

Therapeutic response to four artemisinin-based combination therapies in Angola, 2021

Pedro Rafael Dimbu,¹ Sarah Labuda,² Carolina Miguel Ferreira,³ Felismina Caquece,⁴ Kialanda André,⁴ Garcia Pembele,⁵ Dilunvidi Pode,⁴ Maria Florinda João,¹ Venceslau Mambi Pelenda,³ Benjamin Nieto Andrade,³ Breanna Horton,⁶ Culzean Kennedy,⁶ Samaly S. Svigel,⁶ Zhiyong Zhou,⁶ Joana F. M. Morais,³ Joana do Rosário,⁷ Filomeno Fortes,⁸ José Franco Martins,¹ Mateusz M. Plucinski⁹

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS See affiliation list on p. 6.

ABSTRACT Monitoring antimalarial efficacy is important to detect the emergence of parasite drug resistance. Angola conducts *in vivo* therapeutic efficacy studies (TESs) every 2 years in its fixed sentinel sites in Benguela, Lunda Sul, and Zaire provinces. Children with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria were treated with artemether-lumefantrine (AL), artesunate-amodiaquine (ASAQ), dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DP), or artesunate-pyronaridine (ASPY) and followed for 28 (AL and ASAQ) or 42 days (DP and ASPY) to assess clinical and parasitological response to treatment. Two drugs were sequentially assessed in each site in February–July 2021. The primary indicator was the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the PCR-corrected efficacy at the end of the follow-up period. A total of 622 patients were enrolled in the study and 590 (95%) participants reached a study endpoint. By day 3, ≥98% of participants were slide-negative in all study sites and arms. After PCR correction, day 28 AL efficacy was 88.0% (95% CI: 82%–95%) in Zaire and 94.7% (95% CI: 90%–99%) in Lunda Sul. For ASAQ, day 28 efficacy was 92.0% (95% CI: 87%–98%) in Zaire and 100% in Lunda Sul. Corrected day 42 efficacy was 99.6% (95% CI: 99%–100%) for ASPY and 98.3% (95% CI: 96%–100%) for DP in Benguela. High day 3 clearance rates suggest no clinical evidence of artemisinin resistance. This was the fourth of five rounds of TES in Angola showing a corrected AL efficacy <90% in a site. For Zaire, AL has had an efficacy <90% in 2013, 2015, and 2021. ASAQ, DP, and ASPY are appropriate choices as artemisinin-based combination therapies in Angola.

KEYWORDS falciparum, resistance, TES

Artemisinin-based combination therapies (ACTs) were introduced in malaria-endemic Sub-Saharan Africa in the mid 2000s, replacing the failing antimalarials chloroquine and sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (1). The supply of ACTs, driven by large investments from donors including the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative (PMI) and the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria (GFATM), has expanded rapidly since then, and ACTs have become the most frequently prescribed antimalarials in malaria-endemic Sub-Saharan Africa (2).

Although six ACTs are recommended for use by the World Health Organization (WHO) (3, 4), the primary ACT used in Sub-Saharan Africa is artemether-lumefantrine (AL). This ACT alone accounts for 85% of PMI and GFATM procurements (5). Reasons for lower use of the other ACTs include side effect profile [artesunate-amodiaquine (ASAQ) and artesunate-mefloquine], overlap with drugs used for chemoprevention (ASAQ and artesunate-sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine), and high cost [dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine (DP) and artesunate-pyronaridine (ASPY)].

Following the introduction of ACTs in Sub-Saharan Africa, the region largely enjoyed a period of stable, high ACT efficacy (1). However, recent therapeutic efficacy monitoring has confirmed several foci of emergent partial artemisinin resistance in East Africa,

Editor Audrey Odom John, The Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, USA

Address correspondence to Mateusz M. Plucinski, wif7@cdc.gov.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

See the funding table on p. 7.

Received 17 November 2023

Accepted 31 January 2024

Published 29 February 2024

Copyright © 2024 Dimbu et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license](https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

including in Uganda (6), Rwanda (7), and Tanzania. Independently, therapeutic efficacy trials have reported AL efficacies below the key 90% WHO threshold in dispersed sites across the continent, including in Burkina Faso (8), the Democratic Republic of Congo (9), Angola (10–12), Kenya (13), and Tanzania.

