

A Work Project, presented as part of the requirements for the Award of a Master's degree in
Management from the Nova School of Business and Economics.

**TALENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR THE FUTURE OF WORK: FUTURE
OF WORK READINESS THROUGH LEARNING AGILITY**

Bernhard Horn Weitzberg

Work project carried out under the supervision of:

Professor Milton de Sousa

16/01/2023

Abstract

Talent Management Practices for the Future of Work: A Case from the Insurance Sector

Over the years, the academic field has been coming up with new studies, frameworks, and definitions of what work is and, consecutively, what its future is, taking into account all the technological evolution and disruptors, such as the case of Covid-19. In this way, it is necessary to follow what are the new trends in the market and be able to adapt. This project is then based on an in-depth analysis of the Company XYZ to understand its readiness for the Future of Work. In addition an exploration of the concepts of the existing theoretical concepts of learning agility and Mindsets were examined in order to add understanding to how they relate to future learning and skill needs within organizations.

Keywords: Future of Work; Human Resources; Talent Management; Learning Agility; Growth Mindset; Recruitment; Onboarding; Learning & Development; Reskilling; Flexibility.

This work used infrastructure and resources funded by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (UID/ECO/00124/2013, UID/ECO/00124/2019 and Social Sciences DataLab, Project 22209), POR Lisboa (LISBOA-01-0145-FEDER-007722 and Social Sciences DataLab, Project 22209) and POR Norte (Social Sciences DataLab, Project 22209).

General Index

Group part

1. Introduction.....	13
2. Research Question	14
3. Methodology	14
3.1. Data Collection.....	15
3.2. Sampling and Subjects.....	15
3.3. Interviews.....	17
3.4. Coding	17
3.5. Definitions	20
4. Literature Review.....	21
4.1. The Relationship Between the Future of Work and Talent Management.....	21
4.2. Talent Management Before the 2000s	25
4.3. Talent Management: the 2000s Pre-Covid.....	28
4.4. Covid and Post-Covid.....	33
5. Future of Work Trends and Insights	35
5.1. Learning.....	36
5.2. Automation and Reskilling.....	36
5.3. The Talent Bottleneck.....	37
5.4. Diversity and Inclusion.....	37
5.5. Hybrid and Remote Work Modes.....	37
5.6. Data	38
6. Case Study Company Interviews	39
6.1. Interview 1	39
6.2. Interview 2	40
6.3. Interview 3	43
6.4. Interview 4	44
6.5. Interview 5	46
6.6. Interview 6	47
6.7. Interview 7	48
6.8. Interview 8	49
6.9. Interview 9	50
6.10. Interview 10	52
7. Interviews Main Points	53
7.1. The Company Lacks a Shared Vision for the Future of Work.....	53
7.2. Learning and Development Frameworks Are Not Ready for Upcoming Changes ...	54
8. Interview Analysis vs. Internal Documents – Case Study Company Outlook	55

9. Additional Observations	57
9.1. <i>Reskilling and the Skills Gap</i>	57
9.2. <i>Learning Culture</i>	58
9.3. <i>Internal Mobility</i>	58
9.4. <i>Artificial Intelligence</i>	59
10. Recommendations.....	60
10.1. <i>Cultivate a Learning Culture</i>	60
10.2. <i>Build a Career Model that Allows Job Mobility</i>	62
10.3. <i>Building a More Automated and Inclusive Company Through AI</i>	63
10.4. <i>Diversify and Refine a Work Flexibility Program</i>	65
10.5. <i>Create Company-Wide Initiatives to Create a Shared Vision</i>	67
11. Limitations	68
12. Conclusion	69

Future of Work Readiness Through Learning Agility

13. Introduction.....	71
14. Methodology	71
15. Growth Mindset Theory.....	72
16. Learning Agility Theory	73
17. Conceptual Similarities and Theoretical Overlaps	74
17.1. <i>Change Agility</i>	74
17.2. <i>Learning from Experience</i>	75
17.3. <i>Shared Qualities of Predictive Performance</i>	76
17.4. <i>The View of Learning Agility as a Rare Trait</i>	77
18. Finding the Theoretical Link Between Growth Mindset and Increased Learning Agility	78
18.1. <i>How Growth Mindset increases learning motivation</i>	78
18.2. <i>How Learning motivation increases the ability to learn</i>	79
18.3. <i>Facilitating Learning Agility with Growth Mindset Interventions</i>	80
19. Growth Mindset and Learning Agility's Role in Future of Work Readiness	80
20. Conclusion	82
21. Discussion and Recommendations for Future Research.....	83
47. References.....	85
48. Appendices.....	100
48.1. <i>Appendix 1 – Interview Scripts</i>	100

Table of Contents

Table I - Interviews	16
Table II - Codes with Examples	18
Table III – Summary of Conceptual Similarities Between Growth Mindset and Learning Agility	77
Table IV - Summary of Conceptual Differences Between Growth Mindset and Learning Agility	78
..	

List of Abbreviations

ABC - Agile Business Consortium

AI - Artificial Intelligence

ANN - Artificial Neural Network

D&I - Diversity and Inclusion

EVP - Employee Value Proposition

EVs - Electric Vehicles

fMRI – functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging

FoW - Future of Work

FSSC - Financial Services Skills Commission

FTEs - Full-Time Employees

Gen Z - Generation Z

HBR - Harvard Business Review

HR - Human Resources

HRIS - Human Resources Information Systems

HRM - Human Resource Management

IBM - International Business Machines Corporation

IT - Information Technology

KPIs - Key Performance Indicators

MBA - Master of Business Administration

MIT - Massachusetts Institute of Technology

NWM - New Work Model

P&O - People and Organization

STEM - Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics

VR - Virtual Reality

WEF - World Economic Forum

WOW - Ways of Working

1. Introduction

Talent Management is a term that has steadily risen in popularity over the last year; reading news about the current talent war (or shortage), about how specific jobs are in extreme demand, or about new work models and environments that companies are experimenting with has just become part of everyday life. Although talent management has been out there for a while, it became a big trend when McKinsey consultants first called attention to managing talent in 1998. Since then, a large amount of awareness has been raised of the topic, which is becoming particularly popular in academic and business institutions (Gallardo-Gallardo, Dries, and Gonzáles-Cruz 2018).

A large amount of information only sometimes results in clear definitions and scopes or structured research and frameworks applicable to actual companies' talent management, making it both complex and frustrating for companies to come up with inventive, well-informed decisions on managing their talent. Notably, Covid-19 has triggered the need for companies to consider their human capital as a critical competitive advantage for the future (Tomcikova, Svetozarovova, and Coculova 2021). More than ever, employers feel it is time to be proactive in this topic.

Overseeing the market trends and the rise of new agile competitors, several companies are leading the path of preparing their workforce, reviewing their ability to attract and retain the best talent, and keeping up with all changes centered around the objective of becoming a future-proof company.

The current research aims to understand the main trends and paint a view of the future of work, deep-diving into different human resources (HR) policies, processes, and procedures related to talent management, hearing various internal stakeholders on their opinion about internal and external outlooks and, finally, defining how a company can be ready for the future of work.

2. Research Question

This project and report aim to assess how company XYZ can position itself as a successful employer for the future of work. Next to that, we want to extract some additional insights into how Talent Management will or can develop in general given the trends of the Future of Work.

To do so, we painted a picture of the future of work based on academic and professional literature and analyzed one target company to use as a case study. Becoming ready for the future of work includes understanding how to attract, retain, and develop human capital, learning what the trends of the future are and how the target company can face them, and evaluating how they can prepare to adjust to the circumstances that are inevitably going to be different from what we expect and predict. The final research question is: *“What changes should Company XYZ make today to become ready for the future of work regarding talent management?”*.

3. Methodology

This section describes the methodologies used to answer the posed research question. Moreover, it develops the basis for why the methods were chosen, their limitations, and preferred characteristics in light of the topics this paper aims to explore.

The focus was put on answering and exploring the readiness of our case study target Company XYZ: A large multinational insurance company’s talent management practices, considering the challenges of the Future of Work. In particular, how ready are Company XYZ's recruitment, onboarding, training, and learning practices for future challenges, trends, and alternations of working conditions, technology, demographics, and economics. An explorative, qualitative approach was chosen due to reasoning much in line with Burns and Grove's (2001) thoughts that exploratory research is best practiced under circumstances where one aims to

explore, find insights, and describe new phenomena, such as in the characteristics and uncertainty in the future of work field (Mansourian 2008; Ponelis 2015).

3.1. Data Collection

Primary and secondary data were used in this research. Firstly, primary data was collected through semi-structured, in-depth interviews with a sample of employees and managers from the company. Interviews were held to gain insight and knowledge from within the company regarding its readiness and standings of current talent management practices. As Bryman and Bell (2011) suggested, this type of interview gives the best opportunities to receive sincere, detailed, and personal answers. It is essential to explore perceptions, attitudes, and current knowledge internally. It served as a more company-specific reflection of the topic that has been covered before in a general, inter-industrial sense globally. Lastly, secondary data was derived from existing company documents - reports, and literature on the topic. The secondary data was later used with the interviews' findings to create a situational analysis of the Company XYZ. After collecting and summarizing the essential factors and findings suggested by existing literature on the topic of the Future of Work, we aimed to use this lens to look at the extracted data from interviews and internal metrics from the company to define our problem set. Examples of internal metrics came from the company reports regarding compensation satisfaction, demographic data, and talent retention indicators.

3.2. Sampling and Subjects

As mentioned, interviews were conducted with employees and managers of the multinational insurance company. Ten interviews were conducted in November 2022. The company itself made the selection criteria. Four of the ten interviews (See Table I) were held with individuals from the People & Organization (P&O) department to get high-level insights into the company's talent management practices. Additionally, six more interviews were held

with employees and team managers from different parts of the company. The selection criteria were based so that the two employees in more junior positions could give insight into their recent recruitment and onboarding processes. In contrast, the two in senior roles - who had more experience and were not managers yet - could give insights into their learning and training experiences. Lastly, the two employees who were in managerial positions could provide insight into all three pillars of talent management due to their involvement in recruitment, onboarding, and training within their respective teams. Due to this work's nature and access to classified company insights, information about the interviewed subjects is to remain anonymous in this work as requested by the company.

Table I - Interviews

Interviews	Profile	Criteria Contribution
Interview 1	People & Organization Team	Give a broad perspective & overview of human resources and current talent management practices.
Interview 2	People & Organization Team	To gather a specialized view of recruitment, onboarding, and learning & training to understand the particularities of the processes.
Interview 3	People & Organization Team	To gather a specialized view of recruitment, onboarding, and learning & training to understand the particularities of the processes.
Interview 4	People & Organization Team	To gather a specialized view of recruitment, onboarding, and learning & training to understand the particularities of the processes.
Interviews 5 & 6	Employees with < 2 years of employment	To get insight into recent employees' personal experiences regarding the recruitment, onboarding, and training process.
Interviews 7 & 8	Employees in non-managerial positions with > 5 years of employment	To get an insight into employees and their experiences regarding

		training and skill development within the company.
Interviews 9 & 10	Employees in a managerial position	Gain insight into how managers perceive XYZ's future needs. Also, how they perceive the processes that involve recruiting, onboarding, and training the employees they manage.

3.3. Interviews

The interviews were conducted online via Microsoft Teams conference calls as in-depth, semi-structured interviews with open answers. A script was prepared for each interview that contained open-ended questions exploring the relations between the interviewee's own experiences within the company and their thoughts regarding the impact of future trends on talent management practices within the company (See Appendix 1).

3.4. Coding

Data were extracted from the qualitative interviews with Open Coding (Glaser 1978). Open coding is the process of labeling and extracting information from qualitative sources (Huq Khan 2019). The interviews were first recorded into audio and then transcribed into text. After that, Open Coding was conducted to extract general insights, context, and underlying meaning from parts of the interviews. "In the open coding process, the concepts emerge from the raw data and are later grouped into conceptual categories. As it is built directly from the raw data, the process ensures the work's validity." (Huq Khan 2019). The now-structured results of the interviews were then compared to secondary data from existing literature and internal documents from the company itself.

Table II - Codes with Examples

Codes	Examples from the Interviews
Culture Learning of	"It's not just about training them in the sense that they really have to be digital, it's more, I think we have to install a culture of learning, people have to understand that they have to be learners."
Efficiency	"We have to solve for efficiency as a layer in again, when we talk about recruitment, looking for people that are bringing the skill sets that our business needs and need to find the right people."
Internal Relationship	"Offboarding is also very important, because you have to retain the relationship so that when the person leaves, there is the high probability that they are not a detractor but someone who says good things about the company and maybe would like to come back in the future."
Brand Positioning	"We also are going to be always replacing people that leave, we have to assume that we're going to lose people, but we have to solve by retaining the relationship and building the brand positioning in the market that says we're a good place to work, and people want to stay here and want to come to work."
Consistency	"At the moment, we have a very centralized onboarding process, which I think works very well, but then the onboarding of each department works very differently, so is where we need to normalize more."
Flexibility	"I don't think that money is always the most important thing." "And people want flexibility today, right? The world changed massively, in two years, probably the biggest social experiment in the last centuries, and people want different things."
Evaluation Surveys	"94% of our admitted employees classify the process overall as good or very good. So I think it's a good indicator. Of course there is always one or the other improvement situation and improvement aspects that are mentioned and that we try collaboration. But in general the feedback is positive."
Unpredictability	"Because the problem that we have with working online is that is very easy for you, for everybody to get disconnected." "You can do everything, almost if you take off the speaker and the camera, you may not be there."
Planning	So what can be improved, planning, in the sense that we have to strike a balance between the time we have available to receive these people well, knowing that by giving them this time we have less time for our routines, but being sure that in the near future this time we spend with people will be repaid with the individual and collective well-being of the team.
Proactivity	"The only competence that could be strengthened more would be in the sense of people being even more proactive, so creating the conditions so that the company, regardless of the possibility of people being genuine and expressing their opinions, be clearly recognized and valued for the spontaneity of the contribution they can make."
Criteria	"In terms of recruitment, to seek to recruit people with potential and a set of characteristics, both personal and professional, who, whether we like it or not, add value to the company through their characteristics, and therefore to achieve better results for the organization itself."
Creativity	"I think onboarding is very good, but I think it should be even lighter, it should be a more creative process."

Interactivity	"This house cannot say it lacks training, we have a lot of internal training, so for me the training process to evolve is in interactivity."
Team Expansion	"Prospects also to increase the team here, also in view of the volume of processes that we have in progress."
Recruitment Process	"It was very clear, it was all quite fast and transparent and the expectations were, I think, very well managed from the beginning."
Outsourcing	"Outsourcing services has not gone directly through us in recruiting itself, although it is something we want to control."
Bureaucratic	"14 contents of mandatory training just for being in the insurance sector, things like ontological projects, thinks like anti money-laundering, so some things about how to be in the insurance sector." "For everyone who has contact either directly or indirectly to a client, we still need to provide an initial training of 80 hours and then annually another 15 hours."
Future Challenges	"In terms of format, I think we've all seen a lot and we're all always looking forward to new things and things that impact us. A training that is a more classic type ends up not getting our curiosity and our attention as much. So, anything that is a little bit off the beaten track, I think will be a greater value for us to be awake and attentive, and for it to be a training that impacts us and for us to be an ambassador for that training itself."
Onboarding Process	"I joined 100% remotely, at the time I invite, there was really no one in the office, but it was very good." "I got insurance notions in all areas, and I think this is quite important." "There was a lot of openness in transmitting knowledge to me and making me comfortable with the dynamics of the team and the company."

From aggregating the insights into these codes, it was possible to take some preliminary conclusions:

- What really has to be implemented is a learning culture that does not exist yet, in the sense that people have to get the habit of training themselves by their own initiative in order to be in constant development and personal and professional evolution, instead of being something imposed by the company.
- There needs to be more flexibility in terms of the employees being able to have a balance between working from home and going to the office, because although this is already slightly implemented, some people still feel the need to be more from home.
- As far as recruitment is concerned, there should be more criteria in the process, in order to reach exactly the objectives intended for the position and the company. In this case, it should

prioritize the characteristics and qualities of the candidate instead of looking only at the background, as it does not always confer potential.

- As for onboarding, it is necessary to offer a more integrated experience, where new employees can experience what the different areas of the company really are, in a more creative way. In terms of training, this should become more interactive, as this is how we gather more knowledge.
- It is necessary to come up with more dynamic, disruptive, and innovative trainings with a focus on the future market needs, so that the employees can keep up with new technologies and new ways of doing the same thing. In order to create these trainings that will impact people AI can be considered. Also important, investigate the possibility and feasibility to have a company manager that keeps track of your trainings and suggests you relevant trainings.

