbb{I}, m \leq n} \frac{1}{n - m + 1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} < \infty.$$

The following lemma is well known (it is implicit, for instance, in [4, Section 6.2(f)]). Since we are not able to provide an explicit reference, we give its proof in the Appendix.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the weight  $v_n = (|n| + 1)^\mu$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ , belongs to  $A_p(\mathbb{Z})$  if and only if  $\mu \in (-1/p, 1 - 1/p)$ .*

The following result, analogous to [1, Example 2.6], is the first key ingredient of the proof of our main result.

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\mu \neq 0$ . Then the weight*

$$w_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ (n + 1)^\mu, & n \in \mathbb{Z}_+, \end{cases}$$

*does not belong to  $A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mu < 0$ , then  $1 - \mu q > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^p > n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^{-q} &> 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n (k + 1)^{-\mu q} > 1 + \int_1^{n+1} x^{-\mu q} dx \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{1 - \mu q} \left( (n + 1)^{1 - \mu q} - 1 \right) > \frac{n^{1 - \mu q}}{1 - \mu q}, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\frac{1}{2n+1} \left( \sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} > \frac{1}{3n} \cdot \frac{n^{\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} - \mu}}{(1 - \mu q)^{1/q}} = \frac{n^{-\mu}}{3(1 - \mu q)^{1/q}} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Therefore,  $w = \{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \notin A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ .

Similarly, if  $\mu > 0$ , then  $1 + \mu p > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^{-q} > n,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^p &> 1 + \sum_{k=1}^n (k+1)^{\mu p} > 1 + \int_1^{n+1} x^{\mu p} dx \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{\mu p + 1} ((n+1)^{\mu p + 1} - 1) > \frac{n^{\mu p + 1}}{\mu p + 1}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2n+1} \left( \sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=-n}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} > \frac{1}{3n} \cdot \frac{n^{\mu + \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}}}{(\mu p + 1)^{1/p}} = \frac{n^\mu}{3(1 + \mu p)^{1/p}} \rightarrow \infty$$

as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus,  $w = \{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \notin A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ .  $\square$

## 2.2. Discrete Hilbert transform on weighted $\ell^p$ spaces

Given a weight  $w$  on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , the weighted space  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, w)$  consists of all sequences  $\varphi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  such that

$$\|\varphi\|_{p,w} := \left( \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi_n w_n|^p \right)^{1/p} < \infty.$$

Given a sequence  $\varphi = \{\varphi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ , the discrete Hilbert transform of  $\varphi$  is defined by

$$(H\varphi)_n = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{n\}} \frac{\varphi_m}{n - m}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The following famous result is the second main ingredient in the proof of our main result (see [5, Theorem 10]).

**Theorem 2.3** (Hunt-Muckenhoupt-Wheeden). *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $w = \{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a weight. Then  $H \in \mathcal{B}(\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, w))$  if and only if  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ .*

### 2.3. Proof of Theorem 1.3

It is easy to see that

$$a_n = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} a(e^{i\theta}) e^{-in\theta} d\theta = \begin{cases} \frac{i}{n}, & n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}, \\ 0, & n = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $M(a) = iH$ .

Let  $\mu \in (-1/p, 1 - 1/p) \setminus \{0\}$ . Consider two weights  $\rho = \{\rho_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  and  $w = \{w_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  defined by

$$\rho_n = (|n| + 1)^\mu, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad w_n = \begin{cases} 1, & n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_+, \\ (n + 1)^\mu, & n \in \mathbb{Z}_+. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, \rho) = \ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z})$  and  $\ell^p(\mathbb{Z}, w) = \ell_{0,\mu}^{p,p}(\mathbb{Z})$  and the norms in the corresponding spaces are equivalent.

It follows from Lemma 2.1 that  $\rho \in A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then Theorem 2.3 implies that  $M(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}))$ . Therefore  $T(a) \in \mathcal{B}(\ell_\mu^p(\mathbb{Z}_+))$ . On the other hand, Lemma 2.2 says that  $w \notin A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ . Then Theorem 2.3 yields  $M(a) \notin \mathcal{B}(\ell_{0,\mu}^{p,p}(\mathbb{Z}))$ .  $\square$

## 3. Appendix. Symmetric power weights in the Muckenhoupt class $A_p(\mathbb{Z})$

The aim of this Appendix is to provide a self-contained proof of Lemma 2.1.

### 3.1. Power weights in the Muckenhoupt class $A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$

For the sake of completeness, we give a direct elementary proof of the next well known lemma, which can also be extracted from a much more general result proved in [2, Theorem 2.2].

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$  and  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then the weight  $w_n := (n + 1)^\mu$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , belongs to  $A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  if and only if  $\mu \in (-1/p, 1 - 1/p)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly,

$$w \in A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+) \iff w^{-1} \in A_q(\mathbb{Z}_+).$$

So, it is sufficient to show that for  $\mu \geq 0$ ,

$$w \in A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+) \iff \mu < 1 - \frac{1}{p}.$$

If  $\mu = 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{p-1} \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{-1} \right)^{1/q} \\
&> \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \int_0^{n+1} x^{p-1} dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_1^{n+2} x^{-1} dx \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \frac{(\log(n+2))^{1/q}}{p^{1/p}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $w \notin A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  in this case.