Angola was one of the first African countries to report low AL efficacy, as part of its biennial therapeutic efficacy monitoring surveillance system in fixed sentinel sites (10–12, 14). These surveys have identified AL below 90% in 2013 and 2015 (Zaire Province) (10, 11) and 2019 (Lunda Sul Province) (12) (Table S1). In contrast, efficacy of Angola's two other first-line ACTs, ASAQ and DP, has remained above 90% across all years in all sites (10–12, 14).

Here, we report on the results of the 2021 round of therapeutic efficacy trials in Angola from the fixed sentinel sites in Benguela, Zaire, and Lunda Sul Province. In addition to the three ACTs used in Angola (AL, ASAQ, and DP), the 2021 round also included an arm trialing ASPY, as this is an ACT being considered for use in Angola.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study design

We implemented a six-arm clinical outcomes trial using an adapted version of the WHO *in vivo* protocol for antimalarial resistance monitoring (15). AL and ASAQ were assessed in Lunda Sul and Zaire, and DP and ASPY were evaluated in Benguela according to the National Malaria Control Program monitoring strategy. We adhered to global best practices for reporting of antimalarial efficacy data (16–18).

Study population

We enrolled children with uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* mono-infection and history of fever or axillary temperature $\geq 37.5^{\circ}\text{C}$. To account for differences in transmission intensity between provinces, participants were eligible if parasite density measured through microscopy was 1,000–100,000 parasites/ μL in low–moderate transmission Benguela, and 2,000–200,000 parasites/ μL in moderate–high transmission Lunda Sul and moderate–very high transmission Zaire. Similarly, the age inclusion criterion was 6–143 months in Benguela and 6–59 months in Lunda Sul and Zaire. Patients with danger signs, known allergy or hypersensitivity to study drugs, with antimalarial use in the preceding 2 weeks, hemoglobin ≤ 8 g/dL, or who were unavailable for the full duration of follow-up were excluded. Participants were recruited from outpatients attending urban health facilities in the capital cities of each province. The target sample size was 73 patients reaching a study endpoint per arm, and a minimum of 100 patients were enrolled per arm to account for loss to follow-up.

Data collection

The study was conducted between February and July 2021. Study participants were treated with AL, ASAQ, DP, or ASPY for 3 days. Drugs were assessed sequentially in study sites. All drugs were from manufacturers with WHO pre-qualification, and manufacturer-recommended weight bands were used for participant dosing. Treatment was directly observed for all drug doses except for the evening doses for AL. Guardians of participants in the AL arms were given the evening doses to administer at home and were contacted by telephone in the evening as a reminder; guardians were asked to confirm that drug administration had occurred the following morning in the clinic. All AL doses given in the health facility were administered together with either yogurt or milk, and guardians of participants were provided a yogurt or milk pack each of the first 3 days to give concomitantly with the evening dose.

Study nurses or clinicians performed a clinical assessment at enrollment and on all follow-up days (days 1, 2, 3, 7, 14, 21, and 28). Participants in the DP and ASPY arms were additionally followed on days 35 and 42. Slide microscopy and collection of blood

on Whatman 903 filter paper were performed on enrollment and all days except for day 1. Slides were independently read by two microscopists and any discordance >25% triggered a third reading. Slides were prepared and read according to standard WHO guidelines (15). Filter paper was dried overnight and stored at room temperature with individual desiccant packs. Hemoglobin concentration was measured using HemoCue machines (Hemocue, Ängelholm, Sweden) at enrollment and every 14 days during follow-up. Rescue treatment was intravenous artesunate for participants developing severe malaria or an alternate ACT for treatment failures without severe illness.

Molecular analysis

Paired filter paper samples from participants with recurrent parasitemia, defined as presence of any *P. falciparum* asexual parasites on slide microscopy on or after day 7, were stored at room temperature in individual plastic bags with desiccant, and then sent to Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) laboratories in Atlanta, USA, for molecular correction. Four 3.0 mm punches were taken from each dried blood spot sample and placed into a 1.5 mL tube. DNA was extracted using the QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (Qiagen, Valencia, California) according to manufacturer specifications and standardized procedures. A DNA eluent solution of 200 μ L was stored at -20°C . Prior to genotyping, presence of *P. falciparum* DNA was confirmed with photo-induced electron transfer-PCR (19). The fragment lengths of seven neutral microsatellites (313, 383, TA1, POLYA, PFPK2, 2490, and TA109) were measured using capillary electrophoresis as previously described (20, 21). The CDC Bayesian algorithm for molecular correction was used to assign a posterior probability of recrudescence for each case of recurrent parasitemia (22).