3.5. Definitions

To set the proper context for the remainder of this paper, this section is going to discuss some basic definitions that guided the development of the work:

- **Future of Work** - According to Deloitte, the future of work is a result of many forces of change affecting three deeply connected dimensions of an organization: work, what work can be automated and done by/with intelligent machines; the workforce, so who can do the job and how to leverage ongoing talent; and the workplace, so how they look like, how work practices are reshaped, and where and when work is done (Schwartz et al. n.d., 2).
- **Talent** – There are two views on talent:
 1. **Something That the Person Has** - Nijs et al. (2014) introduced that talent refers to systematically developed innate abilities of individuals deployed in activities they like, find important, and want to invest energy in. It enables individuals to perform excellently in one

or more domains of human functioning, operationalized as performing better than other individuals of the same age or experience or performing consistently at their best (Nijs et al. 2014, 182).

2. **Being a Talent** - Collings and Mellahi (2009) allege that talent refers to individuals with high potential who have the ability and inclination to systematically develop the necessary skills and expertise to fill critical roles within an organization (Singh 2021, 44).

The first view was taken as the primary reference to develop this project.

- **Talent Management** - Gartner (n.d.) states that talent management is the attraction, selection, and retention of employees, which involves a combination of HR processes across the employee life cycle. It encompasses workforce planning, employee engagement, learning and development, performance management, recruiting, onboarding, succession, and retention. It is also about ensuring that the right person is in the right job at the right time (Jackson and Schuler 1990, 235).
- **Talent Lifecycle** - The talent lifecycle encompasses all stages of interaction between an organization and its human capital (Schiemann 2014, 282).
- **Human Capital** - It consists of the knowledge, skills, and health that people accumulate throughout their lives, enabling them to realize their potential as productive members of society (The World Bank, n.d.).

4. Literature Review

4.1. The Relationship Between the Future of Work and Talent Management

The opportunities and complexities of the world of work have gained increasing attention in recent years from academics, policymakers, and the public (Balliester and Elsheiki 2018). Today, the topic of the future of work and what phenomena impact employers and

employees in times ahead builds a network of possible outcomes and topics. Hence, there needs to be a clear definition or shared view of what the future of work embodies. Therefore, the stature of the discussion also reflects the typical uncertainty of what might lie ahead.

The future of work can be seen as an evolution of themes, as suggested by Singh et al. (2021). Their analytical literature review of the most researched topics and their expansion brings forward the complexity of the future of work topics. Research has, since the early 1980s, taken shape to what we today call the future of work (FoW), focusing its analysis on the impact of technological inventions such as the computer and data storage, as well as their effects on labor (Van Der Zande et al. 2019).

Manual jobs became increasingly impacted by computerization and brought forward a more extensive discussion and interest in the field until the early 2000s. Moreover, as technologies evolved, so did their complexity and impact through the development of software that aided work processes and made manual job tasks more efficient and effective (Devlin 2017). It is from the understanding that the impact of changes in demographic needs, technology, medicine, and economic trends on human labor holds a large part of the discussion and research being held today (Zimmerman 2014; Bozio et al. 2016; Borland; Coelli 2017). This is in tandem with significant interest and the recent focus on the fourth digital revolution, a term brought forward by the World Economic Forum that aims to describe technologies such as robotics, artificial intelligence, and machine learning and their impact on labor standards and practices (Schwab 2018).

Later, Schmidt (2017) wrote about a particular subset of subjects from the fourth industrial revolution. In particular, the emergence of platform economies, crowd work, and gig work, where most contributors argue for the deterioration of labor laws, employee bargaining power, and earning capacity (Codagnone, Abadie, and Biagi 2016). Moreover, tied to the discussion around platform economies and work flexibility lies arguments for diminishing

productivity due to a lack of organization between colleagues without a shared physical workspace (Sokas 2017). However, contradictory statements have been put forward, such as by Brookings (2016), which captured the benefits of labor market flexibility and its positive effects on the free labor market.

The opposing views within the topical publications reflect the positive and negative impacts. Indeed, the writings of Sachs, J. et al. (2015) portray these characteristic reflections regarding technology and labor in their work to understand robotics' impact on companies and working conditions. Moreover, in trying to map the growing, multifaceted structure of the future of work as a topic, International Labor Office (2018) created an exhaustive literature review from over 250 authors. It worked on the topic focusing on the impact on workers. They narrowed down the possible effected or affected factors of future work outcomes: Labor force, jobs, and development; Working Conditions; Social Protection; and Wage growth (International Labour Office 2018). Some limitations to the review, as described by themselves, were the overrepresentation of studies from developing countries.

Naturally, as emphasis and development and interest grew for the focus on human resources, more inclusion of human resource management tools and their impact on companies' resilience towards future implications on economics and working conditions flourished.

Talent management, particularly recruitment and onboarding, has had a minor contribution to the topic compared to learning. In this case, learning has been seen as a significant possibility or facilitator for companies and human resources to become more agile in navigating future eruptions in the external environment, according to the Global Human Capital Trends Report (2021) recently published by Deloitte. Here, they found that over 70% of executives saw upskilling and reskilling as the single most vital tool to meet future talent pool difficulties, taken from their career experiences and, in hindsight, the effects of Covid-19 on remote work.

However, the OIGP Global Research Study (2019) shed light on talent management processes. The authors highlighted that less than half of the sampled companies had talent management systems, such as succession planning, skill development, continuing education, and certifications in place. They argued that these are particularly important for organizations to be prepared for future uncertainties and strongly affect the company's employee value proposition to the potential talent pool.

Publications from business analysts and consulting firms, like the previously mentioned, are the most common regarding how Talent Management tools and processes are tied to solving future needs problems such as competitive advantages, future skill needs, and strategic agility (Sharma et al. 2021). For example, Jeff Schwartz, a familiar figure in strategic human resources consultancy, is a prominent figure and has been a bridging figure within the field of the future of work and talent management. He specifically focuses on the effects of technology and the internet on work flexibility, talent pools, and HR strategies.

A significant contribution by Jeff Schwarz (2021) is his thoughts on the emerging global talent pool that is growing in size due to the borderless landscape caused by globalization and remote work. Additionally, he further bridges the gap through his consultancy work, such as in his articles where he describes companies with a successful learning program, with reskilling and upskilling opportunities as a good facilitator of increased employer value among talent but also as a hedge towards the emerging future which holds needs unforeseen today (Schwartz 2022). He further describes future needs as parts of the companies that can be met by learning agility in company culture, which organizations should focus on.

From a human resources-focused point of view and assuming that a company or organization is prevalent in literature on the topic, Hagel, J III. (2021) argues that more than upskilling and reskilling is needed to keep up with changing needs in consumer trends and business necessities. He argues that this is merely sharing existing knowledge. Instead, creating

a lifelong drive to learn and improve should be the goal of organizational learning programs, benefiting innovation and retention figures.

Recent literature about talent management's involvement in the future of work has evolved into a more employee-centric stance. Employees' mental and physical health, work flexibility, employee-employer connection, and diversity are topics that Harvard Business Review found particularly important in their collection of articles which aimed to aid organizations and businesses in navigating the future of talent management and was named "Future of work: The insights you need to know from Harvard Business Review" (2021). Quoting existing research from Harvard, the document stated that total work flexibility could increase the number of total high performers. Additionally, it said that building a stronger sense of belonging for the employees towards the organization increases productivity. Also, according to the paper, aligning social values to helping others in society and work teams have been shown to lessen downtime and increase work speed (Betterup 2012).

Recent publications also relate to the war on talent (Hankins 1998). In other words, the consensus about the lack of the right talent for the right job, what Hankins claimed to be an increasing talent scarcity, inherently tilts the discussions toward business efforts in employer value through means such as those previously mentioned. Therefore, according to McKinsey consultants Kartik Sharma and Bill Schaninger (2021), recruitment policies and communication regarding the present values and opportunities are essential for future employers.

4.2. Talent Management Before the 2000s

From the 1980s to the 1990s, little was talked about talent management as we know it today. The more relevant articles from the eighties surfaced on "management talent" or "managerial talent" in organizations. The article investigated what made some employees good options (or talented) for high-hierarchy postings. The topic was covered not only in the management field but also had some studies explicitly done by psychology authors.

One example in the management field comes from Jennings, which approached the topic in 1981 from the angle of corporation academies, where he showcases some firms which can develop flawless employees through these training programs to top management positions as directors and C-level (Jennings 1981). Despite that, some authors had a different point of view, Bod and Slavinski, from the psychology field, suggested academic centers for management had nothing to do with the disposition of a person to be a good pick for a top posting. Using cross-cultural research, their study used a group of Canadian and South African high managers and directors to see how personality correlates to managerial talent and to identify tendencies in the relation of personality and managerial talent (Bod and Slavinski 1983). Other examples of notorious authors and studies that explored the theme through the optics of managerial talent are Ivancevich and Stewart (1989), Tjerk Hooghiemstra (1990), and Thornton and Cleveland (1990).

Although managerial talent was the trend in the academic field, a few researchers were already looking at the topic more holistically, closer to the nature of talent management as we see it today. An exciting work comes from Spencer Blakeslee, and he outlined the need to plan to hold new graduates in the firm for more than the typical 14-17 months, a benchmark of the average for an entry-level position to stay on the job before jumping to another company at the time (Blakeslee 1984). The author characterized those young professionals from top universities in the Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) field as highly talented individuals with much potential to contribute to the firm's future but would usually quit due to a narrow vision caused by a vision shaped by academic experience. Blakeslee said the retention problems come from 1) not knowing how to work in a professional setting, 2) new graduate employees wanting autonomy, and 3) new graduate employees needing an idea of what career plan they want. Although some parts of the study seem outdated, the novelty of this

study comes from anticipating some topics companies are grasping now in 2022 as employee retention, workers' autonomy, and workforce planning time (Blakeslee 1984).

Various authors, such as Barney (1995), also had exciting studies regarding the imitability of talent - suggesting that firms gain skills, experience, and abilities through their people, which is linked to the company's culture, network, and structure. This human capital is the heart of talent and what makes it difficult for other companies to copy, giving a real competitive advantage to firms skilled at managing their people.

The turn of the decades came with a change as McKinsey authors Chambers, Foulon, Handfield-Jones, Hankin, and Michaels III (1998) crafted the term "The War of Talent" term. It brought awareness to the topic, changing the field of talent management studies forever. Rarely a study gets this much attention and importance, which determines its influence on this in the years to come. The article starts with a strong statement: "better talent is worth fighting for," and is backed up by buzzword play in recruitment questions: "why would someone really good want to join your company? And how will you keep them for more than a few years?" (Chambers, Foulon, et al. 1998).

The article starts by identifying the worsening talent shortage of people in different fields and the difficulty of attracting and retaining the right people. The researchers investigated the issue in 77 large companies from other sectors and industries and looked at different data on performance, talent pool, and interviews on various talent management topics. They also looked into almost 6500 corporate officers' and executives' assessments to get top management perspectives.

The study proves the existence of the war for talent by counting the insufficiency of talent by comparing a large number of answers and assessing the tendency of decline in the supply of top managers and executives, rise in job mobility, and decline in productivity. In the

qualitative aspect, the research showed that most company executives feel they do not attract high talent and are unsure of whom the top performers are (Chambers, Foulon, et al. 1998).

The main conclusion from the study was there it is possible to win the war for talent by elevating talent management over corporate priority: refining the employee value proposition and putting the employees at the center of company priority and policies, as the text states: “Superior talent will be tomorrow’s prime source of competitive advantage. Any company seeking to exploit it must instill a talent mindset throughout the organization, starting at the top” (Chambers, Foulon, et al. 1998).

The rest of the text suggests solutions to have strong talent management: creating a winning employee value proposition, holding executives accountable for developing talent recruitment, developing and retaining initiatives, having a robust recruitment strategy, developing the employees at full force, and others (Chambers, Foulon, et al. 1998). The study gathered several trends of talent management so smartly in the war of talent concept, clarifying the outlook of the future of work for the decade to come and on, which makes it a staple in the field.

The literature on the turn of the century was mainly marked by the shadow of the war on talent, demarked by authors and works such as the book “The War for Talent: Getting the Best from the Best” by Williams (2000), “Beware and Prepare: The Government Workforce of the Future” by Green (2000), and “The Quest for the Best: Human Resource Practices to Attract and Retain Talent” by Hilton (1999), to name a few.

4.3. Talent Management: the 2000s Pre-Covid

As previously mentioned, the field of Talent Management got famous during the turn of the century. Lewis and Heckman brought awareness that the results for “talent management” grew almost four times from 2004 to 2008 (Lewis and Heckman 2008). The rise in papers about the subject did not lead talent management to become a well-defined study area. However, as

the authors indicated, there needed to be more clarity on the field's definition, scope, and goals. Lewis and Heckman (2008) then proceeded to organize a critical review of all literature in the area, becoming a reference to any relevant academic work related to it.

Firstly, the authors gathered all terms used to describe talent management interchangeably. After reading and comparing the sources of use, they realized there needed to be a precise meaning since it was used in many ways to highlight the strategic importance of human resources. From that, they took the approach of talent management as architecture, meaning the best practice is to describe the vision of early components of managing talent and offer a strategic perspective that provides a framework to outline how these policies should occur.

To pursue a strategic approach in talent management, the authors suggest every employee needs to be segmented by the difficulty of replacing the value added to the company, in an adaptation from several models, as Zuboff's (1995). By positioning people in this matrix, the company has visibility on how to approach its talent management policies and exploit opportunities that appear to use people as a competitive advantage (Lewis and Heckman 2008).

Lewis and Heckman also present more complex frameworks and models, in general, they link other elements to assess when deciding how to manage people, among them: sustainable strategic advantage, resources and processes, talent pools and structures, aligned actions, human capacity, policies and practices, and investments. The discussion from those dynamics adds value to the strategic approach of talent management and can be accountable to authors such as Jackson and Schuler (1990), Barney (1991), Zuboff (1995), and Boudreau and Ramstad (2005).

To finalize their critical review, the authors appeal to researchers to bring more clarity and thought leadership to the topic to make the field more academically coherent and rigorous. They claim researchers come up with talent decisions systems-level models to illustrate the

impact of talent choices and provide meaningful impact within the organization. It is worth mentioning that Collings and Mellahi (2009) also did an interesting review paper on strategic talent management that resembles a lot the investigation of Lewis and Heckman.

Fascinating research on talent management for the 21st century was held by Cappelli (2008) for Harvard's Business Review. The author showcases that talent management policies and procedures done in the last century eventually collapsed because they could not address the uncertainties raised in the marketplace, which led to an excess supply of managers and talent pipeline churn due to wrong growth forecasts. The crisis affected a lot of programs and initiatives to develop talent, such as the academy companies.

By the end of the 90s, companies restarted filling their talent pools and focused on hiring from competitors instead of internal development, which caused retention issues. The author highlights that the C-level mindset was on assuring they would have people from the outside with the required skills, not developing internally and potentially losing this employee to another firm (Cappelli 2008). By the end of the century, companies realized they were attracting experienced candidates and losing valuable employees to competitors at the same pace. Although many tried to keep the old talent management practices back in place, Cappelli believed those needed to be updated and had little chance of succeeding since they surrounded creating succession plans for high-potential employees. The problem is that most of them were leaving rapidly and leaving a gap in workforce planning.

Cappelli defends talent management does not serve to lower turnover rates or develop employees - their primary outcome should be helping the company to achieve its strategic objectives, and for that, it is essential to understand the benefits of each initiative and assess the risks associated, a point vital due to a change of culture - if changing jobs were seen as a sign of failure in the past and more people looking into having a "career for life," signs of constant job-hopping were in the growth by this time (Cappelli 2008).

The author then uses a novel supply chain perspective on talent management to set principles to address the new risks and uncertainty of talent supply; they are:

- Make and buy to manage risk: companies should lose estimates of resources needed to hire externally in case of shortfalls since some postings are more accessible to fill from the inside by development, as the author puts “talent management is an investment, not an entitlement”;
- Adapt to the uncertainty in talent demand: since uncertainty is assured, break up development plans into shorter periods and create a generalist talent pool that can be allocated among business units if needed;
- Improve the return on investment in developing employees: share the costs of development with employees by asking them to take on assignments voluntarily or keeping in touch with former employees that can be rehired in the future;
- Preserve the investment by balancing employee-employer interests: make employees share in advancement decisions to avoid them leaving for better opportunities.

Although the article presents some parts too heavily sided with business instead of employees at times and makes some loose predictions on the future, it has a new view and solutions in a time where most firms were picking up old ones. Another exciting piece of work comes from Vaiman, Scullion, and Collings (2012) in their work on talent management decision-making.

Considering the field was finally becoming mature scientifically and the crisis of talent management happening, the researchers wanted to come up with topics on talent management that could help the practical decision-making by companies since its main objective should be to increase the organization's success. Firstly, the researchers enhance the need to use data to back up managerial talent resolutions to make more rational and qualitative decisions, here, the

authors anticipated a big trend in talent management in the years to come (Vaiman, Scullion, and Collings 2012).

The article also presents other significant trends to be considered: the intensification of recognizing critical roles, instead of people, to be future-proof against shortages, a change in competition between employers for talent from the country level to the regional and global levels (supported by the increasing mobility setting of the 2010s), a shift towards skills-related immigration systems, and the lack of leadership talent (Vaiman, Scullion, and Collings 2012).