If  $\mu > 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ , then  $\mu + \frac{1}{p} - 1 > 0$ , and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{\mu p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{-\mu q} \right)^{1/q} \\
&> \frac{1}{n+1} \left( \int_0^{n+1} x^{\mu p} dx \right)^{1/p} \\
&= \frac{(n+1)^{\mu + \frac{1}{p} - 1}}{(\mu p + 1)^{1/p}} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $w \notin A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ .

Suppose now  $0 \leq \mu < 1 - \frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{q}$ . If  $n/2 \leq m < n$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{n-m+1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\
&= \frac{1}{n-m+1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n (k+1)^{\mu p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n (k+1)^{-\mu q} \right)^{1/q} \\
&\leq \frac{1}{n-m+1} ((n-m+1)(n+1)^{\mu p})^{1/p} ((n-m+1)(n/2+1)^{-\mu q})^{1/q}
\end{aligned}$$

$$= (n+1)^\mu (n/2+1)^{-\mu} < 2^\mu.$$

If  $0 \leq m < n/2$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n-m+1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n-m+1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{\mu p} \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n (k+1)^{-\mu q} \right)^{1/q} \\ & < \frac{1}{n/2+1} \left( \int_0^{n+2} x^{\mu p} dx \right)^{1/p} \left( \int_0^{n+2} x^{-\mu q} dx \right)^{1/q} \\ & = \frac{2}{n+2} \cdot \frac{(n+2)^{\mu+\frac{1}{p}}}{(\mu p+1)^{1/p}} \cdot \frac{(n+2)^{-\mu+\frac{1}{q}}}{(-\mu q+1)^{1/q}} = \frac{2}{(\mu p+1)^{1/p} (1-\mu q)^{1/q}}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$  if  $0 \leq \mu < 1 - \frac{1}{p}$ .  $\square$

### 3.2. Symmetric reproduction of Muckenhoupt weights

The following lemma might be known to experts, but we were not able to find it in the literature (cf. [1, Section 2.4], where similar results in the continuous case are considered).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $1 < p < \infty$ ,  $w : \mathbb{Z}_+ \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  and  $v_n := w_{|n|}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then*

$$w \in A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+) \iff v \in A_p(\mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.1)$$

*Proof.* The implication  $\Leftarrow$  is trivial, so one only needs to prove the implication  $\Rightarrow$  in (3.1). Suppose  $w \in A_p(\mathbb{Z}_+)$ . Take any  $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m \leq n$ . If  $m \geq 0$ , then, clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n-m+1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\ & = \frac{1}{n-m+1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \leq c_{p,w}(\mathbb{Z}_+). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if  $n \leq 0$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n-m+1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q}$$

$$= \frac{1}{-m - (-n) + 1} \left( \sum_{k=-n}^{-m} w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=-n}^{-m} w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \leq c_{p,w}(\mathbb{Z}_+).$$

Suppose now  $m < 0 < n$ , and let  $\ell := \max\{-m, n\}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{n - m + 1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{n - m + 1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n w_k^p + \sum_{k=0}^{-m} w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=0}^n w_k^{-q} + \sum_{k=0}^{-m} w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{\ell + 1} \left( 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} w_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( 2 \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} w_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \leq 2c_{p,w}(\mathbb{Z}_+). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} c_{p,v}(\mathbb{Z}) &= \sup_{m,n \in \mathbb{Z}, m \leq n} \frac{1}{n - m + 1} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^p \right)^{1/p} \left( \sum_{k=m}^n v_k^{-q} \right)^{1/q} \\ &\leq 2c_{p,w}(\mathbb{Z}_+) < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and  $v \in A_p(\mathbb{Z})$ . □

Lemma 2.1 follows immediately from the two previous results.

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for a useful suggestion concerning the current form of Lemma 2.2.

### Funding

This work is funded by national funds through the FCT - Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia, I.P., under the scope of the projects UIDB/00297/2020 and UIDP/00297/2020 (Center for Mathematics and Applications).

### References

- [1] A. Böttcher and Y. I. Karlovich. *Carleson curves, Muckenhoupt weights, and Toeplitz operators*, volume 154 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 1997.

- [2] A. Böttcher and M. Seybold. Discrete Wiener-Hopf operators on spaces with Muckenhoupt weight. *Studia Math.*, 143(2):121–144, 2000.
- [3] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann. *Analysis of Toeplitz operators*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, first edition, 1990.
- [4] A. Böttcher and B. Silbermann. *Analysis of Toeplitz operators*. Springer Monographs in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2006.
- [5] R. Hunt, B. Muckenhoupt, and R. Wheeden. Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and Hilbert transform. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 176:227–251, 1973.