Statistical analysis

Data were collected on paper forms that were digitized the same day using double-data entry. Study endpoints included treatment success (formally denoted as adequate clinical and parasitological response), early treatment failure, and late treatment failure, using the canonical definitions from the WHO protocol (15). The primary outcome was the Kaplan-Meier estimate of the corrected day 28 efficacy for AL and ASAQ, and day 42 efficacy for DP and ASPY. Secondary outcomes included day 3 slide positivity rates; rates of adverse events; the Kaplan-Meier estimate of uncorrected day 28 efficacy for AL and ASAQ, and uncorrected day 42 efficacy for DP and ASPY; and Kaplan-Meier estimates of the corrected and uncorrected day 28 efficacies for DP and ASPY. In the Kaplan-Meier method, cases of loss to follow-up and indeterminate PCR results were censored at last day of follow-up, and the R survival package was used to generate the Kaplan-Meier estimates. Posterior probabilities of recrudescences were incorporated into the Kaplan-Meier estimates as previously described (22). All outcomes were calculated stratifying by study arm.

All data analyses were done using R version 4.1.2 (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria). The R script used to generate the data is available at <https://github.com/MateuszPlucinski/AngolaTES2021>.

RESULTS

A total of 622 patients were enrolled in the study (Table 1). Between 448 and 1183 children were screened for each arm; the most common reasons for non-eligibility were absence of *P. falciparum* infection (77% of exclusions), *P. falciparum* infection with parasite density outside of required range (12%), or hemoglobin outside of the required range (4%). Median baseline parasite density ranged from 31,324–55,264 parasites/ μ L by study arm, and median age varied from 2.5 to 2.7 years in Zaire and Lunda Sul and was 6.9–7.0 years in the Benguela arms.

Combined loss to follow-up and exclusion rates were below 10% for all study arms, and the number of participants reaching a study endpoint ranged from 91 to 104 per

TABLE 1 Number of participants screened, enrolled, and finishing follow-up and characteristics at baseline as part of therapeutic efficacy monitoring in Angola, 2021^a

	Benguela		Zaire		Lunda Sul	
	ASPY	DP	AL	ASAQ	AL	ASAQ
Total screened, <i>N</i>	1,183	794	448	606	775	868
Total enrolled, <i>N</i>	104	105	104	105	104	100
Loss to follow-up, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (2)	1 (1)	2 (2)	6 (6)	3 (3)	7 (7)
Exclusion, <i>n</i> (%)	2 (2)	0 (0)	4 (4)	2 (2)	1 (1)	2 (2)
Reached study endpoint, <i>n</i> (%)	100 (96)	104 (99)	98 (94)	97 (92)	100 (96)	91 (91)
Participant characteristics at baseline						
Median age, years (range)	6.9 (0.5–12)	7 (0.6–12)	2.5 (0.5–5)	2.5 (0.7–5)	2.6 (0.5–5)	2.7 (0.6–5)
Median weight, kg (range)	18 (8–52)	18 (7–39)	12 (6–18)	12 (7–18)	12 (7–19)	12 (7–17)
% Female	49%	47%	45%	56%	49%	46%
Median baseline parasite density, p/μL (range)	31,324 (1,011–99,604)	33,863 (1,159–97,916)	45,308 (2,056–190,755)	55,264 (3,469–186,403)	38,377 (3,692–162,624)	51,329 (3,796–178,458)
Median baseline hemoglobin, g/dL (range)	10.6 (8.1–14.2)	10.7 (8.1–14.8)	10.6 (8.1–14.5)	10.4 (8.1–14.1)	10.6 (8.1–14.2)	10.4 (8.1–14)
Months of enrollment	Mar'21–May'21	May'21–Jul'21	Feb'21–Mar'21	Mar'21–Jun'21	Feb'21–Apr'21	Mar'21–Jun'21

^aASPY, artesunate-pyronaridine; DP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; AL, artemether-lumefantrine; ASAQ, artesunate-amodiaquine.

arm (Table 1). Reasons for exclusion included non-falciparum infection during follow-up (*n* = 5), development of danger signs without parasitemia (*n* = 3), development of danger signs within 24 hours of enrollment (*n* = 2), and use of other antimalarials (*n* = 1).