The text also highlights the need to consider corporate social responsibility since research showed that it was a lever in talent management and retention and a positive point in companies' employee value proposition. Diversity was also appointed as an essential factor to be considered in talent management decision-making, by that time, global companies started to become heavily diverse (Vaiman, Scullion, and Collings 2012).

Other three significant trends identified were the permanent shift to a knowledge-based economy, since employers were concerned highly qualified workers were motivated, the trade from security to become less dependent on a single company due to the recession, and the growing importance of emerging markets (Vaiman, Scullion, and Collings 2012).

Notice that the academic literature shifted to a more objective approach as the authors tried to look into the future of work trends and set talent management guidelines for companies to follow in the coming years. Schiemann (2014) wrote an interesting article in this direction. The author defines talent management as "a unique function that integrates all of the activities and responsibilities associated with managing the talent lifecycle regardless of geography - from attracting and acquiring talent to developing and retaining it."

The author defines the talent lifecycle as: "The talent lifecycle encompasses all of the stages of interaction between an organization and its human capital. This ranges from building a talent brand that attracts the right talent to acquiring, onboarding, developing, managing,

retaining, and recovering talent." It consists of, in sequential order from the talent pool: attracting, acquiring, onboarding, training, maximizing performance, developing and succession, retaining and recovering (Schiemann 2014).

In Schiemann's eyes, every organization's aim should be talent optimization, which is the balance of acquisition, development, performance, and retention strategies - the optimization would provide higher productivity, quality, retention, regulatory and environmental risks, and strong operational and financial performance (Schiemann 2014). Due to his research with over 2000 companies, Schiemann believes optimization can be reached through the people equity framework. The framework is divided into three categories: alignment, capabilities, and engagement - by aiming to increase those dimensions, companies would achieve better results when managing the talent lifecycle (Schiemann 2014).

Lastly, an important article was published in 2020 by highly recognized authors in the field: Gallardo-Gallardo, Thunissen, and Scullion (2020). Adding on world trends such as globalization and technology, competition is fiercer than ever, talent is seen more than ever as an essential competitive advantage, and companies are rushing to leverage and protect their human capital. The authors conclude that talent management is very context-dependent, and each company's individual and surrounding environment dynamics must be comprehended before making decisions. A focus on micro decisions and employees' reactions to talent management at an individual level is also identified as a trend, understanding that companies may adapt the employee value-proposition to suit personal needs in the future (Gallardo-Gallardo, Thunissen, and Scullion 2020).

4.4. Covid and Post-Covid

Like a few others before, one recent event that impacted how and where work happens is the Covid-19 pandemic. It forced all companies to re-evaluate how collaboration can work in a remote setting and allowed many employees to experience working from home for the first

time. Office workers, in particular, have concluded that they are at least as productive - if not more effective - working from home (Birkinshaw, Cohen, and Stach 2020) and have started to prefer working from home or other remote locations. This significantly impacted the recommendations expressed by the scientific community regarding talent management during the period in which most office workers were working from their homes:

Caligiuri et al. (2020) focus their research and recommendations on the stress the pandemic caused in many individuals and suggest measures such as hiring people who are naturally more comfortable in uncertain situations [..]. Nevertheless, also state that the employees - now more aware than ever that their jobs are ephemeral - should be more willing to receive training to sharpen their skills and increase their chances in the job market.

Building on top of the literature that focused on the time at which the pandemic's impact on everyday life was at its peak, post-covid literature considers talent management as a more critical determinant of organizational performance than previously (Hongal and Kinange 2020).

Talent and skills mismatch has become a relevant issue since remote work rapidly increased the talent pool available to companies. However, it is still difficult for companies to find suitable employees for the job requirements that have altered due to the changes caused by the Covid-19 pandemic (Hongal and Kinange 2020).

Automation is also a source of change for the future of work. Although many stakeholders consider automation a disruptive force that may end jobs, in practice, it has been shown that automation does not only create or destroy jobs - but rather transform them: “the World Economic Forum estimates that by 2025, technology will create at least 12 million more jobs than it destroys, a sign that in the long run, automation will be a net positive for society” (Nunes 2021) - this data also shows how important reskilling will be in the following years to assure a workforce that is ready for the challenges of the future.

Regardless of how the consequences of the pandemic are interpreted, the data collected before, during, and after the pandemic clearly shows that expectations towards work have changed in many ways. According to Gartner, the share of remote workers has increased from less than a third to almost half (Mohamed 2020), and over 80% of leaders want to let their employees work from home for at least some of their working hours (Arlington 2020).

Another critical trend comes from the need to have a strong employee value proposition (EVP), especially when it comes to diversity and social responsibility, confirming a trend of recent years: “inclusivity; corporate responsibility; and equity and equal employment opportunity are the key underlying principles of a responsible talent management system” (Anlesinya and Amponsah-Tawiah 2020). The primary outcome from this topic is that diversity and inclusion are needed not only in the firm as a whole but also in talent management.

Finally, since solid talent management is essential to master unforeseen situations that will arise in the future, it is also clear that using data is a crucial component of proper human capital management. The use of data facilitates all talent lifecycle touchpoints, enabling conscious decision-making for areas like recruitment and training and also helping to explore talent-related issues such as performance, employee absenteeism, and more. The benefits can go from minimizing the cost of bad hires to predicting employees’ performance: the opportunities offered by big data are endless (Jackson 2021).

5. Future of Work Trends and Insights

This section summarizes our findings from the most current and essential literature regarding talent management practices. It serves as an important step in bridging the gap between general knowledge and practical knowledge needed for this research and to assess and improve the future of work readiness of the company this project was completed. The topics

chosen here to form a collection of subjects and data selected by the writers to narrow the broader future of the work topic down to make it usable for a specific purpose and company.

5.1. Learning

A consensus within recent literature on the topic emphasizes learning and training needs within companies. Key findings from reports indicate the still existing and growing skill gaps until 2025, which include critical thinking, self-management, and problem-solving (World Economic Forum 2020). On the other hand, emerging skill gaps also continue within technical skills, such as specialists in software development, the internet of things, and machine learning. Furthermore, a trend among companies and scholars agree that organizations need to reskill or upskill their employees through extensive programs lasting six months or more to meet future requirements (World Economic Forum 2020).

At the same time, a meaningful discussion is being held about why organizations still need to foster these skills and how one can facilitate such learning. Hence, experts on the topic have increased their interest in understanding how to build a culture of learning within organizations and if it is possible to teach individuals to become self-learners (Schwarz 2021). It is through self-learning that the employees, who make the economic gains for the organization, can best find themselves navigating the ambiguous nature of the future of work.

5.2. Automation and Reskilling

In the long run, automation will be responsible for transforming and creating new jobs - instead of destroying them, as some researchers feared for years. This trend goes hand in hand with another one: reskilling. The companies will need to reskill their contributors to keep an updated workforce and talent pipeline. In line with developing a learning culture, a company should create a synergy that makes workers look to learn more skills independent of someone demanding them to.

5.3. The Talent Bottleneck

Finding talent, at the right time, with the right soft and hard skills will continue to be difficult for Human Resource departments (Harvard Business Review 2021). In addition to being an obstacle from a recruitment and possible talent pool perspective, it has the extra layer of selecting the right people based on soft skills, culture, values, and the company's EVP - the rise of learning and reskilling due to the quick changes in work environments will make each person's characteristics as the most crucial determinant factor when picking employees, especially to assure they choose to stay in the company for a long time (PwC 2021).

5.4. Diversity and Inclusion

As put by Anlesinya and Amponsah-Tawiah (2020, 281), “Diversity and Inclusion (D&I) are determinant factors for the future of work. More than having them as components of a company is required, though - the future of the work shows that D&I should be a part of the talent management policies and decisions”. Some solutions are way more accessible than it looks: re-evaluating job requirements, analyzing the diversity of the company's talent pipeline from a succession perspective to ensure different people can reach C-level, track career movements segmented by people (Sigelman and Taylor 2021).

5.5. Hybrid and Remote Work Modes

The pandemic created a unique time for many workers to work at home for a long time. This opportunity made many appreciate the ability to prioritize other life aspects by staying

more at home. The new reality pushed secondary trends such as the great resignation¹, quiet quitting,² and the four working days per week, and although it is still too early to know if those will have a long-lasting impact, what we can already know for sure is that the new work modes are bid to become the rule and not the exception, research shows that less than 10% of workers prefer a full-time presential job (Wigert 2022). McKinsey research suggests that the new work modes trend will stay after Covid-19. However, besides that, there is a disconnection between different segments inside the company - while core employees generally want to be in the office three or more times a week, almost half of the employees prefer to be a home for at least three days. Complete alignment and unanimity on a preferred work mode are unlikely, and companies must find the best way to accommodate different preferences (Smet et al 2022).

5.6. Data

New big data solutions are coming up every day. The new analytics possibilities are essential to take talent management to the next level by helping Human Resources to make better decisions throughout the talent lifecycle instead of just picking things out of thin air. Another advantage is a better understanding contributors' related phenomena such as absenteeism, retention, and performance. Research shows that 82% of companies plan to begin or increase the use of big data post-covid (Jackson 2021).

Big data and Artificial Intelligence (AI) are powering up several HR policies and processes, including talent management. Available AI platforms are already in use in the

¹.

Psychologist Anthony Klotz crafted the term. It describes the labor shortage records during the pandemic (Gloudemans 2022).

² Quiet quitting means remaining in one's workplace while not actively going above and beyond (Pearce 2022).

market. A benchmark is Fusemachines, which helps the HR team predict talent needs the company will have based on past data on vacancies across departments. Another example is AI auto-generated advertisement also assists the firm in creating personalized ads targeted at the candidate.

6. Case Study Company Interviews

The starting point of this research was to investigate if the talent management practices of the company XYZ were ready for the future of work. We compared the collected benchmark with the case study to apply the gathered knowledge from the literature review successfully. The first step was to hear employees from different backgrounds, seniority, gender, roles, and others through interviews. The questions aimed to grasp the worker's perception of the company's talent management, human resources practices, a vision of the future of work, and internal readiness for it.

6.1. Interview 1

A first interviewee is a man who has held his position in the company for eight months.

In his perception, the future of work has to be linked to career development and agility in organizations. Training is a crucial point, however, it is not just about this and training people to become digital, but installing a learning culture, where people have to understand that they have to be learners, and this he thinks they still do not have. Although much good work is done, it is not Human Resources or the managers who should say that now the employees should do specific training. However, they should have this initiative in constant personal and professional development. Another critical point is mobility, where they have to learn to have processes, succession plans, and knowledge management so that people can move. Moreover, for this, they need to have the ability to be adaptable so that they can also retain people for the company's growth and efficiency.

"The recipes that gave us success today will probably not be the recipes that will give us success in the future because the world is changing very fast." (Interviewee 1)

Regarding onboarding, the interviewee clarified that this process should be more normalized within each department. This is because, in general terms of the company, the onboarding is very centralized and works well. However, each department works very differently, and this consistency needs to be improved.

However, an exciting point he also mentioned was the importance of off-boarding. In case an employee receives a better job offer or opportunity and wants to leave, it is essential to maintain a good relationship, not only for referring and saying good things about the company where they have been but also to ensure that if one day the person wants to return, the company will remain open to receive them.

When asked what aspects of the workplace and processes he thinks are essential for employees now and in the future, he replied that he does not consider money to be the most important thing but that pay compensations and fair benefits are a crucial angle. On the other hand, with the change we have seen over the years, now more than ever, employees are looking for more flexibility.

"The world changed massively, in two years, probably the biggest social experiment in the last centuries, and people want different things, I think it is probably a lot healthier." (Interviewee 1)

6.2. Interview 2

The second interviewee is a woman who joined the company almost four years ago, in February 2019.

Her team is composed of two people, however, they intend to increase the workforce, mainly due to the increase of processes that they have in progress. The recruitment process starts with a manager identifying a need for a specific area, either because it is a new function

or a replacement for someone leaving and introducing it on the *Cornerstone* platform. After that, the recruitment team has to verify with the Reporting and Analytics area to check whether the company has a headcount to recruit. Only after that can the process get going. Having that in mind, recruitment can be done internally or externally. Within external recruitment, there are several types of processes, namely: recruitment of full-time employees (FTEs), who possess much experience with a certain level of expertise for long-term and continuity functions in the company; recruitment of trainees, to do professional internships of twelve months or curricular internships (less than twelve months); and, recruitment for temporary work, to bridge a specific need that may exist due to the quick replacement of someone who is in maternity/paternity leave or absent from a prolonged illness. Focusing on FTEs, the vacancy will always be first published internally for ten days. After this period, if there are no positive results, the vacancy gets published externally on different media platforms (company's website, *LinkedIn*, recruitment partner) depending on the profile being searched.

Regarding trainees, the company advertises in similar media platforms and universities, where they already have vital contacts for sharing profiles and for internal dissemination of the opportunities in their networks of students and alumni. Adding to that, and for these two types of recruitment, the company publishes the job on the *Get Talent* platform, a pilot program registering positive outcomes. Lastly, the application is channeled directly to the company's recruitment partners for temporary work. On outsourcing recruitment, the interviewee stated that the process had not gone directly through them, although it is something that they would want to take over for better control. Once the applications for the different types of jobs start to come in, the interview part of the process commences unfolding. A telephone interview takes place in the first phase, screening the applicants. Then, they move on to a face-to-face interview via *Teams*, and in the last phase, they try, as much as possible, to bring people to their facilities.

When asked about how they evaluate the success of the processes, the interviewee pointed to a need for more analysis on turnover. However, although in the last two years, the number of people leaving the company has been increasing due to a more heated market, people usually do not leave in their first year at the company. The employee feedback on the process is positive, with 94% of the admitted employees classifying it as good or very good on an internal survey. However, there is always room for improvement in different parts of the process. Therefore, considering the future challenges that may arise, the interviewee suggested the importance of having critical partnerships with institutions crucial in attracting talent, such as universities from different areas.

The future of recruitment is still being determined, nonetheless, the interviewee came up with some reasons that make her believe it will be harder to find and attract talent. While pointing to the fact that candidates now have different needs than before, she added that the concept of a job for life is a thing of the past, and flexibility is vital.

"They are going to want to have more flexibility, they are going to want to work anywhere in the world, they do not want to be obliging to come to the office once or twice a week, by the way, three do not even think about it, so sometimes one is too much, they want to have the freedom to be the perfect digital nomad, don't they?" (Interviewee 2)

To face these changes, the interviewee stated that the way to go is to act accordingly and give the candidates what they are looking for: a flexible program of benefits that lets the applicant have the right work-life balance.

"It is not just a question of salary anymore, and it is a question of flexibility, which is something that is a paradigm different from what it was two or three years ago." (Interviewee 2)

"Life insurance, pension plans, these are things that younger people do not value at all, they often do not even know how it works, and so they want things right away." (Interviewee 2)

6.3. Interview 3

The third interviewees are two women, one of them having been in the company since September 2022.

When talking about the onboarding process, which both considered well-structured and works well, they were asked what they could improve. The answer was that they would love to implement an event that brings together all the people that integrate the company and the newcomers, where activities are carried out that allow them to get to know each other better and, consecutively, the company's values.

Looking into the future of work, they think that the onboarding process might be very different in ten years, considering the employees' needs and the evolution of technology. Combining these two factors, one possibility will be the onboarding done from home, using technologies such as Virtual Reality (VR).

“Possibly we can be in our house and use VR to meet and learn with other people.”

(Interviewees 3)

When asked if the social part and going to the office would still be necessary in case they work only from home, they answered yes because they like to be with others face to face. They also need to gain experience in what it is like to work with people only in the office or online.

However, they concluded that this factor will always depend a lot on each person's background in work experience and what they are or are not used to, but the ideal would be hybrid.

“But the favorite was hybrid because you could get like the best of both worlds.”

(Interviewees 3)

6.4. Interview 4

The fourth interviewee is a woman who has been in the company for about a year.

As the company's industry is a highly regulated sector, and as stated in the interview, the company has fourteen contents of mandatory training plus, for everyone who has contact directly or indirectly with a client, an initial training of 80 hours and an annual training of 15 hours. Apart from this, the company ensures that everyone has their development plan on the basis that it is to the needs of the area managers and can be adjusted to the current demand. The other and last way the company offers training to their employees is by offering to pay the tuition (or half of it) for higher education programs in Portugal or abroad. The current training portfolio has about one thousand pieces of content, which external partners typically provide.

Asked about how the company assesses the success of the training contents, the interviewee diagnosed a need for methods to do it, stating that, currently, it is all about direct feedback from a superior.

“(...) direct feedback from the manager who comes to the Academy and says: Well [interviewee 4] that worked for that person, it went fine, I can see some improvements in his or her role.” (Interviewee 4)

The interviewee suggested checking how the employee accomplished their goals on a specific topic three months after the training. However, she pointed out that some skills might be harder to evaluate than others.

“But some others, and of course much more in soft skills, are harder to identify, harder to measure that difference between what was my initial point of starting and now what have I improved.” (Interviewee 4)

To understand better whether the employees are satisfied and if their needs are being fulfilled, the company asks them to give their level of satisfaction for each content. According

to the interviewee, the employees appreciate and recognize the investments made by the company.

"Of course, the Youngers will say, I am fed up with mandatory training because they did not reach the time to get to the sexier «contents.» But overall, the people who are more than two or three years in the company recognize that there is much content to use and many opportunities to do." (Interviewee 4)

On the challenges that might arise in the future, the interviewee explained that people got fed up with online content during the pandemic and were urged to be in a room together. The issue comes when the training providers ask for higher prices for in-person activities making the company adjust its budget. Moreover, people more and more need more time to learn and, therefore, want to learn fast with only the relevant message.

"[They do not want to be there] for 4 hours explaining how we got here and why we will get somewhere. [They] want to know how to get there, and I think that will be a huge challenge for us once more." (Interviewee 4)

Adding to that, and considering the company's sector, where there are different age groups among the employees, it leads to the need to adjust to different levels of ambition for their careers and different learning paces. This must be centered on more interesting, disruptive, and relevant ways of transmitting the same content.

Among these ways, the new possibilities that *Artificial Intelligence* brings to the table might make the entire training process easier with even better results. However, according to the interviewee, deep down, it will always depend on how curious the person is.

"But in the end, we are always talking about individuals, willing to grow or not, maybe just simply being in their comfort zone without stepping up and being curious. Moreover, there is something that I cannot change in that human [nature]." (Interviewee 4)

6.5. Interview 5

The fifth interviewee is a man who joined the company about two months ago.

When asked about his recruitment process, the interviewee stated that it was a complete and thorough process where all the steps made perfect sense. It consisted of four moments, three of them being interviews and a final and fourth one, a psycho-technical test.

Regarding his onboarding experience, the feedback was similar.

“ I was very well received, I liked very much the way I was welcomed, and then the process of onboarding was phased, i.e., I had an initial phase here, with the human resources, and then we had, the P&O organized here four days, four sessions, with new colleagues, so I and more colleagues who entered more or less in my time, in the last months, (...) we had the opportunity to meet the leaders of all the teams, of all areas, realize how the company is organized, what each one does in general, how the teams connect, so I think the process of the whole [onboarding] was excellent, I feel very well integrated (...).” (Interviewee 5)

The interviewee stated that he already had several pieces of training, including the company's mandatory training. Although not applicable to his case, he mentioned that in specific functions, when people arrive, they have someone who helps them understand precisely how they should proceed in their role.

Regarding his experience in both processes and how they influenced his view of the company, the interviewee pointed out that it helped him, without any doubt, to have a more accurate and precise perception of the company and that by understanding exactly what the other areas do and how everything connects, it helped him see the bigger picture and understand the purpose of his job. Lastly, it gave him a more detailed view of the company's culture and values, which he always looks for in a company to check if it is by his values.

On improvements in any of the processes, the interviewee suggested that the recruitment process could have been faster. However, he stated that it was just standard since there were holidays and some negotiations on his side on the offer along the way.

"(...) comparatively, other processes I have had recruiting to other companies here made more sense the way things are." (Interviewee 5)

6.6. Interview 6

The sixth interviewee was a man who joined the company less than two years ago.

When asked about his recruitment process, the interviewee mentioned it was a fast, transparent process where his expectations were met at every step.

Looking over his onboarding process, the interviewee explained that he joined the company during the pandemic when no one was in the office. Nevertheless, his feedback was positive too. It allowed him to have a closer look at how the company is organized and even to get some company's industry-related notions, something that was entirely new to him at that time.

"(...) even though it was remotely, I think everything went quite well. There was much openness in transmitting knowledge to me and making me comfortable with the dynamics of the team and the company." (Interviewee 6)

On the training topic, the interviewee mentioned that he still needs to do the mandatory training and that his boss is aware of that situation.

His experiences in both processes contributed significantly to his optimistic take on the company since he felt that his well-being was an important topic for the intervenients in both processes.

"(...) I think this motivated me a lot because I felt that there was a concern to know how I was doing, if I was learning, if I was enjoying it if I was integrated, and this, by the way, was one of the things that impressed me most in the process, this concern." (Interviewee 6)

When asked about possible improvements in the two processes, the interviewee needed to add more information. However, he would like to see the same care he felt during both processes being extended throughout the time, especially in middle management.

6.7. Interview 7

Our seventh interviewee is a woman who joined the organization in June 2016, which makes a total of six years with the company.

Regarding the training offered by the company, she mentioned that there is a Business Academy that contains contents of the most diverse topics and that is available to any employee. If there is no topic of interest on this platform, the employees may always request that a training course be available. However, it is always subject to analysis and acceptance by the company.

"All of this has to be approved as is natural, but yes, I have already made this proposal twice, and it was accepted, so yes." (Interviewee 7)

When asked what her best training experience at the company was, she replied that it was a certification she had requested in Emotional Intelligence, which she took through an external entity for five days.

"The one I liked the most was the last one I requested, which was a certification in Emotional Intelligence by an external entity. It was a 5-day intensive training outside the company, and as it was a certification, also hence the need to be more intense, let us say." (Interviewee 7)

Concerning the methods for learning a new competence, she reveals that she prefers presential training because it is more dynamic and productive and allows deeper sharing among colleagues. Practical cases are proposed which require more interaction among the participants.

"When there is a practical part to the training, getting people to work in groups or some kind of dynamic during the training, I think this type of incentive is always good because it makes it more dynamic and stimulates a little bit of sharing between people." (Interviewee 7)

6.8. Interview 8

The eighth interviewee is a woman who joined the organization five years ago.

Throughout her time in the company, the interviewee has done several pieces of training without any of them taking the place of the best or worst training she had. However, she stated that the more practical the training, the better, and online training also tends to get boring faster. The interviewee felt that only some relevant competencies or topics were included in all this training. However, they mentioned that the content usually focuses on the work each person needs to do and the projects people have or want to have.

The interviewee had an extreme preference regarding types of learning. She pointed out that practical and face-to-face are undoubtedly the best ways to avoid losing focus due to external interruptions.

"Practical and face-to-face are the best for the current context because one is underwater and one has to concentrate, and one has to focus and to help us focus, practical, and face-to-face is best, because otherwise there [are] emails [coming] in, phones ringing, people interrupting, so it is [harder] to be focused." (Interviewee 8)

Concerning the possible improvements in the training methods and contents, the interviewee separated it into two groups. On the one hand, content-wise, she would like to see training more focused on the future, letting the employees stay updated on new technologies and ways to do the same thing.

"I think that in terms of contents (...) something that would reassure us in the sense of I am going to give this training in a way that you can keep up with the future that is more technological, that is more digital, that will eventually be, I do not know, more dynamic, that can spread us the technical knowledge, that can keep us up to date (...)." (Interviewee 8)

On the other hand, format-wise, the interviewee feels that people are tired of always seeing the same layout and that anything that builds on that and comes in a different format can only have positive impacts.

"A more classic training does not get our curiosity and attention as much. So, anything that is a little bit off the beaten track, I think, will be a greater value for us to be awake and attentive, and for it to be a training that impacts us and for us to be an ambassador for that training itself." (Interviewee 8)

"Look, some avatars, nice things. The person goes like that for an immersive reality. Something like that, which is much more fun." (Interviewee 8)

Still, on suggestions of improvements for the training, the interviewee added that it is essential to pay attention to the quantity and not overload the employees with content that, in the end, it could be more beneficial for the person. She also stated clearly that it is important to remind employees that it cannot be the company's sole responsibility (and vice-versa) to make this process successful. It is crucial to find a balance between the effort each part puts on the table. Lastly, she suggested having a company manager help the employee find and inform them about some topics that might be useful in their area in the future and the corresponding training on those topics.

6.9. Interview 9

The ninth interviewee is a woman who has been in the company for six years.

When we asked her to explain the recruitment process, she told us that the applications are submitted via the website, LinkedIn, and contacts, and then the Human Resources team evaluates and filters them. Then they send the results of this approach to the manager and the recruiting area so they can also analyze and conduct the interviews.

As points to be improved in the recruitment process, she identified the recommendations, which she considers to be sometimes difficult to distinguish if what they

receive corresponds to reality or if it is adulterated information, which can consecutively lead to a casting error.

"Sometimes, and unfortunately not always, what we receive is true, and therefore there is always some difficulty in the interviews for us to understand what we are receiving, whether it corresponds to reality or not." (Interviewee 9)

Regarding onboarding, this was mentioned by the interviewee in a very positive way, consisting of several moments at a particular moment of the year when newcomers are brought together and introduced and presented with the activities and responsibilities of each area of the company.

However, among all the very positive aspects, she considers that there is still room for improvement, which is to offer new employees the opportunity to have a trainee program, where they can work for some time in different areas so that they can have a live experience and understand in which areas they see themselves effectively performing in the company.

"It may be interesting to be identified as a pool of recently arrived employees, especially in a trainee process, in which they are, in fact, a little lost, they do not know whether what they like is area x or area y, especially in a large company like ours that has different areas, and eventually they will be allowed to have a trainee program." (Interviewee 9)

Asking about the main challenges and future opportunities of recruitment, she argued that the next step would be to look more at what are the soft skills of people and not so much at the hard skills because this process is still very focused on what is the experience and academic background of the employees.

"Our company does not do any kind of tests, that is, people are recruited, but there are no tests, namely at the soft skills level, that can assess this type of information so that the manager can evaluate if this is the right person or not to work within the team." (Interviewee 9)

6.10. Interview 10

The tenth interviewee is a man who joined the company in 1992, so thirty years ago, and is almost considered a founder member.

Regarding the recruitment process, the interviewee mentioned that this selection has as a first objective to privilege people in the house, therefore giving priority to internal recruitment and only then to an external one.

"Even for the sake of talent, rotation, and all those qualities that are very important in people, we always try to have a selection process that I would say favors people who are in-house because they already have a certain culture, and they can also grow and develop themselves, so I would say that this is the main pillar." (Interviewee 10)

When asked about the improvement points in this process, he replied that it is possible to change how the interview is conducted in the case of external recruitment. Instead of being something more formal, where curriculums are analyzed, people try to get to know the person and ask questions. It should be more interactive, where the candidates can make a specific presentation or expose a free theme.

"In the sense that people would show themselves, and we would not be so much trying to fit certain things that sometimes require a half-hour conversation on a certain profile that may not be adjusted." (Interviewee 10)

As far as the onboarding process is concerned, although he thinks that it is very robust and well structured, he believes that there is room for improvement in the planning; that is, regardless of the time needed to dedicate to the respective functions, it must also be balanced with the time to be with the person and listen to their difficulties.

"We have to strike a balance between the time we have available to receive these people well, knowing that by giving them this time we have less time for our routines, but being sure

that shortly this time we spend with people will be repaid with the individual and collective well-being of the team." (Interviewee 10)

Considering teams, a crucial aspect, he was asked about which competencies he thinks to need to be offered for their development. He answered that people should be even more proactive in challenging themselves and the organization and be recognized and valued for their originality and contribution.

"People being even more proactive, so creating the conditions so that the company, regardless of the possibility of people being genuine and expressing their opinions, be recognized and valued for the spontaneity of the contribution they can make." (Interviewee 10)

7. Interviews Main Points

7.1. The Company Lacks a Shared Vision for the Future of Work

A crucial step for any company to become ready for the future of work is a solid understanding of what to expect from that future. Without shared expectations that everybody understands, it becomes difficult for the company to act consistently when challenges and changes eventually arise. If departments respond to challenges differently and in an unaligned way, some form of chaos is likely to follow.

However, the expectations towards the future of work observed during our interviews were defined mainly by ambiguity. The responses by those responsible for the essential talent management processes to our questions regarding opportunities and challenges of the future were diverse and only overlapped in one area: all respondents brought up remote work in one way or another and expressed that they perceive virtual work and content consumption as a challenge. None of the interviewees seemed to have a plan on how to leverage the trends of the future of work to their company's advantage. This lack of alignment and perspective was

emphasized when the interviewees were about assessing their success and responded that the company could do a better job at evaluating performance.

7.2. Learning and Development Frameworks Are Not Ready for Upcoming Changes

As mentioned before, automation will substantially transform company employees' jobs today. According to McKinsey & Co., about 50% of today's work activities are automatable (Manyika et al. 2017). This would mean that, on the one hand, half of the company's workforce would suddenly be out of work, while at the same time, there is a massive demand for people who can set up, maintain, and use automated systems to perform these same tasks in the employee's place.

The observed company's learning and development strategy, however, is mainly focused on building and improving workers' skills for today's jobs instead of preparing them for new tasks and responsibilities. During the interviews, company employees especially mentioned mandatory training in the insurance industry, language classes, software training, and certifications that improve general business skills, such as a workshop for emotional intelligence. None of them mentioned programs that would prepare them to change their career paths or roles within the company should there be a need to do so, as it could, for example, be triggered by automation.

Our assumption that the learning framework at the observed company is not ready for significant future changes is additionally grounded in the fact that all training seems to be structured around a "development plan" that is seemingly developed individually for each employee when they enter the company. Such plans will most likely be tailored to the role the employee has when joining the firm and does not facilitate agility of the workforce as we suggest is needed to master the changes in how work is done.

8. Interview Analysis vs. Internal Documents – Case Study Company Outlook

In this section, we combined an analysis of the main topics pointed out during the different interviews with an overlook of the main takeaways from the provided documents of the company XYZ. The main goal was to understand and have a clear view of topics that were common in the interviews and on the internal documents to come to settlements on three distinct topics:

- Areas where the company has been doing good work;
- Areas where the company still needs to endeavor to achieve better and more efficient outcomes;
- General trends or perspectives on how the future of work will develop.

Considering this, several general topics burst from the interviews and the documents. To start with, there was this constant idea that, nowadays already, people expect more from their jobs. The three main forces at work are technology, social change (flexibility, and control across the life course, values, expectations, and career choices), and demographic change (aging, non-retirement, longer life expectancy, growth of younger and older populations, and greater workforce diversity).

On the Technology side, it was stated that automation, Artificial Intelligence, machine learning, and advanced analytics (technological and digital tools that are developing) are expected to notice an improvement in productivity and better access to information and ideas, which promotes work and job change. They can and should be applied in the organization's recruitment, onboarding, and training processes. It is essential not to forget that, with the development of these technologies, the sense of insecurity and fear of job loss, remote working, less office space, and demand for social interaction also rises with this growing automation of work and new leadership and management techniques are needed.

Regarding Social Changes, there is a high demand for flexible work options, which has resulted in a decline in employment contracts, an increase in self-employment and multiple contracts for employees (working simultaneously for various organizations), a greater variety of contracts regarding working conditions and locations, shorter tenures for managers and employees, remote working, a decrease in office space. In one sentence, people will want to come closer to the *digital nomad* stereotype, people who live in a nomadic way while working remotely using technology and the internet.

Lastly, when it comes to Demographics, specific changes are expected to happen due to factors such as an increase in the average age of the workforce (age diversity), gender and cultural diversity (more and more women are entering and remaining in the workforce) and increasing cultural diversity due to increased migration in some geographies. It is also crucial to remember that in contrast to the past workforce, today's social capital is highly mobile (there is no such thing as a job for life), volatile, and motivated by a new set of psychological factors. These changes, and the lack of keeping up with them by the company, holds when looking at the company's *2021 Employee Climate and Engagement Survey*, where the lowest scores given by the employees were in the *Compensation & Recognition* and the *Retaining Talent People* criteria (46 and 31, respectively). The first item showed that only 23% of employees believe their pay is competitive compared to those holding comparable positions at other companies. Only 32% believe the firm needs to do a better job of aligning compensation with performance. Both metrics are down from last year's performance and under the industry average.

Regarding the second metric, only 31% of the organization's employees think it is doing an excellent job keeping its best personnel. This identical question scored 4 points less this year than last and continues to fall short of all external benchmark norms. Zooming in on some specific segments, such as employees between the ages of 31 and 40 and those with tenures between 6 and 10, makes this result even more important. Another critical change that started

to happen some years ago and will become more evident shortly is that people shifted from a *Ladder Career* – The person starts at the bottom of the ladder and works the way up till retirement. It follows the conventional concept with one career option, one kind of business, and one kind of job; to the *Mosaic model* – Transforms our professional lives from a narrowly defined path based on a core set of abilities to a composition of talents, creativity, skills, and values. Therefore, Company XYZ must plan and implement new procedures to consider all of these considerations.

9. Additional Observations

Before moving on to recommendations for the investigated company, we want to look at how our findings from the interviews relate to the output of our literature review so that a problem set can be defined and guide our recommendations.

9.1. Reskilling and the Skills Gap

Findings from the literature review indicated the need for better and more agile learning and development of employees (McKinsey 2021). Global recruitment figures show the most significant gap between skill demand and supply in 16 years, and the skills in need today are also becoming obsolete faster (World Economic Forum 2021). Therefore, it is evident that organizations will benefit from procuring or developing the human capital that possesses the needed skills internally. Similarly, in one of the interviews, it was explicitly expressed that learning and development, which includes reskilling or upskilling, can solve or mend the demand for talent with the needed hard and soft skills.