Day 2 negativity ranged from 74% to 94%, while day 3 negativity was above 98% for all study sites and arms (Table 2). Rates of reported side effects were low (<1%) across all study sites and arms (Table S2).

Among participants reaching a study endpoint, there were a total of four early treatment failures and 71 cases of recurrent parasitemia after day 7 (late treatment failures) (Table 3). Of the 71 late treatment failures, one sample from the Benguela ASPY arm was classified as indeterminate due to a missing sample, 24 infections were classified as recrudescences, and 46 infections were classified as new infections. Most posterior probabilities of recrudescence (58/70, 83%) were either <0.1 or >0.9, suggesting high statistical confidence in the classification (Table S3).

Uncorrected day 28 efficacies ranged from 77.1% (95% CI: 69%–86%) in Zaire for AL to 100% for ASAQ in Lunda Sul (Table 4). Day 42 uncorrected efficacy was 87.2% (95% CI: 81%–94%) for ASPY and 94.2% (95% CI: 90%–99%) for DP, both in Benguela. After PCR correction, AL efficacy was 88.0% (95% CI: 82%–95%) in Zaire and 94.7% (95% CI: 90%–99%) in Lunda Sul. For ASAQ, efficacy was 92.0% (95% CI: 87%–98%) in Zaire and 100% in Lunda Sul. Corrected day 42 efficacy was 99.6% (95% CI: 99%–100%) for ASPY and 98.3% (95% CI: 96%–100%) for DP.

DISCUSSION

This is the fourth of five rounds of TES in Angola showing a corrected AL efficacy below 90% in a site. For Zaire, AL has had an efficacy below 90% in 2013, 2015, and 2021. These

TABLE 2 Proportion of slides negative for asexual malaria parasites on days 2 and 3 following antimalarial treatment, therapeutic efficacy monitoring in Angola, 2021^a

	Benguela				Zaire				Lunda Sul			
	ASPY		DP		AL		ASAQ		AL		ASAQ	
	<i>n/N</i>	% (95% CI)	<i>n/N</i>	% (95% CI)	<i>n/N</i>	% (95% CI)	<i>n/N</i>	% (95% CI)	<i>n/N</i>	% (95% CI)	<i>n/N</i>	% (95% CI)
Day 2 slide negativity	98/104	94 (87–98)	88/104	85 (76–91)	89/104	86 (77–91)	92/101	91 (83–96)	77/104	74 (64–82)	75/98	77 (67–84)
Day 3 slide negativity	104/104	100 (96–100)	103/105	98 (93–100)	102/102	100 (95–100)	101/101	100 (95–100)	101/103	98 (92–100)	99/99	100 (95–100)

^aASPY, artesunate-pyronaridine; DP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; AL, artemether-lumefantrine; ASAQ, artesunate-amodiaquine; CI, confidence interval.

TABLE 3 Treatment outcomes for participants finishing follow-up as part of therapeutic efficacy monitoring in Angola, 2021^{a,b}

	Benguela		Zaire		Lunda Sul	
	ASPY	DP	AL	ASAQ	AL	ASAQ
	<i>n</i> (%)					
	<i>N</i> = 100	<i>N</i> = 104	<i>N</i> = 98	<i>N</i> = 97	<i>N</i> = 100	<i>N</i> = 91
Treatment failure	14 (14)	6 (6)	23 (23)	19 (20)	13 (13)	0
Early treatment failure	0	0	1 (1)	3 (3)	0	0
Late treatment failure	14 (14)	6 (6)	22 (22)	16 (16)	13 (13)	0
Recrudescence	0	2 (2)	10 (10)	6 (6)	6 (6)	0
Day 7	0	1 (1)	0	0	0	0
Day 14	0	0	3 (3)	0	3 (3)	0
Day 21	0	0	5 (5)	4 (4)	2 (2)	0
Day 28	0	0	2 (2)	1 (1)	1 (1)	0
Day 35	0	1 (1)				
Day 42	0	0				
New infection	13 (13)	4 (4)	12 (12)	10 (10)	7 (7)	0
Day 7	0	0	0	0	0	0
Day 14	0	0	2 (2)	1 (1)	2 (2)	0
Day 21	1 (1)	0	5 (5)	3 (3)	3 (3)	0
Day 28	3 (3)	2 (2)	5 (5)	6 (6)	2 (2)	0
Day 35	4 (4)	0				
Day 42	5 (5)	2 (2)				
Adequate clinical and parasitological response	86 (86)	98 (94)	75 (77)	78 (80)	87 (87)	91 (100)

^aOne late treatment failure from the Benguela ASPY arm was indeterminate for PCR correction (sample missing).