9.2. Learning Culture

The interviews also revealed that the company needs to work on its culture of learning, or even the lack of such a culture today. One of the interviewees in the company's people and organization department stated this. The reference to culture was understood as a direction of change toward building learning agility and self-learning motivation within employees through an organizational culture that promotes this mindset. Theories such as Mindset Theory, Growth Mindset Theory, and Learning Agility support the positive outcomes of collective motivation toward learning (Dweck 2015; Lombardo and Eischinger 2000). Furthermore, new generational attitudes towards work and events such as the Covid-19 pandemic have impacted how the role of work is perceived in our lives. The trend that shows the demand for work flexibility encapsulates not only remote work but also the demand for employers who can create a working environment that caters to current needs. A recent study showed that over 70% of Millennials and Generation Z (Gen Z) workers are willing to quit their job due to the lack of skill-building and mobility initiatives in their current organizations (Schwabel 2022). It builds on the understanding that there is a shift in how we view employment and jobs as not static but rather something temporary compared to previous times (Perna 2022). Learning and a collective mindset are a part of the company's future work problem set.

9.3. Internal Mobility

Moreover, the interviewees understood the trend toward internal job mobility and the need for internal mobility. Increased mobility and fluidity of an employee's career, either by upskilling or reskilling them into new roles or parts of the company, could partly solve the increasing turnover rates that the future of work literature claims to affect organizations around the world (World Economic Forum 2020). Therefore, the ability to reskill and retrain employees and improve internal mobility pay into one important overall dimension for the company:

Agility. To be ready or prepared for any future, a company must be willing to respond to change; It must be nimble enough to react fast and flexible enough to adapt to whatever unforeseen scenario it finds itself in. Since we cannot predict what the future is going to look like, a company needs to be able to adjust as new requirements become apparent. A flexible workforce is one of the company's most critical capabilities to achieve this flexibility. As specific teams, for example, departments and business processes become obsolete when the company's environment changes, new areas that need to be staffed emerge. If a company can use those employees who work in an outdated part of the company to form the workforce for new initiatives, it can react much faster and with less cost. It also means that it is less difficult to revert changes making it more feasible to experiment with new initiatives that allow the company to act proactively instead of just reacting to changes. Flexibility is also a matter of mindset; If employees expect, are used to, and embrace frequent change, it is reasonable to expect that they handle change much more gracefully than employees who are used to a more rigid environment. While the company already enables internal mobility, for example, by listing job ads internally before they are advertised externally, it seems like it does not encourage internal mobility in a way that would make changing roles a default rather than an exception and cause the cultural changes that we discussed before.

9.4. Artificial Intelligence

Artificial Intelligence is often regarded as the frontier of technology regarding the future of work. Its impact is often discussed whether its automation and self-learning capabilities may replace workers and their tasks at a rapid rate or if it can create new opportunities for organizations and their employees. Literature suggests that AI will make talent management processes such as upskilling, reskilling, and recruitment as effective and value-adding as possible (Schwab 2018). AI was mentioned during the interviews and proved at least a basic understanding of the technology's existence and possibility. However, the organization needs

more outstanding performance and artificial intelligence to compete in work talent management and acquisition. The use of AI within talent management is expected to grow by 56% in the next year (Venturebeat 2022). Some examples of using AI within organizations are platforms such as Claro, Eightfold, and Seekout, which have been shown to improve recruiting results, career planning and mobility, and identifying talent potential (Venturebeat 2022).

10. Recommendations

After discussing the current state of the literature on the future of work, how the interviews that build the foundation of this work were conducted, what results they yielded, and how the two connect, the next step is to derive some actionable recommendations. The recommendations that are expressed in the following section are tailored towards the interviewed company but are generic enough to apply to other organizations as well:

10.1. Cultivate a Learning Culture

Company XYZ should build a reliable infrastructure to foster a learning culture. As discussed, a learning culture and the change towards a collective growth mindset can promote agility and resilience towards future re- and up-skilling needs. It encourages learning output performance and motivation to engage in new challenges (Rives 2020; Marsik and Watkins 2003; Rock and Grant 2022). Therefore, it is proposed here that the organization applies a three-part analysis structure of learning culture, containing structural, individual, and environmental measures, as explained by Valamis (2021).

Firstly, structure regards the facilitation of learning and development within your organization. The dedication of funds and time to enable a learning culture is highly important. Furthermore, re-assess your organization's permissions to learn its formats and platforms. Open access to learning material, rather than restrictive, in combination with continuous assessment

of learning, has found support to foster an organizational learning culture (Gredmark and Larnhed 2021).

Secondly, from an individual perspective, a learning culture should aim to facilitate time to learn and motivation to learn. The biggest constraint is needing more time to learn (Valamis 2020). Therefore, I suggest that organizations align ample time and goals each month for employees to enrich themselves with new topics and skills aligned with their strategic goals. Moreover, the essential part of facilitating learning within an individual is motivational encouragement. The theory surrounding Growth Mindset's is written extensively about in the future of work studies. The definition is based on individual traits characterized by learning from failures and seeing one's skillset as malleable. Carol Dweck (2002) proposes frequent mindset interventions of employees from managers, which have been proven through large-scale studies to have a performance-enhancing effect on learning and motivation over time. Hence, Company XYZ should implement a growth mindset via added support by external consultancies that could help facilitate it within the organization.

Lastly, the organization should assess its internal environment after assessing and aligning its structural and individual factors. Even though it is a unique part of the overall model, it can be seen as the sum of the structural and individual parts. Essentially, it refers to the organization's overall work environment, culture, and mindset (Valamis 2022). Fostering an environment that celebrates creativity and embraces failure is critical while allowing for an open and non-punishable environment that shares knowledge and opinions without repercussions (Saputra et al. 2018).

In conclusion, building a learning culture is not a linear science but a collective input that can be fostered over time by including all actors within an organization. Hence, if the organization builds the appropriate structure and space for learning, individual facilitation

support and growth mindset, a learning culture is well on its way to increasing sustainability, agility and performance to meet future work needs within its talent management processes.

10.2. Build a Career Model that Allows Job Mobility

Many rising trends will need a response from companies as soon as possible since they are already emerging since the pandemic. One is the desire of new generations to have new job experiences. More and more new employees want to feel fulfilled and stimulated by their work, and spending years in similar positions may not be the best call for everyone.

Besides that, an emphasis is on creating a learning culture focused on the skills one may need to get the next promotion and on gathering more skills and knowledge for different job families and areas. The new reality will also proportionate an environment prosperous to reskilling; people can choose to get training on topics and skills related to another knowledge area, being prepared in a considerable short-term for a new position.

To capitalize on these trends, a key recommendation is to build a revamped career model ready for the future of work - simply having performance reviews yearly to fill up new promotions and roles horizontally in the organizational hierarchy is not enough anymore. For the years to come, companies need to have different criteria that allow horizontal, vertical, and even diagonal movements in the organizational charts, providing freedom to contributors to aim to go anywhere during their careers.

A career model for the 21st century must also be powered up by clear job descriptions available to the organization and diverse free training options that permit any employee to become ready by him or to fill a vacancy or new role in the organization. The employee should be supported by their direct manager or someone from the chosen target area; this way, a smoother preparation, and potential transition could be done.

Any horizontal or diagonal movement must be supported by set criteria just as a vertical one would. Continuous personal feedback potentiates contributors to know at which point they

are regarding their current job and future career possibilities. An excellent example of an initiative to support a new career model is McKinsey's development s-curve.

McKinsey authors state that an s-curve can exemplify every person's growth in a job: first, whenever someone starts and still needs to get acquainted with the tasks and activities, second the ascension phase, when the contributor gets comfortable and showcases a significant rise in a small amount of time, third the sweet spot whenever the person has complete control over the job and needs the company's support to go to the next and final phase: The start of a new s-curve triggered by a career movement, independently of being within the same job family or not (Brassey, Gene Kuo, and Van Dam 2019).

The inclusion of these initiatives not only responds to future work trends but also helps to prevent future perceived hazards such as job hopping since it powers up an internal learning culture, establishes a personal and consistent feedback loop, and finally provides contributors from the current generation with different and exciting opportunities inside their company.

10.3. Building a More Automated and Inclusive Company Through AI

The arrival of Artificial Intelligence has come to revolutionize and influence the way we live, especially when it comes to our workplace. Thus, companies and their future leaders must develop a working knowledge of implementing and functioning with AI in employment.

On the other hand, this implementation also allows work to be automated, enabling specific tasks to be carried out more quickly and efficiently than humans. The aim is not to completely replace humans but to create tools that help them and free up more time to perform other functions. Additionally, this technology contributes to a more diverse and inclusive environment, as it helps, for example, to understand the unconscious bias of humans during the hiring process.

Therefore, XYZ must implement a platform that uses AI to facilitate talent management initiatives and the recruitment process. One good example used in the industry is Eightfold's

Talent Intelligence Platform, which consists of a single artificial intelligence platform for all talent. The most significant global talent dataset powers this tool to unlock the workforce's full potential, including employees, candidates, contractors, and citizens. Regarding talent management, the platform offers the following functions:

- **Internal Mobility** - Here, a match is made of all current employees in the company, together with all the available positions where they have the potential to succeed. That said, employees can quickly identify, be notified of, apply to relevant opportunities, and then be recruited. This process has the significant advantages of reducing the attrition and expense of replacing employees, retaining the best talent before it is lost to the competition, and engaging the workforce based on individual potential.
- **Talent Upskilling** - A dashboard analysis is carried out, providing visibility of progress at the individual employee and organizational levels so that it can be planned, executed, and adjusted to its upskilling strategies.
- **Talent Marketplace** - Employees can browse a list of internal company projects to find out about programs matching their skills and preferences. This way, managers find employees who match the skills required for each project and invite them to join. This whole process is carried out without bias.
- **Career Planning** - This feature allows employees to understand their career path based on their current position, so they can see their career in the company and gain the confidence to stay in it.
- **Succession Planning** - This planning combines dynamic skill analysis, talent intelligence, and skill adjacencies to support leaders in critical role planning. In this way, an automatic recommendation is made of current employees who can take on a particular role in case a succession event occurs.

- Talent Redeployment - A rapid workforce transition is made to open positions where the skills required to contribute are immediately achieved, reducing redundancy costs and negative impacts on the employer brand dramatically.
- Talent Mentoring - This feature allows one to find a willing expert to advise the employee based on what the worker wants to learn and encourages them to stay in the company and grow in their career.

This platform increases internal mobility by 25%, cuts talent acquisition time by 90%, and reduces hiring costs by 60% (Eightfold n.d.).

Another feature of this platform that gives high relevance to candidates is an experience that instantly shows each candidate the right jobs for them and explains why their skills and background are suitable. So they receive more appropriate feedback, do not feel unwanted and undervalued, and allow for a reduction in the number of clicks to make applying faster, easier, and more encouraging. In this way, company XYZ also creates an excellent first impression. Even if candidates are not accepted, they are given a good experience within the short time they have had contact with the organization.

In conclusion, implementing this platform will not only meet the needs that employees are looking for but will also keep pace with new trends in the market and the future of work.

10.4. Diversify and Refine a Work Flexibility Program

To raise employee happiness and lower staff turnover, there is a need for freedom and flexibility. People nowadays want employment opportunities that are advantageous not just in terms of compensation but also in terms of cutting-edge perks, technical assistance, and flexibility to enable them to operate at their best.

According to statistics by *Workest*, 78% of employees believe that flexible work schedules boost their productivity, and 77% of those who apply for and evaluate employment

possibilities think that flexible work arrangements are vital. It is also important to remember that employers and employees benefit from a flexible work environment. It not only aids in raising productivity inside the company but also generates numerous other benefits through employee happiness.

The current work flexibility program in the firm is a type of work arrangement that allows company employees to fulfill their job duties more conveniently and outside their regular place of employment. The work flexibility program encourages a work-life balance by creating a more positive and livelier workplace. Although well-structured and implemented, some aspects can still be refined and reinforced. The following consist of some possible examples that could be put into practice and combined depending on the availability of resources and needs:

- Flexible timetables: Employees may choose to have a compacted workweek or choose their hours, shifts, and break periods (i.e., working full-time in four days instead of five);
- Flexible location: The choice of working from home, the office, or another place is up to the employee. Although the *work flexibility program* already allows a maximum of two days a week, "if there is an agreement between the Employee and the direct Manager," it should be considered, at least for some positions, the extension to more days per week;
- Unlimited or flexible personal time off: Employees are allowed to take time off as needed without worrying about going over their allotted amount of vacation time;
- Versatile positions (job sharing): A single position is shared by two or more people, allowing them to work part-time while the role is filled full-time;
- Cross-department secondments: Employees can temporarily immerse themselves in another department's operations through cross-departmental secondments, keeping their "day job" intact.

When applying these measures, tracking how they are doing in terms of helping the company's results is crucial. It can be done by simply examining the company's financial performance. Customers and clients will also be dissatisfied if staff are, and the firm will suffer. Beyond that, there should be a regular checks with the employees to ensure their flexible work environment fulfills their requirements. It is possible to do it through surveys or one-on-one catchups.

10.5. Create Company-Wide Initiatives to Create a Shared Vision

As we discussed earlier, the interviews performed during this project showed that the case study company needs a shared understanding and vision of how it will tackle the future of work. Most of the previous recommendations are rather holistic and require that everybody in the company works together and that the issues are approached at different levels of the company. To ensure this happens, the company should identify and communicate the issues it deems most important (the authors of this report believe them to be the issues discussed in the four previous recommendations). After that, the company should identify measurable indicators to track progress toward these goals. For learning culture, this could be achieved by surveying employees on whether they feel they have improved their skills recently; For a more mobile career model, the company could track how many of its employees changed their roles within the company and how their performance as evaluated by their supervisors has improved by the change; The successful use of AI to remove biases from HR processes could be represented by changes in diversity at different hierarchy levels and also by questioning employees about their perceptions. Lastly, to confirm that increased work flexibility is helping the company, it could be measured how specific individuals' productivity and satisfaction increase. As overarching measures, turnover, the time employees spend at the company, and more standard HR-Key Performance Indicators (KPIs) should be tracked, but we can assume this is already happening. Having done this, the company should ask its policy owners and managers to propose initiatives

they could perform and justify how these initiatives pay into the goals, and KPIs defined earlier. This would mean that: firstly, everybody understands the company's goals, and secondly, all initiatives are aligned. The initiatives could also be small-scale or encapsulated to specific departments or teams, allowing the comparison with other departments similar to A/B tests in marketing. This way, the company can identify the most promising initiatives to scale them up across the organization.

When asked, all managers and policy owners can clearly state how the company and their team, in particular, are approaching the future of work.

11. Limitations

During the project and our research, some limitations emerged, so the following should be considered:

- **Sample Size** - The number of employees we could interview was minimal and may have needed to be representative of the entire company.
- **Perspective** - The subjects addressed in the interviews were not chosen randomly, which may have compromised the responses and, consequently, the results. Furthermore, the company facilitated interviewees, which may also have biased the selection.
- **Reliability of the Results** - This point is also related to the previous two, so we can add that although there was a concern about creating a pleasant interview environment with non-compromising questions, it is possible that the interviewees gave socially correct or accepted answers rather than the whole reality. However, the findings from the interviews were used for educational contexts only, without disclosing the person concerned to either colleagues or the public.
- **Qualitative Research** - As previously mentioned, we used a qualitative approach. However, this approach brings some limitations, as being open-ended questions, it is more

difficult to measure the concrete conclusions compared to the other interviews. Additionally, the results cannot be generalized to the rest of the company. For this, a quantitative study of a focus group would be necessary.

12. Conclusion

The future of work is a constant-changing, hard-to-grasp concept - what the future of work may be perceived as right now can be very different in a year. The myriad of possible scenarios may lead companies to fear or lack motivation to take the necessary steps to be prepared for the trends we observe at this moment in time. Facing this dilemma, it is essential to remember Heraclitus's dictum, "the only thing that is constant is change." If everything changes, companies need to invest in what has powered business to success generation after generation: its people.

The present research showed that the pandemic has tremendously shifted employees' mindsets and substantially influenced new generations. It is easy to assume they came to stay. Realizing their work is not their entire life, people want to feel valued and fulfilled at work, and old practices will not do in the future. Having strong culture and values as the backbone of your company and using them as a pivot to several policies during the talent lifecycle will make employees identify with the brand.

Seeing people want to feel their work matters in their lives and personal development, firms need to provide unique experiences in recruitment, onboarding, training, and more. There are several ways of doing that. Our case study, Company XYZ, has showcased good talent management practices taken as a response to the pandemic, but still need a concrete structure to be secure towards the future of work.

Company XYZ needs to focus on concrete measures with lasting benefits instead of quick-wins policies and procedures. Some actions we consider the right step to become future-

proof are: 1) Use data and AI to all organization' parts favor by talent management tools implementation; 2) Create a learning culture, and make sure to stimulate workers to seek personal development beyond their job requirements, triggered by reskilling; 3) Promote flexibility across the organizational charts by providing vertical, horizontal, and diagonal career movements; 4) Make every person feel unique by having a personal feedback loop, prepare managers to guide different people and their needs; 5) Provide training and development initiatives that are also an experience: personal mentoring, job shadowing, and others.