^bASPY, artesunate-pyronaridine; DP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; AL, artemether-lumefantrine; ASAQ, artesunate-amodiaquine.

notable Zaire AL estimates are both close to each other (88.0%–89.6%) but also close to the 90% WHO threshold. The uncorrected efficacies for AL in Zaire have also been relatively stable over all five rounds: 77.4% in 2013, 76.0% in 2015, 92.8% in 2017, 84.2% in 2019, and 77.1% in 2021. Given the stability of both the uncorrected and corrected results, it is likely that these results reflect the true background susceptibility of parasites in this region to lumefantrine.

In contrast to the AL findings, in this study, ASAQ, DP, and ASPY all had corrected efficacies above 90% and the results suggest that they are appropriate choices for ACTs in Angola. To date, no drug other than AL has had a corrected efficacy below 90% in any round of therapeutic efficacy monitoring in Angola. As in previous rounds, day 3 slide-negativity rates were high across all study sites and arms, continued evidence of high artemisinin susceptibility in Angolan parasites.

This was the first study assessing ASPY efficacy in Angola. Although the uncorrected day 42 efficacy for the ASPY arm was 87.2%, all 13 cases of late treatment failure

TABLE 4 Efficacy of first-line antimalarials in three therapeutic efficacy monitoring sites in Angola in 2021^b

	Benguela		Zaire		Lunda Sul	
	ASPY	DP	AL	ASAQ	AL	ASAQ
	% (95% CI)					
Uncorrected Kaplan-Meier estimate						
Day 28	96.1 (93–100)	97.1 (94–100)	77.1 (69–86)	82.9 (76–91)	87.3 (81–94)	100 ^a
Day 42	87.2 (81–94)	94.2 (90–99)				
PCR-corrected Kaplan-Meier estimate						
Day 28	99.7 (99–100)	99 (97–100)	88 (82–95)	91 (85–97)	94.4 (90–99)	100 ^a
Day 42	99.6 (99–100)	98.3 (96–100)				

^aConfidence intervals are undefined.

^bASPY, artesunate-pyronaridine; DP, dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine; AL, artemether-lumefantrine; ASAQ, artesunate-amodiaquine.

were ultimately classified as new infections. Notably, nine (70%) of these cases were observed on day 35 or day 42. The half-life of pyronaridine has been estimated to be 10–13 days (23). This is very similar to the estimated half-life of 9–12 days for desethylamodiaquine (24, 25), the long-lasting metabolite of amodiaquine, and much shorter than the estimated half-life of piperaquine of 23 days (26). As such, based on partner drug half-lives, a 28-day follow-up might be more appropriate for ASPY. If the trend of new infections predominantly occurring after day 28 in ASPY trials is confirmed in other settings, the global antimalarial resistance surveillance community might consider shortening the follow-up period for ASPY to 28 days.

In late 2021, the WHO revised its guidelines for genotyping parasites for molecular correction of antimalarial resistance trials (27). This was in response to mounting evidence that the 2007 guidelines were insufficiently sensitive in identifying recrudescences (28, 29). The new guidelines recommended use of *msp1/msp2* and a microsatellite for all studies, in place of earlier protocols like *msp1/msp2/glurp* (widely used) and panels of microsatellites (used primarily by CDC). The timing of the guideline release meant that molecular correction for this 2021 TES was still performed using the older panel of seven neutral microsatellites. When regarding the distribution of posterior probabilities of recrudescence, most cases of recurrent cases of parasitemia classified as recrudescences had substantial statistical support to infer recrudescence (posterior probability >0.9). Notably, 22 of 24 (92%) recurrent cases of parasitemia classified as recrudescences by the Bayesian algorithm had matches at $\geq 4/7$ loci. Conversely, all 46 new infections had matches at <4/7 loci. This very closely confirms earlier predictions from two independent modeling approaches suggesting that the 4/7 threshold is the most appropriate threshold for differentiating recrudescences from new infections using seven neutral microsatellites (30, 31). Moreover, because previous rounds of TES monitoring in Angola also used the same panel and Bayesian analysis approach, corrected efficacies can be directly compared between this study and studies from previous years.

In addition to uncertainties related to the molecular correction, there are other limitations inherent to the study design. Sequential enrollment of patients for study arms means that drug efficacies should not be directly compared as patients were exposed to different risk of acquiring new infections during follow-up. Lack of direct observation of the evening doses of AL poses a risk of non-adherence and underdosing; however, procedures implemented to minimize this risk, including telephoning patients with reminders and verification of used blister packs, mean that systematic underdosing was unlikely. Molecular markers of detection, useful in complementing TES results, will be reported separately.

The stability of the long-term trends in the period 2013–2021 for AL, ASAQ, and DP augments the robustness of the findings, and suggests that ASAQ and DP may be more appropriate ACTs than AL in Angola. Although just the first data point in Angola, the high efficacy of ASPY suggests it may also be an appropriate ACT for the country. Continued monitoring should be conducted to confirm these conclusions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are grateful to Medicines for Malaria Venture, Shin Poong, and Alfasigma for donation of the ASPY and DP treatments used in the study.

The study was funded by the U.S. President's Malaria Initiative. Investigators coordinated with Medicines for Malaria Venture to secure donations of ASPY and DP from Shin Poong and Alfasigma, respectively.

The findings and conclusions in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the official position of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention.

AUTHOR AFFILIATIONS

¹National Malaria Control Program, Ministry of Health, Luanda, Angola

²United States President's Malaria Initiative, United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Luanda, Angola

³PSI Angola, Luanda, Angola

⁴Field Epidemiology Training Program, Ministry of Health, Luanda, Angola

⁵National Institute of Health Research, Ministry of Health, Luanda, Angola

⁶United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

⁷United States President's Malaria Initiative, USAID, Luanda, Angola

⁸Institute of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, Nova University of Lisbon, Lisbon, Portugal

⁹United States President's Malaria Initiative, Malaria Branch, United States Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, Georgia, USA

AUTHOR ORCIDs

Mateusz M. Plucinski  <http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7322-4625>

FUNDING

Funder	Grant(s)	Author(s)
USAID President's Malaria Initiative (PMI)		Sarah Labuda
		Joana do Rosario
		Mateusz M. Plucinski

DATA AVAILABILITY

The full clinical data set has been uploaded to WorldWide Antimalarial Resistance Network and WHO repositories. Full genotyping data are available in Table S3 and the full clinical data set is available in Table S4.

ETHICS APPROVAL

Guardians of participants provided written informed consent. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional review board at the Angola Ministry of Health. It was reviewed by the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) and conducted consistent with applicable US federal law and CDC policy.

ADDITIONAL FILES

The following material is available [online](#).

Supplemental Material

Supplemental Tables S1 and S2 (AAC01525-23-s0001.docx). Supplemental tables.

Supplemental Table S3 (AAC01525-23-s0002.xlsx). Genotyping database.

Supplemental Table S4 (AAC01525-23-s0003.xlsx). Full clinical database.

REFERENCES

- Conrad MD, Rosenthal PJ. 2019. Antimalarial drug resistance in Africa: the calm before the storm? *Lancet Infect Dis* 19:e338–e351. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(19\)30261-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30261-0)
- World Health Organization. 2023. World malaria report 2022. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240064898>. Retrieved 30 May 2023.
- World Health Organization. 2019. Compendium of WHO malaria guidance: prevention, diagnosis, treatment, surveillance and elimination. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- World Health Organization. 2019. The use of artesunate-pyronaridine for the treatment of uncomplicated malaria. World Health Organization.
- World Health Organization. 2022. Strategy to respond to antimalarial drug resistance in Africa. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240060265>. Retrieved 14 Dec 2023.
- Balikagala B, Fukuda N, Ikeda M, Katuro OT, Tachibana S-I, Yamauchi M, Opio W, Emoto S, Anywar DA, Kimura E, Palacpac NMQ, Odongo-Aginya EI, Ogwang M, Horii T, Mita T. 2021. Evidence of artemisinin-resistant malaria in Africa. *N Engl J Med* 385:1163–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa2101746>
- Uwimana A, Umulisa N, Venkatesan M, Svigel SS, Zhou Z, Munyaneza T, Habimana RM, Rucogoza A, Moriarty LF, Sandford R, et al. 2021. Association of *Plasmodium falciparum* kelch13 R561H genotypes with delayed parasite clearance in Rwanda: an open-label, single-arm, multicentre, therapeutic efficacy study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 21:1120–1128. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(21\)00142-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(21)00142-0)
- Gansané A, Moriarty LF, Ménard D, Yerbanga I, Ouedraogo E, Sondo P, Kinda R, Tarama C, Soulama E, Tapsoba M, Kangoye D, Compaore CS, Badolo O, Dao B, Tchwenko S, Tinto H, Valea I. 2021. Anti-malarial efficacy and resistance monitoring of artemether-lumefantrine and

- dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine shows inadequate efficacy in children in Burkina Faso, 2017–2018. *Malar J* 20:48. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-021-03585-6>
9. Moriarty LF, Nkoli PM, Likwela JL, Mulopo PM, Sompwe EM, Rika JM, Mavoko HM, Savigel SS, Jones S, Ntamabyaliro NY, Kaputu AK, Lucchi N, Subramaniam G, Niang M, Sadou A, Ngoyi DM, Muyembe Tamfum JJ, Schmedes SE, Plucinski MM, Chowell-Puente G, Halsey ES, Kahunu GM. 2021. Therapeutic efficacy of artemisinin-based combination therapies in democratic Republic of the Congo and investigation of molecular markers of antimalarial resistance. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 105:1067–1075. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.21-0214>
 10. Plucinski MM, Talundzic E, Morton L, Dimbu PR, Macaia AP, Fortes F, Goldman I, Lucchi N, Stennies G, MacArthur JR, Udhayakumar V. 2015. Efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for treatment of uncomplicated malaria in children in Zaire and Uíge Provinces, Angola. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 59:437–443. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.04181-14>
 11. Plucinski MM, Dimbu PR, Macaia AP, Ferreira CM, Samutondo C, Quivinja J, Afonso M, Kiniffo R, Mbounga E, Kelley JS, Patel DS, He Y, Talundzic E, Garrett DO, Halsey ES, Udhayakumar V, Ringwald P, Fortes F. 2017. Efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in Angola, 2015. *Malar J* 16:62. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-017-1712-4>
 12. Dimbu PR, Horth R, Cândido ALM, Ferreira CM, Caquece F, Garcia LEA, André K, Pembele G, Jandondo D, Bondo BJ, Nieto Andrade B, Labuda S, Ponce de León G, Kelley J, Patel D, Savigel SS, Talundzic E, Lucchi N, Morais JFM, Fortes F, Martins JF, Plucinski MM. 2021. Continued low efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine in Angola in 2019. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 65:e01949-20. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01949-20>
 13. Westercamp N, Owidhi M, Otieno K, Chebore W, Buff AM, Desai M, Kariuki S, Samuels AM. 2022. Efficacy of artemether-lumefantrine and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria among children in Western Kenya. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 66:e0020722. <https://doi.org/10.1128/aac.00207-22>
 14. Davlantes E, Dimbu PR, Ferreira CM, Florinda Joao M, Pode D, Félix J, Sanhangala E, Andrade BN, Dos Santos Souza S, Talundzic E, Udhayakumar V, Owens C, Mbounga E, Wiesner L, Halsey ES, Martins JF, Fortes F, Plucinski MM. 2018. Efficacy and safety of artemether-lumefantrine, artesunate-amodiaquine, and dihydroartemisinin-piperaquine for the treatment of uncomplicated *Plasmodium falciparum* malaria in three provinces in Angola, 2017. *Malar J* 17:144. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-018-2290-9>
 15. World Health Organization. 2009. Methods for surveillance of antimalarial drug efficacy. Geneva WHO 9. <https://www.who.int/publications/item/9789241597531>
 16. Plucinski MM, Hastings IM, Moriarty LF, Venkatesan M, Felger I, Halsey ES. 2021. Variation in calculating and reporting antimalarial efficacy against *Plasmodium falciparum* in sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review of published reports. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 104:1820–1829. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.20-1481>
 17. Plucinski MM, Ashley EA, Bassat Q, Venkatesan M, Rosenthal PJ, Halsey ES. 2022. STARTER checklist for antimalarial therapeutic efficacy reporting. *Malar J* 21:187. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12936-022-04182-x>
 18. Plucinski M, Ashley EA, Bassat Q, Venkatesan M, Rosenthal PJ, Halsey E. 2022. STARTER checklist for antimalarial therapeutic efficacy reporting. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 107:1–3. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.22-0224>
 19. Lucchi NW, Narayanan J, Karell MA, Xayavong M, Kariuki S, DaSilva AJ, Hill V, Udhayakumar V. 2013. Molecular diagnosis of malaria by photo-induced electron transfer fluorogenic primers: PET-PCR. *PLoS One* 8:e56677. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0056677>