The main point academic researchers and authors agree on the agreement is that human capital is the competitive advantage in the future. To apply the field of talent management to the future of work, upcoming works should focus on creating structured frameworks to help companies make better managerial decisions when creating and changing human resources initiatives.

Unstable environments are a source of opportunity. Focusing continuously on creating a value proposition in line with the new era and implementing the initiatives and changes that will potentiate the company's internal talent is the best approach to becoming future-proof against any hazards.

Future of Work Readiness Through Learning Agility

13. Introduction

Businesses depend on agile learners (De Meuse 2017). Learning agility, in other words, the ability to learn is a common feature in Future of Work literature as a solution to the growing skill gap and need for re-skilling and up-skilling within organizations (World Economic Forum 2020). Furthermore, A Growth Mindset (Dweck 2015) is the theory developed by Psychologist Carol Dweck and it is also a commonly covered topic regarding learning and development strategies within future of work studies. The theory proposes the difference in people's mindsets and how a growth mindset can be developed to foster proactive views on failure, increased learning motivation, and the malleability of an individual's own skill set.

Both theories surrounding learning agility and growth mindset have inherent similarities in their purpose to explain individual learning orientation, motivation for learning, and their effects on organizational performance. This study aims to explore how a Growth Mindset can promote learning agility and, in this way, instill future work readiness. Firstly, this study will define each theory through its existing literature. Secondly, it aims to bridge the academic gap in literature by comparing their conceptual similarities and differences. Thirdly, the work explores the theoretical link between the practice of growth mindset interventions and how it can increase learning motivation and therefore learning agility. Lastly, suggestions for further research are presented.

14. Methodology

This study was conducted using a qualitative research design. Secondary data was gathered through existing scientific findings. It serves the purpose of a critical scientific review and aims to go beyond the explicit information stated in existing literature (Grant and Booth 2009). Moreover, this study takes a conceptual approach in reviewing Growth Mindset and

Learning Agility (Grant and Booth 2009). By assessing and identifying unexplored theoretical links and overlaps, it aims to add knowledge towards its connection of the Future Work Field and its facilitation within organizations.

15. Growth Mindset Theory

Carol Dweck, a Stanford University Professor in Psychology, is the originator of the term and theory surrounding Growth and Fixed mindsets. The terms are rooted in the Mindset theory, which serves as a basis for describing core assumptions about the malleability of personal qualities (Moreau 2021). The theory states that it is inherent that all people understand and see the world differently (Soo Jeung and Vicki 2020). However, in her work surrounding Growth vs. Fixed mindset, she argues that motivation and our views on learning, successes, and failures are part of making skillsets malleable, contrary to beliefs of the Fixed Mindset, which views talents, skills, and abilities as challenging to develop or change (Dweck 2015). Therefore, A growth mindset is, by its definition, a state or belief that one's talents, skills and performance can be developed through hard work, good strategies, and dedication to self-learn (Dweck 2020). Individuals with a Growth Mindset have been shown to have higher motivation levels to engage in learning and development activities (Dweck 2006). Furthermore, the theory describes growth mindset individuals' relationship to failure as a learning experience and an opportunity to correlate efforts into new ways of succeeding in tasks (Yeager and Dweck 2020). Dweck also describes Fixed Mindset as the belief that talent, intelligence, and skills are fixed and difficult to develop, often viewing failure of challenging tasks as the result of one's own inabilities (Dweck 2015).

Her findings are rooted in human psychology, brain plasticity, and neuroscience; therefore, validity is believed to be added to Growth Mindset through the interest and research within other fields than its applied findings in business (Hills 2012). For example, Dr. Heidi

Grant (2015), a neuroscientist and consultant, points out the correlations and importance in motivation, stress handling capabilities, and task performance, highlighting the different reactions towards stressful change between fixed and growth mindset individuals. According to her findings, these factors correlate to current organizational agility through change agility within its employee's skillset (Grant 2015). Her research showed that individuals with a growth mindset perform tasks significantly better under uncertainty and change, which usually increases stress levels in individuals. This is a trait that companies benefit from when under uncertainty themselves (Madsen 2020). Therefore, the findings of growth mindsets' abilities to explain and foster performance under change have created interest in the Future of Work field.

16. Learning Agility Theory

Learning Agility is a term proposed first by Lombardo and Eichinger (2000). Their study explored traits found in high-potential talent and the optimization of succession planning within organizations. They found that the ability to learn, and the ability to learn from experience, is how high-potential employees should be defined (Lombardo and Eichinger 2000). Therefore, the article also proposes that, what they call Learning Agility, should be a higher valued characteristic than intelligence scores when assessing applicants or workers (Lombardo and Eischinger 2000). The 4-part model they developed describes the dimensions of Learning Agility: People agility, Results Agility, Mental Agility, and Change Agility (Lombardo and Eichinger 2000). Firstly, people agility describes individuals who teach themselves from interpersonal experiences and work well under changing social circumstances. Secondly, Results Agility characterizes people who, under harsh conditions, still find the motivation to achieve high task results. Thirdly, Mental Agility regards employee characteristics that allow for looking at problems with a new viewpoint and who stay comfortable during complex tasks and situations. Lastly, change agility aims to characterize

individuals who connect to learning, problems or challenges with curiosity, new ideas, or ways of working. Hence, it describes people who like to engage in new skill-building and challenging tasks (Lombardo and Eichinger 2000). Over the last 20 years, this model has expanded by contributing authors regarding new ideas surrounding learning-agile people and their possession of self-awareness and the ability to look critically at one's own character (De Meuse 2017). Even though it has developed, today's practical use of the Learning Agility is still similar to how it was initially proposed by Lombardo and Eichinger's (2000). Its primary use is to assess new applicants during recruitment processes or to evaluate employee performance potential. A learning agility analysis of employees serves the purpose of understanding fit into new and more challenging roles (De Meuse 2010). Moreover, Silzer and Church (2009) also agree with the importance of learning ability as the high potential identification of employees.

However, the academic contribution towards its facilitation and the reliability of its general findings have come under scrutiny (DeRue, Ashford, and Myers 2012). De Rue, Ashford and G. Myers (2015) conclude that the topic of learning agility lies within a broader nomological network of related constructs, rather than a theory that creates ambiguity to its contributions and facilitation.

17. Conceptual Similarities and Theoretical Overlaps

This section aims to bridge the theoretical gap in the current literary contribution. By expanding on the conceptual similarities and differences between a Growth Mindset and Learning Agility, this chapter aims to find how learning agility can be fostered from a growth mindset.

17.1. Change Agility

Firstly, learning agility literature depicts individuals who operate successfully under uncertainty and change as being change agile. That clearly possesses similarities to the way

individuals' growth mindset is depicted. They are often referred to as individuals who perform better under changing or challenging circumstances. Dr. Grant (2015) has claimed that her findings exemplify this function of a growth mindset and its correlation to learning agility and change agility in her study. Two groups of people were introduced to a set of sequential tasks. If the task didn't vary in its problem set nor change in difficulty, fixed-mindset individuals performed better than growth-mindset individuals in repetitive clerical tasks. However, from the moment any changes were introduced, such as an increase in difficulty level or new problem themes, the growth mindset individuals not only produced better results comparatively for each task but also increased their results over time, even in the face of failure (Grant 2015). The study, therefore, exemplified how characteristics from learning agility theory, called change agility, were found in growth mindset individuals.

17.2. Learning from Experience

Similarly to the way Lombardo and Eschinger describes Learning Agile people's ability to develop and learn from challenging circumstances, a later study into the brain activity of subjects with a growth mindset showed to have a similar relation to learning and memory retention from stress responses induced by challenging tasks. Findings showed that how growth mindset individuals handle stressful situations, such as challenging tasks, leads to long-term memory responses within the brain (Mangels et al. 2006). Hence, the internalization and learning from experiences and failures are intrinsic to people that meet challenges with a growth mindset, similar to what Eichinger and Lombardo (2000) described regarding learning from experience in individuals who are characterized as learning agile. Furthermore, growth mindset individuals conclusively learn from past failures and tend not to repeat their mistakes, they also find different ways to handle similar experiences in the search for positive outcomes (Grant 2015).

17.3. Shared Qualities of Predictive Performance

Both Learning agility and Growth Mindset literature claim an ability to be used as tools in predicting task performance.

Research has found that measured mindsets can predict academic achievement (Yeager and Dweck 2021). In an early study (Blackwell et al. 2007, Study 1, N = 373), students undergoing challenging mathematical courses were observed and measured for results. The study found that students that had the same level of abilities as the test sample showed increasingly better performances if they scored a higher level of growth mindsets in a post-assessment. The findings have been supported by larger-scale studies as well (N = 300.629), where students with a growth mindset performed better in both qualitative subjects, $r = 0.28$, and creative subjects, $r = 0.27$ (Claro and Loeb 2019).

Similarly, Lumini (2021) analyzed the level of learning agility in employees and the result of their yearly performance on competencies coherent with their roles. It showed a positive correlation of 0,37 between Learning Agility and their respective Performance Assessment. Furthermore, their showings proved to have an even stronger correlation between performance and learning agility of 0.43 for employees in managing positions. De Meuse (2019) findings showed similar results. In his meta-analysis of the relationship between learning agility and succession potential, the findings show a strong correlation of $p = 0.74$ and $p = 0.75$ of learning agility levels in an employee, and its power to predict performance in organizational leaders. Moreover, Korn Ferry Institute (2021) also concurred that Learning Agility is a strong indication of future performance in job applicants, something that encapsulates the learning potential of new tasks and relates to the organization's future of work needs.

17.4. The View of Learning Agility as a Rare Trait

Even though the theories share a lot of similarities in effect on learning, motivation and regarding the predictability of task performance, they differ in their contribution in how to actually foster it in individuals or organizations. There seems to be ambiguity surrounding whether learning agility is intrinsic to people's personalities or if it is something one could learn. Learning agility is often described as a rare trait; only 15% of people are agile learners, according to Gochman and Stuffer (2021). Moreover, statements in the literature rarely discuss concrete measures or solutions to facilitate learning agility, and recommendations appear to be based on “testimonials of highly vocal proponents” rather than on actual findings (De Meuse 2019). Therefore, if learning agility creates task performance and adaptability, how can you foster it rather than only assessing it? This paper argues that the similarities between the effects of learning agility and a growth mindset share theoretical aspects. However, in contrast to the ambiguity found in the literature regarding how to learn to be an agile learner, Carol Dweck (1988) proposes an explanation and guidance based in organizational psychology with her work surrounding a Growth Mindset. Hence, her contribution could help explain how to facilitate learning agility through a growth mindset theory.

Table III – Summary of Conceptual Similarities Between Growth Mindset and Learning Agility

Growth Mindset	Learning Agility
Learning Through Change (Dweck 2020; Ng 2021).	Change Agility (Lombardo and Eschinger 2000).
Learning From Failure (Yeager and Dweck 2015; Dweck 2020; Grant 2021).	Learning From Experience (Lombardo and Eschinger 2000).
Practicability of Learning Task Performance (Dweck 2021; Panuesku et al. 2015).	Predictability of future talent performance (De Meuse 2019; Lumini 2021).

Table IV - Summary of Conceptual Differences Between Growth Mindset and Learning Agility

Growth Mindset	Learning Agility
Solution orientated contribution on how to foster learning agility (Dweck 2020).	No theoretical contribution on how to foster learning agility.
Contributes to the malleability of skill and change agility (Grant 2021).	View as an inherent characteristic of a person, viewed a rare trait (Gochman and Stuffer 2021).
Used as a development tool (Dweck 2020).	Used as an assessment tool (Lombardo and Eichinger 2000).

18. Finding the Theoretical Link Between Growth Mindset and Increased Learning Agility

The previous chapter explored the many similarities in the existing theoretical overlaps of Growth Mindset and Learning Agility. However, the current literature surrounding them shows no explicit contribution to the actual relationship between the two theories. Therefore, this work proposes that an argument can be made that the increase in learning motivation from a Growth Mindset (Dweck 2020; Sci 2021; Sarrasin et al. 2018) influences an individual's ability to learn (Zhang and Chen 2021; Lin, Yen and Wang 2018), building a fundamental link between the theories to contribute to the facilitation of learning agility.

18.1. How Growth Mindset increases learning motivation

The function of increased motivation as an effect of a Growth mindset plays a vital role in its discussion regarding learning outcomes (Dweck 2021). Even though some argue that motivation from a growth mindset is merely observational, the case of the growth mindset and its ability to increase motivation to learn has been found to be supported by neuroscientific

findings (Ng 2021). Betsy Ng (2021) builds on this argument from functional magnetic resonance imaging (fMRI) brain scans that show increased activity in parts of the brain that are connected to motivation when exposed to mindset interventions. Moreover, evidence of the relationship between the facilitation of a growth mindset and higher levels of learning motivation was found by Sarrasin et al. (2018) in their meta-analysis surrounding their relationship. Furthermore, Zhao et al. (2021) found similar findings in the relationship of growth mindset, learning motivation and task perseverance, here referred to as Grit. The large scale study (N = 1842) concluded that motivation, grit, and level of growth mindset have mediative effects on each other. Conclusively, these findings together add to the understanding of how a Growth Mindset can affect motivation to learn.

18.2. How Learning motivation increases the ability to learn

As concluded previously, a growth mindset seemingly affects motivation to learn, but how does motivation to learn to impact an individual's learning agility? Learning motivation is here based on a Human Resource and Organizational Learning and Development perspective (Qi et al. 2019), meaning the belief that learning can enhance one's career and that learning activity is fun and that it is self-fulfilling. Based on these definitions, Chen and Zhang (2021) have found a strong correlation between learning motivation and learning effectiveness in their study. However, motivation in learning is multifaceted, and factors such as the task results, mode of learning, and fear of failure influence motivation levels (Borah 2021). Nonetheless, all the factors proposed by Borah are arguably met by the mediating effects of a growth Mindset, therefore, providing higher motivations (Dweck 2021). Furthermore, persistence in learning has correlated to learning performance and is often described as the result of higher motivation levels (Pintrich 2003). In conclusion, motivation is primarily discussed from different perspectives, however most findings seem to support the correlation between motivation and

learning agility. Therefore, it is indicative that the implementation of Growth Mindset could facilitate learning motivation which would lead to higher levels of learning agility.

18.3. Facilitating Learning Agility with Growth Mindset Interventions

The most used method of growth mindset implementation is called Mindset Interventions (Dweck 2020). These interventions refer to individual or group sessions with differentiating frequencies, where subjects are introduced to, or supported in facilitating a growth mindset (Institution of Education Sciences 2022). These sessions are in place to foster the mindset through exercises and exposure to theories and topics regarding the malleability of intelligence and skill adoption (Paunesku et al. 2015). Common tools and techniques used in these sessions are informational videos about growth mindset research and its findings. Other important tools are discussions, and debate simulations regarding an individual's own experiences with failure and current individual learning goals (Orosz et al. 2017). These interventions are the primary tool used in Carol Dweck's research and build the foundations of her findings surrounding the malleability of intelligence and the aspect surrounding learning motivation (Paunesku et al. 2015).

The results from Mindset interventions have shown increased grades in academic subjects and resilience of failure, proving increased learning performance and increased ability to learn specific tasks or subjects (Dweck 2021).

19. Growth Mindset and Learning Agility's Role in Future of Work Readiness

Future of work studies relates to how changes in work and employment is influenced by technological, generational, and social shifts (Gartner n.d.). In future of work studies, the ability to adapt to new circumstances is often described as organizational agility and is a common topic of discussion. Being able to face new challenges, understanding them and learning from them serves as a response to a rapidly changing environment (Peterson 2021) Furthermore, the need

for upskilling or reskilling your workforce is widespread globally, and the world economic forum projects that 54% of all employees will require significant re- and upskilling by 2025 (World Economic Forum 2018). Hence, Deloitte announced a 2 billion dollar investment to upskill their workers for future of work requirements (Business Insider 2020). According to Rives (2020), learning agility is therefore crucial, seeing as there is little to no choice if organizations want to stay competitive and survive. The question, therefore, arrives at how to create learning agility in your workforce, fit to meet the changing demands of a volatile environment. Interestingly, rather than directly addressing the need for hard skills, such as specific technical know-how, the most significant skills in demand relate to adaptability and the Critical Thinking Cluster (SSG 2021). These skills form the basis for identifying changes and problem-solving abilities needed to face them and share characteristics with the topic of learning agility (SSG 2021). Hence, there is an understanding that learning the needed hard skills for tomorrow can be rendered obsolete with the passing of time, therefore it is essential to develop personal skills that keep employees in constant development. A Growth Mindset's role in mediating the effects of future of work trends is a popular contemporary topic (Dweck 2019, Jeoung 2020, Rives 2020; Derler 2019; Brasseey, Mugayar-Baldocchi, and Nielsen 2020). Apart from the increase in motivation to learn the needed skills of the future, finding comfortability in operating in changing circumstances and increased task performance, 34% more employees feel a strong sense of commitment and task ownership towards their company and its strategies (Harvard Business Review 2014). Here, highlighting its effect on the fundamental difficulty in aligning an organization's strategic goal to individual effort. Secondly, companies that possess a growth mindset culture are 47% more likely to have employees that find other colleagues and your organization as trustworthy (Harvard Business Review 2014). Also, Growth mindset contributions frequently add to the future of work discussion by highlighting its role in upskilling and reskilling the global workforce (2020).