 20. Anderson TJ, Su X-Z, Bockarie M, Lagog M, Day KP. 1999. Twelve microsatellite markers for characterization of *Plasmodium falciparum* from finger-prick blood samples. *Parasitology* 119:113–125. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0031182099004552>
 21. McCollum AM, Mueller K, Villegas L, Udhayakumar V, Escalante AA. 2007. Common origin and fixation of *Plasmodium falciparum* dhfr and dhps mutations associated with sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine resistance in a low-transmission area in South America. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 51:2085–2091. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01228-06>
 22. Plucinski MM, Morton L, Bushman M, Dimbu PR, Udhayakumar V. 2015. Robust algorithm for systematic classification of malaria late treatment failures as recrudescence or reinfection using microsatellite genotyping. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 59:6096–6100. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00072-15>
 23. Croft SL, Duparc S, Arbe-Barnes SJ, Craft JC, Shin C-S, Fleckenstein L, Borghini-Fuhrer I, Rim H-J. 2012. Review of pyronaridine anti-malarial properties and product characteristics. *Malar J* 11:270. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-270>
 24. Stepniewska K, Taylor W, Sirima SB, Ouedraogo EB, Ouedraogo A, Gansané A, Simpson JA, Morgan CC, White NJ, Kiechel J-R. 2009. Population pharmacokinetics of artesunate and amodiaquine in African children. *Malar J* 8:200. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-8-200>
 25. Tarning J, Chotsiri P, Jullien V, Rijken MJ, Bergstrand M, Cammas M, McGready R, Singhasivanon P, Day NPJ, White NJ, Nosten F, Lindegardh N. 2012. Population pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic modeling of amodiaquine and desethylamodiaquine in women with *Plasmodium vivax* malaria during and after pregnancy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 56:5764–5773. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01242-12>
 26. Hung T-Y, Davis TME, Ilett KF, Karunajeewa H, Hewitt S, Denis MB, Lim C, Socheat D. 2004. Population pharmacokinetics of piperaquine in adults and children with uncomplicated falciparum or vivax malaria. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 57:253–262. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2125.2003.02004.x>
 27. World Health Organization. Informal consultation on methodology to distinguish reinfection from recrudescence in high malaria transmission areas. Available from: <https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240038363>. Retrieved 28 Jan 2022.
 28. Felger I, Snounou G, Hastings I, Moehrle JJ, Beck H-P. 2020. PCR correction strategies for malaria drug trials: updates and clarifications. *Lancet Infect Dis* 20:e20–e25. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(19\)30426-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(19)30426-8)
 29. Jones S, Kay K, Hodel EM, Chy S, Mbituyumuremyi A, Uwimana A, Menard D, Felger I, Hastings I. 2019. Improving methods for analyzing antimalarial drug efficacy trials: molecular correction based on length-polymorphic markers *msp-1*, *msp-2*, and *glurp*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* (Bethesda) 63:e00590-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00590-19>
 30. Jones S, Plucinski M, Kay K, Hodel EM, Hastings IM. 2020. A computer modelling approach to evaluate the accuracy of microsatellite markers for classification of recurrent infections during routine monitoring of antimalarial drug efficacy. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 64:e01517-19. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01517-19>
 31. Plucinski MM, Barratt JLN. 2021. Nonparametric binary classification to distinguish closely related versus unrelated *Plasmodium falciparum* parasites. *Am J Trop Med Hyg* 104:1830–1835. <https://doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.21-0117>