Rives (2020) encapsulated part of the problem by stating that today's reality for employees and employers is much different than in the past. He argues that "In the past, the choice of reskilling was one that people made as a normal part of their career path....This self-selection process ensured that most workers seeking a career change or wanting to upskill were prepared for the uncertainty and challenges ahead of them. How do we prepare a large labour force to have the psychological framework to undertake change willingly?" (Rives 2020). Conclusively, he is pointing out the need for motivation in urgent reskilling within organisations. This correlates well with the findings from the world economic forum. Their Future Of Jobs Report (2020) arguably finds similar characteristics of a growth mindset, such as Active Learning, Resilience, Stress tolerance, and flexibility, to be some of the ten most sought-after skills over the next 3-5 years.

20. Conclusion

In conclusion, this paper looked at how a growth mindset could facilitate learning agility and, through it increase the readiness for the future of work challenges within organizations and businesses. This paper highlights the potential of the theoretical possibility of using growth mindset interventions to increase learning agility within organizations. Starting from the standpoint of explaining the existing definitions and literature surrounding the two theories, it is necessary to clarify their conceptual similarities and theoretical overlaps. Both theories were found to share descriptions of individuals possessing learning agility or a growth mindset as: change agile; individuals who can operate, perform, and learn within changing or difficult circumstances. Secondly, describing people that succeed in learning through experiences and failure as having a growth mindset or learning agile. Thirdly, both theories claims to have similar predictive abilities in task performance over time. However, it was found that the two theories found predictability within two different fields: academic settings, and organizational

or job-related settings. Lastly, it was argued by this work's findings that the views on these abilities differ between the theories and their contributing authors. Learning agility is something that is assessed and seen as a rare trait in prospective talent, whilst a growth mindset is offered as a possibility to improve skills and capabilities and sees personal development as malleable.

Moreover, the theoretical exploration in this work aimed to link the two concepts and found a possible connection in facilitating Learning Agility through increased motivation fostered by a growth mindset. In other words, a Growth Mindset was found to increase motivation in task performance and learning and learning motivation has been found to increase the ability to learn. Therefore, Growth Mindset interventions, the main tool used to facilitate a growth mindset, could be beneficial in facilitating learning agility. Lastly, this work used this fundamental link to present the case of why learning agility and a growth mindset are essential to the future of work readiness in organizations.

21. Discussion and Recommendations for Future Research

This work was exploratory by method and aimed to link three concepts; Growth Mindset Theory, Learning Agility and Future of Work Readiness. However, as described previously, no existing research on the facilitation of learning agility through a growth mindset was found to exist. It leads to the first recommendation for future research:

1. *Facilitate an analysis of the statistical dependence and correlation between learning agility scores and growth mindset interventions.*

Seeing as there is existing assessment models for learning agility within the field of human resources and talent management, an interesting way for further researchers to explore their correlation and dependence would be to assess the change in learning agility assessment scores pre and post growth mindset interventions. This could facilitate a more profound

understanding of creating a greater ability to learn and further close the gap of how to adopt learning agility within individuals.

Secondly, the interdisciplinary literature used in this work created limitations in comparing findings. For example, the research and findings behind a growth mindset are predominantly made in academic settings with students as subjects to its testing. Even though most contributors freely compare the findings and their effects on business settings and the learning and development facilitated there, they are arguably different and often concern different demographic groups and ages. Therefore, I suggest that future research should:

2. *Recreate findings of learning performance and growth mindset interventions within organizational settings.*

It would arguably add legitimacy and value to the future of work studies that mainly surrounds businesses and their employees rather than students in school.

References

- Anlesinya, Alex, and Kwesi Amponsah-Tawiah. "Towards a Responsible Talent Management Model." *European Journal of Training and Development* 44, no. 2/3 (2020): 279–303. <https://doi.org/10.1108/ejtd-07-2019-0114>.
- Arlington, V. "Gartner Survey Reveals 82% of Company Leaders Plan to Allow Employees to Work Remotely Some of the Time." Gartner, July 2020. <https://www.gartner.com/en/newsroom/press-releases/2020-07-14-gartner-survey-reveals-82-percent-of-company-leaders-plan-to-allow-employees-to-work-remotely-some-of-the-time>.
- Barney, J. B. (1995). Looking inside for competitive advantage. *Academy of Management Executive*, 9(4), 49–61.
- Birkinshaw, Julian, Jordan Cohen, and Pawel Stach. "Research: Knowledge Workers Are More Productive from Home." *Harvard Business Review*, September 17, 2021. <https://hbr.org/2020/08/research-knowledge-workers-are-more-productive-from-home>.
- Blackwell, Lisa S., Kali H. Trzesniewski, and Carol Sorich Dweck. 2007. "Implicit Theories of Intelligence Predict Achievement Across an Adolescent Transition: A Longitudinal Study and an Intervention." *Child Development* 78 (1): 246–63. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.00995.x>.
- Blakeslee, G. Spencer. "Holding onto Your High-Talent Professionals." *Research Management* 27, no. 2 (1984): 17–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00345334.1984.11756822>.
- Borah, Mayuri. 2021. "Motivation in Learning." *Journal Of Critical Reviews* 8 (02).
- Borland, Jeff, and Michael Coelli. "Are Robots Taking Our Jobs?" *Australian Economic Review* 50, no. 4 (2017): 377–97. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-8462.12245>.

Boudreau, J. W., and Ramstad, P. M. (2005). Talentship and the new paradigm for human resource management: From professional practice to strategic talent decision science. *Human Resource Planning*, 28(2), 17–26.

Brassey, Jacqueline, Gene Kuo, Larry Murphy, and Nick van Dam. “Shaping Individual Development along the S-Curve.” McKinsey & Company. McKinsey & Company, March 1, 2021. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/shaping-individual-development-along-the-s-curve>.

Brassey, Jaqueline, Marino Mugayar-Baldocchi, and Nicolai Nielsen. n.d. “It’s Time to Future-Proof Skill Sets for the Decade Ahead | McKinsey & Company.” Accessed December 19, 2022. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/the-organization-blog/its-time-to-future-proof-skill-sets-for-the-decade-ahead>.

Bryman, Alan. “Mission Accomplished?: Research Methods in the First Five Years of Leadership.” *Leadership* 7, no. 1 (February 1, 2011): 73–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1742715010386864>.

Caligiuri, Paula, Helen De Cieri, Dana Minbaeva, Alain Verbeke, and Angelika Zimmermann. “International HRM Insights for Navigating the COVID-19 Pandemic: Implications for Future Research and Practice.” *Journal of International Business Studies* 51, no. 5 (2020): 697–713. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41267-020-00335-9>.

Camille Preston, PhD. “Council Post: Promoting Employee Happiness Benefits Everyone.” *Forbes*. *Forbes Magazine*, October 12, 2022. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbescoachescouncil/2017/12/13/promoting-employee-happiness-benefits-everyone/?sh=57456ca9581a>.

Cariou, Lili. "How Is Artificial Intelligence Shaping the Future of Work?" *BusinessBecause*. BusinessBecause, December 8, 2022. <https://www.businessbecause.com/news/insights/8097/ai-future-of-work?sponsored>.

Chambers, E.G., Mark Foulon, Helen Handfield-Jones, Steven Hankin, and Edward Michaels. "The War for Talent." *The McKinsey Quarterly* 3 (January 1, 1998): 44–57.

Chambers, Elizabeth, Mark Foulon, Helen Handfield-Jones, Steven Hankin, and Edward Michaels. "The War for Talent." *The McKinsey Quarterly* 3 (1998): 44–57.

Chandy, Laurence. "The Future of Work in the Developing World." *Brookings* (blog), January 31, 2017. <https://www.brookings.edu/research/the-future-of-work-in-the-developing-world/>.

Claro, Susana, and Susanna Loeb. 2019. "Students with Growth Mindset Learn More in School: Evidence from California's CORE School Districts. Working Paper." *Policy Analysis for California Education, PACE*. Policy Analysis for California Education, PACE. <https://eric.ed.gov/?id=ED600488>.

Cappelli, Peter. "Talent Management Before the Twenty-First Century." *Harvard Business Review*, March 2008.

Cappelli, Peter. "Talent on Demand – Managing Talent in an Age of Uncertainty." *Human Resource Management International Digest* 17, no. 6 (2009). <https://doi.org/10.1108/hrmid.2009.04417fae.002>.

Collings, David G., and Kamel Mellahi. "Strategic Talent Management: A Review and Research Agenda." *Human Resource Management Review* 19, no. 4 (2009): 304–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2009.04.001>.

De Bod, A. D., and L. W. Slavinski. "Personality Correlates of Managerial Talent: Cross Cultural Comparison." *SA Journal of Industrial Psychology*, 1983. <https://doi.org/10.4102/sajip.v0i0.348>.

“Definition of Future of Work - Gartner Human Resources Glossary.” n.d. Gartner. Accessed December 19, 2022. <https://www.gartner.com/en/human-resources/glossary/future-of-work>.

Derler, Andrea. 2019. “Growth Mindset Culture.”

“Definition of Talent Management - Gartner Human Resources Glossary.” Gartner. Accessed December 4, 2022. <https://www.gartner.com/en/human-resources/glossary/talent-management>.

De Meuse, Kenneth P., Guangrong Dai, and George S. Hallenbeck. 2010. “Learning Agility: A Construct Whose Time Has Come.” *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research* 62 (2): 119–30. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019988>.

De Meuse, Kenneth P. 2017. “Learning Agility: Its Evolution as a Psychological Construct and Its Empirical Relationship to Leader Success.” *Consulting Psychology Journal: Practice and Research* 69 (4): 267–95. <https://doi.org/10.1037/cpb0000100>.

DeRue, D., S. Ashford, and Christopher Myers. 2012. “Learning Agility: In Search of Conceptual Clarity and Theoretical Grounding.” *Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 5 (July): 258–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2012.01444.x>.

Devlin, Stephen. “Inequality in the Digital Society.” New Economics Foundation. Accessed November 21, 2022. <https://neweconomics.org/2017/01/inequality-digital-society>.

Dweck, Carol S., and Ellen L. Leggett. 1988. “A Social-Cognitive Approach to Motivation and Personality.” *Psychological Review* 95: 256–73. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.95.2.256>.

Editors, H. B. R. 2014. “How Companies Can Profit from a ‘Growth Mindset.’” *Harvard Business Review*, November 1, 2014. <https://hbr.org/2014/11/how-companies-can-profit-from-a-growth-mindset>.

Eightfold. “Single AI Platform for All Talent.” Eightfold, December 5, 2022. <https://eightfold.ai/>.

Elsheikhi, Thereza Balliester and Adam. “The Future of Work: A Literature Review.” Working paper, April 17, 2018. http://www.ilo.org/global/research/publications/working-papers/WCMS_625866/lang--en/index.htm.

European Commission. Joint Research Centre. Institute for Prospective Technological Studies. *The Future of Work in the ‘Sharing Economy’*. LU: Publications Office, 2016. <https://data.europa.eu/doi/10.2791/431485>.

“Future Of Jobs Report.” 2020. World Economic Forum.

Gochman, Ilene, and Paul Storfer. 2021. “Talent for Tomorrow: Four Secrets for HR Agility in an Uncertain World.”

Gallardo-Gallardo, Eva, Marian Thunnissen, and Hugh Scullion. “Talent Management: Context Matters.” *The International Journal of Human Resource Management* 31, no. 4 (2019): 457–73. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2019.1642645>.

Gallardo-Gallardo, Eva, Nicky Dries, and Tomás F. González-Cruz. “What Is the Meaning of ‘Talent’ in the World of Work?” *Human Resource Management Review* 23, no. 4 (2013): 290–300. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2013.05.002>.

Gartner. “Definition of Talent Management - Gartner Human Resources Glossary.” Gartner. Accessed December 4, 2022. <https://www.gartner.com/en/human-resources/glossary/talent-management>.

Gioia, Dennis A., Kevin G. Corley, and Aimee L. Hamilton. “Seeking Qualitative Rigor in Inductive Research.” *Organizational Research Methods* 16, no. 1 (2012): 15–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094428112452151>.

Glaser, Barney G. *Theoretical Sensitivity: Advances in the Methodology of Grounded Theory*. Sociology Press, 1978. “Globalisation and Rising Competition: Challenges for the Czech Economy - OECD.” Accessed November 21, 2022.

<https://www.oecd.org/newsroom/globalisationandrisingcompetitionchallengesforthececheconomy.htm>.

Grant, Maria J., and Andrew Booth. "A Typology of Reviews: An Analysis of 14 Review Types and Associated Methodologies." *Health Information and Libraries Journal* 26, no. 2 (June 2009): 91–108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>.

Financial Services Skills Commission. 2022. "Reskilling: A business case for financial services organisations." unpublished. <https://wp.financialservicesskills.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/Reskilling-A-business-case-FINAL-EMBARGOED.pdf>.

Gartner. n.d. <https://www.gartner.com/en/human-resources/glossary/future-of-work>.

Gloudemans , Phil. "The Great Resignation." Boston College. Accessed December 6, 2022. <https://www.bc.edu/bc-web/bcnews/nation-world-society/education/q-a-the-great-resignation.html>.

Grant, Dr. Heidi, and David Rock. 2015. "Why Organizational Growth Mindset Matters | NLI."

Green, Marnie E. "Beware and Prepare: The Government Workforce of the Future." *Public Personnel Management* 29, no. 4 (2000): 435–44. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009102600002900402>.

Han, Soo Jeoung, and Vicki Stieha. 2020. "Growth Mindset for Human Resource Development: A Scoping Review of the Literature with Recommended Interventions." *Human Resource Development Review* 19 (3): 309–31. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1534484320939739>.

Harvard Business Review Press, ed. *The Future of Work*. Insights You Need from Harvard Business Review. Boston, Massachusetts: Harvard Business Review Press, 2021.

Hill, E. Jeffrey, Jenet Jacob Erickson, Erin K. Holmes, and Maria Ferris. "Workplace Flexibility, Work Hours, and Work-Life Conflict: Finding an Extra Day or Two." *Journal of Family Psychology* 24, no. 3 (2010): 349–58. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019282>.

Hills, Jan. 2012. "Neuroscience and Talent: How Neuroscience Can Increase Successful Execution of Talent Strategy." *Human Resource Management International Digest* 20 (3): 34–37. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09670731211224375>.

Hiltrop, Jean-Marie. "The Quest for the Best: Human Resource Practices to Attract and Retain Talent." *European Management Journal* 17, no. 4 (1999): 422–30. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0263-2373\(99\)00022-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0263-2373(99)00022-5).

Hongal, Pushpa, and Dr. Uttamkumar Kinange. "A Study on Talent Management and Its Impact on Organization Performance- an Empirical Review." *International Journal of Engineering and Management Research* 10, no. 01 (2020): 64–71. <https://doi.org/10.31033/ijemr.10.1.12>.

Hooghiemstra, Tjerk. "Management of Talent." *European Management Journal* 8, no. 2 (1990): 142–49. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-2373\(90\)90078-k](https://doi.org/10.1016/0263-2373(90)90078-k).

Institute of Educational Sciences. 2022. "Growth Mindset Intervention Report." National Center for Education Statistics. January 19, 2022. <https://ies.ed.gov/pubsearch/pubsinfo.asp?pubid=WWC2022006>.

Ivancevich, John, and Kim Stewart. "Appraising Management Talent in Acquired Organizations: A Four-Tiered Recommendation." *Human Resource Planning* 12, no. 2 (1989).

Jackson, Amelia. "The Ways in Which Big Data Can Transform Talent Management and Human Resources." Medium. *Becoming Human: Artificial Intelligence Magazine*, March 2, 2021. <https://becominghuman.ai/the-ways-in-which-big-data-can-transform-talent-management-and-human-resources-53d7b43f908b>.

Jackson, S. E., and Schuler, R. S. (1990). Human resource planning: Challenges for industrial/organizational psychologists. *American Psychologist*, 45(2), 223–239.

Jennings, Eugene. "How to Develop Your Management Talent Internally." *Personnel Administrator/Personnel Administrator* 26, no. 7 (July 1981): 20–23.

Khan, Shahid. "Qualitative Research Method: Grounded Theory." *International Journal of Business and Management* 9 (October 23, 2014). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v9n11p224>.

Korn Ferry Institute. 2017. "The Organisational X-Factor: Learning Agility." *Korn Ferry Focus* (blog). November 21, 2017. <https://focus.kornferry.com/leadership-and-talent/the-organisational-x-factor-learning-agility/>.

Lewis, Robert E., and Robert J. Heckman. "Talent Management: A Critical Review." *Human Resource Management Review* 16, no. 2 (2006): 139–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2006.03.001>.

Lin, Hsin-Hui, Wan-Chu Yen, and Yi-Shun Wang. 2018. "Investigating the Effect of Learning Method and Motivation on Learning Performance in a Business Simulation System Context: An Experimental Study." *Computers & Education* 127 (December): 30–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.008>.

Lombardo, Michael M., and Robert W. Eichinger. 2000. "High Potentials as High Learners." *Human Resource Management* 39 (4): 321–29. [https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-050X\(200024\)39:4<321::AID-HRM4>3.0.CO;2-1](https://doi.org/10.1002/1099-050X(200024)39:4<321::AID-HRM4>3.0.CO;2-1).

Lumini. 2021. "The Relationship between Learning Agility and Performance." 2021. <https://blog.lumenii.co.za/relationship-between-learning-agility-and-performance>.

Lund, Susan, Anu Madgavkar, James Manyika, Sven Smit, Kweilin Ellingrud, and Olivia Robinson. "The Future of Work after COVID-19." McKinsey & Company. McKinsey & Company, August 2, 2022. <https://www.mckinsey.com/featured-insights/future-of-work/the-future-of-work-after-covid-19>.

Madsen, Susan R. 2020. "Strengthen Your Growth Mindset: Using This Uncertain Time for Learning." 2020. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/strengthen-your-growth-mindset-using-uncertain-time-learning-madsen>.

- Mangels, Jennifer A., Brady Butterfield, Justin Lamb, Catherine Good, and Carol S. Dweck. 2006. "Why Do Beliefs about Intelligence Influence Learning Success? A Social Cognitive Neuroscience Model." *Social Cognitive and Affective Neuroscience* 1 (2): 75–86. <https://doi.org/10.1093/scan/nsi013>.
- Manyika, J., Michael Chui, Mehdi Miremadi, Jacques Bughin, Katy George, Paul Wilmott, and Martin Dewhurst. *A Future That Works: Automation, Employment, and Productivity*. McKinsey Global Institute, 2017.
- Marsick, Victoria J., and Karen E. Watkins. 2003. "Demonstrating the Value of an Organization's Learning Culture: The Dimensions of the Learning Organization Questionnaire." *Advances in Developing Human Resources* 5 (2): 132–51. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1523422303005002002>.
- Maskey, Sameer. "Council Post: How Ai Is Primed to Disrupt HR and Recruiting." *Forbes Magazine*, April 14, 2022. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/forbestechcouncil/2022/03/23/how-ai-is-primed-to-disrupt-hr-and-recruiting/?sh=5c6a8b3f1078>.
- Meuse, Kenneth P. De. 2019. "A Meta-Analysis of the Relationship between Learning Agility and Leader Success." *Journal of Organizational Psychology* 19 (1). <https://doi.org/10.33423/jop.v19i1.1088>.
- Mission Accomplished?: Research Methods in the First Five Years of Leadership - Alan Bryman, 2011." Accessed November 16, 2022. <https://journals.sagepub.com/doi/abs/10.1177/1742715010386864>.
- Mohamed, Khadija. "Using Permanent Remote Work to Drive Diversity, Equity and Inclusion in Your Workforce." *Power of the Profession*, November 26, 2020.

<https://blogs.gartner.com/power-of-the-profession-blog/using-permanent-remote-work-to-drive-diversity-equity-and-inclusion-in-your-workforce/>.

Moreau, David. 2021. "How Malleable Are Cognitive Abilities? A Critical Perspective on Popular Brief Interventions." *American Psychologist* 77 (May). <https://doi.org/10.1037/amp0000872>.

Ng, Betsy. 2018. "The Neuroscience of Growth Mindset and Intrinsic Motivation." *Brain Sciences* 8 (2): 20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci8020020>.

Nijs, Sanne, Eva Gallardo-Gallardo, Nicky Dries, and Luc Sels. "A Multidisciplinary Review into the Definition, Operationalization, and Measurement of Talent." *Journal of World Business* 49, no. 2 (2014): 180–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jwb.2013.11.002>.

Nunes, Ashley. "Automation Doesn't Just Create or Destroy Jobs - It Transforms Them." Harvard Business Review, November 2, 2021. <https://hbr.org/2021/11/automation-doesnt-just-create-or-destroy-jobs-it-transforms-them>.

Orosz, Gábor, Szilvia Péter-Szarka, Beáta Bőthe, István Tóth-Király, and Rony Berger. 2017. "How Not to Do a Mindset Intervention: Learning from a Mindset Intervention among Students with Good Grades." *Frontiers in Psychology* 8. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00311>.

Paunesku, David, Gregory M. Walton, Carissa Romero, Eric N. Smith, David S. Yeager, and Carol S. Dweck. 2015. "Mind-Set Interventions Are a Scalable Treatment for Academic Underachievement." *Psychological Science* 26 (6): 784–93. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615571017>.

Pearce, Katie. "What Is 'Quiet Quitting'?" The Hub, September 12, 2022. <https://hub.jhu.edu/2022/09/12/what-is-quiet-quitting/>.

- Peterson, David B. 2021. "The DNA of VUCA: A Framework for Building Learning Agility in an Accelerating World." In *The Age of Agility: Building Learning Agile Leaders and Organizations*, 327–44. The SIOP Professional Practice Series. New York, NY, US: Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190085353.003.0013>.
- Pintrich, Paul R. 2003a. "A Motivational Science Perspective on the Role of Student Motivation in Learning and Teaching Contexts." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 95: 667–86. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.4.667>.
- Pulakos, Elaine D., Neal Schmitt, David W. Dorsey, Sharon Arad, Walter C. Borman, and Jerry W. Hedge. 2002. "Predicting Adaptive Performance: Further Tests of a Model of Adaptability." *Human Performance* 15 (4): 299–323. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327043HUP1504_01.
- PwC. "Deliver a Recruiting Experience That Job Seekers Expect." PwC, 2021. <https://www.pwc.com/us/en/services/consulting/business-transformation/library/hr-recruiting.html>.
- Qi, Lei, Bing Liu, Xin Wei, and Yanghong Hu. 2019. "Impact of Inclusive Leadership on Employee Innovative Behavior: Perceived Organizational Support as a Mediator." *PLOS ONE* 14 (February): e0212091. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0212091>.
- Reece, Andrew, Gabriella Kellerman, and Alexi Robichaux. "Meaning and Purpose at Work." Betterup, 2012.
- Rives, John. n.d. "Why a Growth Mindset Is Key to Organizational Agility and Success." *Growth Mindset Institute*. Accessed November 30, 2022. <https://www.wtwco.com/en-IN/Insights/2021/02/why-a-growth-mindset-is-key-to-organizational-agility-and-success>.
- Saputra, Nopriadi, Sri Bramantoro Abdinagoro, and Engkos Achmad Kuncoro. 2018. "The Mediating Role of Learning Agility on the Relationship between Work Engagement and Learning Culture," 15.

Sarrasin, Jérémie Blanchette, Lucian Nenciovici, Lorie-Marlène Brault Foisy, Geneviève Allaire-Duquette, Martin Riopel, and Steve Masson. 2018. "Effects of Teaching the Concept of Neuroplasticity to Induce a Growth Mindset on Motivation, Achievement, and Brain Activity: A Meta-Analysis." *Trends in Neuroscience and Education* 12 (September): 22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tine.2018.07.003>.

Schultz, Cecile. "Future Work: A Qualitative Review." *Journal of Contemporary Management*, January 1, 2017. https://www.academia.edu/38717560/Future_work_a_qualitative_review.

Schwab, Klaus, and Nicholas Davis. *Shaping the Future of the Fourth Industrial Revolution: A Guide to Building a Better World*. New York: Currency, 2018.

Schwarz, Jeff. "Gloat Research Round-up: What I'm Reading in the World of Talent Marketplaces -- Getting Ready for 2022 and Future-Fit Skill Building." Accessed November 20, 2022. <https://www.linkedin.com/pulse/gloat-research-round-up-what-im-reading-world-talent-jeff-schwartz>.

Schwartz, Jeff, Brad Denny, and David Mallon. n.d. "Beyond Reskilling." Deloitte Insights. Accessed December 19, 2022. <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/insights/focus/human-capital-trends/2020/reskilling-the-workforce-to-be-resilient.html>.

Schwartz, Jeff, Steve Hatfield, Robin Jones, and Siri Anderson. "What Is the Future of Work? - Deloitte Us." Deloitte. Accessed December 5, 2022. <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/nl/Documents/humancapital/deloitte-nl-hc-what-is-the-future-of-work.pdf>.

Sharma, Kartik. "The Future of the Workforce: Investing in Talent to Prepare for Uncertainty McKinsey and Company." Accessed November 19, 2022. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/the-organization-blog/the-future-of-work-the-now-the-how-and-the-why>.

Sigelman, Matt, and Johnny Taylor. "To Build a Diverse Company for the Long Term, Develop Junior Talent." *Harvard Business Review*, April 12, 2021. <https://hbr.org/2021/04/to-build-a-diverse-company-for-the-long-term-develop-junior-talent>.

SILZER, ROB, and Allan Church. 2009. "The Pearls and Perils of Identifying Potential." *Industrial and Organizational Psychology* 2 (December): 377–412. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1754-9434.2009.01163.x>.

Singh, Anjali, Sumi Jha, Dinesh Kumar Srivastava, and Abheesh Somarajan. "Future of Work: A Systematic Literature Review and Evolution of Themes." *Foresight* 24, no. 1 (February 14, 2022): 99–125. <https://doi.org/10.1108/FS-09-2020-0093>.

Singh, Rajesh Prettypal. "Talent Management Literature Review ." *Semantic Scholar* , April 2021. <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/eccd/f3ffcecd8c75e168d9947464a6257c5eed3.pdf>.

SkillsFuture Singapore. 2021. "Skills Demand For The Future Economy: Spotlight on Singapore's Key Growth Areas."

Smet, Aaron De, Bonnie Dowling, Mihir Mysore, and Angelika Reich. "It's Time for Leaders to Get Real about Hybrid." *McKinsey* . *McKinsey* , September 24, 2021. <https://www.mckinsey.com/capabilities/people-and-organizational-performance/our-insights/its-time-for-leaders-to-get-real-about-hybrid>.

The World Economic Forum. 2018. "The Future of Jobs Report."

"The Talent Marketplace Is Key to the Future of Work." *UNLEASH*, December 15, 2021. <https://www.unleash.ai/future-of-work/gloats-jeff-schwartz-on-future-of-talent-in-2022/>.

Thornton, George C., and Jeanette N. Cleveland. "Developing Managerial Talent through Simulation." *American Psychologist* 45, no. 2 (1990): 190–99. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066x.45.2.190>.

Tomcikova, Luba, Nella Svetozarovova, and Jana Coculova. "Challenges and Priorities in Talent Management during the Global Pandemic Caused by Covid-19." *Marketing and Management of Innovations* 5, no. 2 (2021): 94–103. <https://doi.org/10.21272/mmi.2021.2-08>.

Tran, Molly, and Rosemary K. Sokas. "The Gig Economy and Contingent Work: An Occupational Health Assessment." *Journal of Occupational and Environmental Medicine* 59, no. 4 (April 2017): e63–66. <https://doi.org/10.1097/JOM.0000000000000977>.

Unilever PLC, December 15, 2022. <https://www.unilever.com/>.

Vaiman, Vlad, Hugh Scullion, and David Collings. "Talent Management Decision Making." *Management Decision* 50, no. 5 (2012): 925–41. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741211227663>.

Wade, Michael, Amit Joshi, and Elizabeth A. Teracino. 2021. January. <https://hbr.org/2021/09/6-principles-to-build-your-companys-strategic-agility>.

Weber, Lauren. 2019. "Why Companies Are Failing at Reskilling." *Wall Street Journal*.

Wetherell, Emily, and Bailey Nelson. 2021. "8 Practical Tips for Leaders for a Better Onboarding Process." <https://www.gallup.com/workplace/353096/practical-tips-leaders-better-onboarding-process.aspx>.

Wigert, Ben. "The Future of Hybrid Work: 5 Key Questions Answered with Data." Gallup.com. Gallup, November 11, 2022. <https://www.gallup.com/workplace/390632/future-hybrid-work-key-questions-answered-data.aspx>.

Williams, Michael R. *The War for Talent: Getting the Best from the Best*. London: Institute of Personnel and Development, 2000.

World Bank Group. "About the Human Capital Project." World Bank. World Bank Group, January 31, 2019. <https://www.worldbank.org/en/publication/human-capital/brief/about-hcp>.

- Zande, Jochem van der, Karoline Teigland, Shahryar Siri, and Robin Teigland. "The Substitution of Labor." In *The Digital Transformation of Labor*, by Anthony Larsson and Robin Teigland, 31–73, 1st ed. London: Routledge, 2019. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429317866-3>.
- Zhang, Guoqing, and Chenin Chen. 2021. "A Study on the Relationship Between Learning Motivation and Learning Effectiveness of Personnel Based on Innovation Capability." *Frontiers in Psychology* 12. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.772904>.
- Zhao, Yukun, Gengfeng Niu, Hanchao Hou, Guang Zeng, Liying Xu, Kaiping Peng, and Feng Yu. 2018. "From Growth Mindset to Grit in Chinese Schools: The Mediating Roles of Learning Motivations." *Frontiers in Psychology* 9. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.02007>.
- Zuboff, S. (1988). *In the age of the smart machine: The future of work and power*. New York: Basic Books.
- III, John Hagel. "What Motivates Lifelong Learners." *Harvard Business Review*, October 11, 2021. <https://hbr.org/2021/10/what-motivates-lifelong-learners>.
- "7 Big Statistics about the State of Flexible Work Arrangements." *Workest*, August 31, 2019. <https://www.zenefits.com/workest/7-big-statistics-about-the-state-of-flexible-work-arrangements/>.
- . 2003b. "A Motivational Science Perspective on the Role of Student Motivation in Learning and Teaching Contexts." *Journal of Educational Psychology* 95: 667–86. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.4.667>.

22. Appendices

22.1. Appendix 1 – Interview Scripts

Interview 1 - People & Organization
Question 1: What is your perception about the future of work outlook?
Question 2: In relation to the previous question, what are the most important business and transformation priorities for XYZ regarding recruitment, onboarding, and training to meet future needs?
Question 3: How would you describe, in your own words, the current situation within XYZ and the three “pillars” previously mentioned?
Question 4: From an employee’s perspective, could you expand on what aspects within the workplace and processes you think are important for current and future talent?

Interview 2 - People & Organization
Question 1: Could you please describe the current recruitment process at XYZ?
Question 2: How would you assess the current successfulness within recruitment at XYZ?
Question 3: How do you perceive current employees’ satisfaction towards the policy?
Question 4: What future impacts regarding needs, demographics, technology and trends do you think will make the recruitment process more difficult or easier in the future?
Question 5: Do you think XYZ will find it easier or more difficult to find and recruit the right talent in the future? Please explain why.
Question 6: What changes could be done so the policy is tailored to market trends?

Interview 3 - People & Organization
Question 1: Could you please describe the current onboarding process at XYZ?
Question 2: How would you assess the current successfulness within onboarding at XYZ?
Question 3: How do you perceive current employees' satisfaction towards the policy?
Question 4: What future impacts regarding needs, demographics, technology and trends do you think will make the onboarding process more difficult or easier in the future?
Question 5: What changes could be done so the policy is tailored to market trends?

Interview 4 - People & Organization
Question 1: Could you please describe the current training process at XYZ?
Question 2: How would you assess the current successfulness within training at XYZ?
Question 3: How do you perceive current employees' satisfaction towards the policy?
Question 4: What future impacts regarding needs, demographics, technology and trends do you think will make the training process more difficult or easier in the future?
Question 5: What changes could be done so the policy is tailored to market trends?

Interviews 5 & 6 – Employees With Less Than 2 Years In The Organization
Question 1: How long have you been employed at XYZ?
Question 2: What is your role in XYZ?
Question 3: How would you describe your recruitment process?
Question 4: How would you describe your onboarding process?
Question 5: Have you taken part in any training during your employment?

Question 6: How have all these experiences shaped your vision on XYZ?
Question 7: What changes could be made to improve your experience regarding recruitment, onboarding and training?

Interviews 7 & 8 – Employees With Over 5 Years In The Organization (Non Managerial Position)
Question 1: How long have you been employed at XYZ?
Question 2: What is your role in XYZ?
Question 3: Have you taken part in any training at XYZ? If yes, could you please describe your best experience or lesser experience and why?
Question 4: Is there any skill or topic you believe that would be valuable to learn that is not offered at XYZ?
Question 5: What would be your preferred method of learning a new skill?
Question 6: Out of your experiences, what could be improved in terms of training and learning at XYZ?

Interviews 9 & 10 – Employees in Managerial Position
Question 1: How long have you been in XYZ?
Question 2: What is your role in XYZ?
Question 3: As a manager, could you explain the recruitment process, its pain-points and its successes within XYZ?

Question 4: As a manager, could you explain the onboarding process for a new employee, its pain-points and its successes within XYZ?

Question 5: How successful would you say the average learning programs are, and is there any skills that need to be offered for the development of the teams? Please consider both present and future needs of the company.

Question 6: If you could look into the future, what do you think would be the main challenges and opportunities in recruitment, onboarding, and training?